



Hypergeometric structures in Feynman integrals

J. Blümlein¹ · M. Saragnese¹ · C. Schneider² 

Accepted: 8 January 2023 / Published online: 3 April 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

For the precision calculations in perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) gigantic expressions (several GB in size) in terms of highly complicated divergent multi-loop Feynman integrals have to be calculated analytically to compact expressions in terms of special functions and constants. In this article we derive new symbolic tools to gain large-scale computer understanding in QCD. Here we exploit the fact that hypergeometric structures in single and multiscale Feynman integrals emerge in a wide class of topologies. Using integration-by-parts relations, associated master or scalar integrals have to be calculated. For this purpose it appears useful to devise an automated method which recognizes the respective (partial) differential equations related to the corresponding higher transcendental functions. We solve these equations through associated recursions of the expansion coefficient of the multivalued formal Taylor series. The expansion coefficients can be determined using either the package `Sigma` in the case of linear difference equations or by applying heuristic methods in the case of partial linear difference equations. In the present context a new type of sums occurs, the Hurwitz harmonic sums, and generalized versions of them. The code `HypSeries` transforming classes of differential equations into analytic series expansions is described. Also partial difference equations having rational solutions and rational function solutions of Pochhammer symbols are considered, for which the code `solvePartialLDE` is designed. Generalized hypergeometric functions, Appell-, Kampé de Fériet-, Horn-, Lauricella-Saran-, Srivasta-, and Exton-type functions are considered. We illustrate the algorithms by examples.

Keywords (Multivariate) hypergeometric series · Series expansion · Feynman integral · Symbolic summation · Partial linear differential equation · Partial linear difference equation

✉ C. Schneider
Carsten.Schneider@risc.jku.at

J. Blümlein
Johannes.Blumlein@desy.de

M. Saragnese
Marco.Saragnese@desy.de

¹ Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Platanenallee 6, 15738, Zeuthen, Germany

² Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), Johannes Kepler University Linz, Altenberger Straße 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 33F10 · 33C20 · 33C65 · 33E30 · 81Q30

1 Introduction

The present and upcoming physics results at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN yield fascinating precision data, which require further important precision calculations in perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). In short, gigantic expressions (several GB in size) in terms of highly complicated divergent multi-loop Feynman integrals have to be calculated analytically to compact expressions in terms of special functions and constants. It is a general observation, that the Feynman parameter integrals for certain classes of topologies can be expressed in terms of higher transcendental functions of the hypergeometric type, cf. e.g. [1–4]. This concerns their representation before expanding in the dimensional parameter $\varepsilon = D - 4$, with D the dimension of space–time. Here we consider the generalization of the Euler integrals to the generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q$, and the multiple hypergeometric functions of the Appell-, Kampé de Fériet-, Horn-, and Lauricella-, Saran-, Srivastava-, and Exton type [4–24]. In physical applications a standard integration method is that of solving systems of ordinary and partial differential equation systems [25–32] generated by the integration by parts (IBP) relations [33–41]. Usually these methods provide coupled systems of first order linear differential equations. In the ordinary case (differentiation in one variable), one can decouple the corresponding systems, cf. [42, 43], using algorithms which are available, e.g., in OreSys [44] leading to higher order linear differential equations. In the case of coupled systems of partial differential equations one may use, e.g., Janet bases [45, 46]. In this context it is important to recognize the differential equations of the classes of the aforementioned functions, since their mathematical structure is widely known. This allows the direct analytic solution of at least this part of the physical problem. Starting with certain topologies, more general differential equations will contribute, requiring different solution technologies. Structures of the above kind have been obtained in general off-shell representations at the one-loop level for multi-leg diagrams, cf. e.g. [47–55]. At the two- and three-loop level for various scattering processes related structures are found, cf. e.g. [18, 19, 56, 57]. For all the above quantities the (partial) differential equations are known and they partly turn out to be of rather high order. On the other hand, one may consider the formal multiple Taylor expansion of these higher transcendental functions, which allows one to obtain difference equations for the corresponding expansion coefficients. This is advised, since these are remarkably simpler.

In a nutshell, we are given huge sets of (coupled partial) linear differential equations and one seeks for solutions that will provide new insight of elementary particles and their interactions. In this article we will elaborate new symbolic tools to provide such solutions automatically in terms of hypergeometric structures with the long-term goal to provide large-scale computer understanding in QCD. More precisely, we describe a general solving machinery and a systematic classification of partial differential equations for scalar or master integrals of one or more scales w.r.t. known solutions in the hypergeometric classes, which can be considered as a first non-trivial step towards computerization of knowledge of special function results in QCD. These differential equations have multivariate multiple series solutions

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r=0}^{\infty} f(n_1, \dots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} \dots x_r^{n_r} \quad (1)$$

for parameters x_1, \dots, x_r in the vicinity of $(\vec{0})_r$ as formal Taylor series. A central step in our solving strategy is the fact that one can compute partial difference equations for the expansion coefficients $f(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ from the given differential equations; compare [58–60].

For the ordinary case $r = 1$ the obtained recurrences can be solved in terms of indefinite nested sums defined over hypergeometric products¹ using difference ring techniques [61–67]. This leads to a general method that can find all solutions of a given univariate linear differential equation in terms of power series solutions where the expansion coefficients are given in terms of hypergeometric products or indefinite nested sums defined over such products. The method works for differential equations that also depend on general values of the space–time dimension $D = 4 + \varepsilon$. The infinite series representations found can be finally expanded in the dimensional parameter ε , which transforms the summand in terms of Pochhammer symbols² and general hypergeometric products by introducing in addition (cyclotomic) harmonic sums [68–70] or generalized versions, like Hurwitz harmonic sums. In the general case first product–solutions emerge, which can be factored into Pochhammer–structures by solving algebraic equations. Alternatively, one can keep the multiplicands in non–linear form and can apply a new function implemented in the package `EvaluateMultiSum` that produces the ε –expansions without introducing algebraic extensions. The ε –expansion for (generalized) hypergeometric functions can be performed straightforwardly using their series representations. The problem to be solved is to sum the corresponding infinite series, for which we use the algorithms implemented in `EvaluateMultiSum` and can solve all problems associated to first–order factorizing recurrences.³ In most cases, we will limit our consideration to the principal structure of the known classes of higher transcendental functions of the hypergeometric type, i.e. those where $f(n_1) (= f(n))$ is given by Pochhammer–ratios.

For the multivariate case $r > 1$ many partial linear differential equations coming from QCD lead to special first–order coupled systems of partial linear difference equations in terms of the expansion coefficients $f(n_1, \dots, n_r)$. For this important special case we show how the above techniques can be carried over to this multivariate case.

All the above symbolic tools can be utilized to find hypergeometric structures that emerge in the calculation of Feynman integrals using Feynman parameter representations from the simplest topologies onward, cf. e.g. [82]. In the most simple cases (for the ordinary case $r = 1$) they can be calculated in terms of Euler Beta functions

$$B(a, b) = \int_0^1 dz z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)},$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ denotes the Euler Γ –function. Here and in the following we represent the respective higher transcendental functions in their convergence region but allow to perform analytic continuations to their whole analyticity range, cf. [83]. The next more involved function is the Gauß hypergeometric function

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2F_1(a_1, a_2; b_1, z) &= {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ b_1 \end{matrix}; z \right] = \frac{\Gamma(b_1)}{\Gamma(a_1)\Gamma(b_1-a_1)} \int_0^1 dx x^{a_1-1} (1-x)^{b_1-a_1-1} (1-zx)^{-a_2} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n}{(b_1)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

¹ $\prod_{k=\ell}^n h(k)$ is called hypergeometric in n if ℓ is a nonnegative integer and $h(k)$ is a rational function in k .

²In the following $(x)_n = x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol where x is an element from a ring (containing the integers) and n is a nonnegative integer.

³For a series of special cases the approaches in Refs. [71–81] can be used.

followed by generalized hypergeometric function ${}_{p+1}F_p$ introduced by the iterative integral

$$\frac{\Gamma(d)}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(d-c)} \int_0^1 dx x^{c-1} (1-x)^{d-c-1} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & \dots & a_p \\ b_1 & \dots & b_q \end{matrix}; xz \right] = {}_{p+1}F_{q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} c & a_1 & \dots & a_p \\ d & b_1 & \dots & b_q \end{matrix}; z \right];$$

in this article we will utilize the series representation

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1 & \dots & a_p \\ b_1 & \dots & b_q \end{matrix}; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (3)$$

For $r \geq 2$ other topologies [57] can be discovered that lead, e.g., to Appell functions, like F_1 defined with the integral representation

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^1 dw_1 \int_0^1 dw_2 \theta(1-w_1-w_2) w_1^{b-1} w_2^{b'-1} (1-w_1-w_2)^{c-b-b'-1} (1-w_1x-w_2y)^{-a} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(b')\Gamma(c-b-b')}{\Gamma(c)} F_1(a; b, b'; c; x, y) \end{aligned}$$

or with the series representation

$$F_1(a; b, b'; c; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (b)_m (b')_n}{m! n! (c)_{m+n}} x^m y^n. \quad (4)$$

The advantage of all these representations lies in the fact that multiple Feynman parameter integrals are reduced to much lower dimensional infinite sum representations, which are one-fold in the case of generalized hypergeometric functions, two-fold e.g. for Appell and Horn functions [8, 9, 12, 13, 18, 19], three-fold for the Srivastava functions [20] and further given by multi-sum Lauricella-type functions [21] in more involved cases, with an early application in [56]; a detailed list of these functions is given in Appendix A.

Remark. The solution of Feynman integrals through Feynman parameterizations mapping to higher transcendental functions is not a method which can be easily made uniform. At a certain stage it will also require the use of Mellin–Barnes integral representations [84–87] to be solved by the residue theorem. Important early applications were made in [88, 89]. Although this method can establish links to higher transcendental functions in principle since those have Pochhammer Umlauf-integral representations [7, 90, 91], it may easily lead to non-minimal representations [92] which are difficult to reduce analytically, if one is not only interested in numerical results [93–95]. For multiple Mellin–Barnes integrals see Refs. [96–100] and applications [101, 102]. Another method to compute Feynman integrals is also the method of negative dimension (also called the method of brackets) [18, 19, 103–124], which is equivalent to Davydychev’s Mellin–Barnes representation. Given the sum representation (1) of such transcendental functions one may try to simplify the obtained multiple sums in terms of hypergeometric products and indefinite nested sums to expressions that are purely given in terms of indefinite nested sums using the package `EvaluateMultisums` [125–128]. The underlying summation engine is based on the package `Sigma` [61, 62] that contains non-trivial algorithms in the setting of difference rings [63–67, 129–137].

Besides this summation toolbox for various important special cases that arise within the precision calculation in QCD, we will consider general partial linear differential equations for master integrals w.r.t. their parameters. As mentioned above, also these partial differential equations can be mapped to corresponding partial difference equations, and the crucial step consists of solving these derived equations. In order to overcome the lack of

such a desired solver, we will combine ideas from [138, 139] and the difference ring setting [64–67] yielding the new package `solvePartialLDE`. More precisely, exploiting (heuristic) methods elaborated in this article, we lay the foundation for a new solving tool and demonstrate how one can hunt for solutions within the class of indefinite nested sums over hypergeometric products.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we list the differential equations of the multi-variate generalized hypergeometric functions up to four variables in explicit form, parameterizing them linearly. There are also general differential equations as those for the hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_q$, the Kampé de Fériet function [11], and the Lauricella–Saran functions [21–23]. Furthermore, we derive the recursions for the multivariate expansion coefficients of these functions. An algorithm is presented in Section 3 to find hypergeometric product solutions for first-order linear recurrence systems. In this way the multivariate functions $f(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ can be represented in the vicinity of $(\vec{0})_r$. The parameters of the differential and difference equations depend also on the dimensional parameter ε . Usually one would like to perform corresponding expansions in this quantity, which we describe in Section 4. Here the so-called Hurwitz harmonic sums and more general versions occur, the summation problem of which can be dealt with the packages `EvaluateMultiSums` and `Sigma`. In Section 5 we demonstrate the full machinery, to obtain for a given system of linear differential equations the first coefficients of the ε -expansions in terms of indefinite nested sums and products. In Section 6 we supplement the solving tools from Section 3 and turn to general partial difference equations with rational coefficients. Based on the algorithms presented in [138, 139] we present different strategies to find solutions in terms of hypergeometric products and iterative sums over such products that appear in the calculation of Feynman integrals. Section 7 concludes with an outlook how these symbolic tools may be combined with tools coming from artificial intelligence.

In Appendix A we provide for convenience a list of the main functions dealt with in the present paper, which are defined by their series representation, see also the file `cases.m`. Appendix B illustrates the matching conditions to be met to obtain from the general solutions in a direct way the Pochhammer-type solutions. They are given in computer-readable form in the file `Mconditions.m`. In Appendix C a brief description of the commands of the code `HypSeries` is given and Appendix D provides a brief description of the code `solvePartialLDE`. For both cases we will provide *Mathematica* notebooks illustrating the corresponding operations in examples. In Appendix E a special constant is evaluated, which appears in one of the examples. Appendix F lists the *Mathematica* and other software packages required to execute the example notebooks.

2 The differential and underlying difference equations

Multivariate master integrals obey partial differential equations, which are obtained after the IBP reduction [33–41] and, if necessary, the decoupling of coupled systems [42–44]. In this way differential equations of higher than first order are obtained.

The first class concerns the univariate case of the generalized hypergeometric functions (3). The differential operator of Gauß' ${}_2F_1$ function reads, cf. [7],

$$x(1-x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (c - (a+b+1)x)\frac{d}{dx} - ab, \quad (5)$$

which we write more generally as

$$x(1-x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (A_1 + B_1x)\frac{d}{dx} + C. \quad (6)$$

For the function ${}_3F_2$ one obtains

$$x^2(1-x)\frac{d^3}{dx^3} + x(A_2 + B_2x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (A_1 + B_1x)\frac{d}{dx} + C, \quad (7)$$

with $A_2 = b_1 + b_2 + 1$, $B_2 = -(3 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3)$, $A_1 = b_1b_2$, $B_1 = -(a_2a_1 + a_3a_1 + a_2a_3 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 1)$, $C = -a_1a_2a_3$. In general, we get for ${}_{p+1}F_p$ the linear differential equation

$$x^p(1-x)\frac{d^{p+1}}{dx^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^p x^{k-1}(A_k + B_kx)\frac{d^k}{dx^k} + C. \quad (8)$$

The ${}_pF_q$ function is the homogeneous solution of the differential operator

$$x\frac{d}{dx}\left(x\frac{d}{dx} + b_1 - 1\right)\dots\left(x\frac{d}{dx} + b_q - 1\right) - \left(x\frac{d}{dx} + a_1\right)\dots\left(x\frac{d}{dx} + a_p\right). \quad (9)$$

The products of the differential operators in (9) $\vartheta = x(d/dx) \equiv x\partial_x$, can be written in the following form

$$\vartheta = x\partial_x \quad (10)$$

$$\vartheta^2 = x\partial_x + x^2\partial_x^2 \quad (11)$$

$$\vartheta^3 = x\partial_x + 3x^2\partial_x^2 + x^3\partial_x^3 \quad (12)$$

$$\vartheta^4 = x\partial_x + 7x^2\partial_x^2 + 6x^3\partial_x^3 + x^4\partial_x^4 \quad (13)$$

$$\vartheta^5 = x\partial_x + 15x^2\partial_x^2 + 25x^3\partial_x^3 + 10x^4\partial_x^4 + x^5\partial_x^5, \text{ etc.} \quad (14)$$

Inserting this into (9) will imply the corresponding general differential operator, which has the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^m P_k(x)\frac{d^k}{dx^k}, \quad (15)$$

with the corresponding polynomials $P_k(x)$ and $m = \max\{p + 1, q + 1\}$. The coefficient polynomials result from the expansion of (9).

Here and in the following we will first parameterize the differential operators in general terms. In the literature the different coefficients are usually related by algebraic equations, which is possible, but not necessary. The list of these equations are given in a subsidiary file to this paper. The differential operators for the bi-variate Horn type functions [8–13] F_1 to F_4 , G_1 to G_3 , and H_1 to H_7 , including the Appell functions [8, 9], are given by

$$a + (bx + c)\partial_x + x(d + ex)\partial_x^2 + fy\partial_y + (gy + hxy)\partial_{x,y}^2 + jy^2\partial_y^2 = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$a_1 + (b_1y + c_1)\partial_y + y(d_1 + e_1y)\partial_y^2 + f_1x\partial_x + (g_1x + h_1xy)\partial_{x,y}^2 + j_1x^2\partial_x^2 = 0, \quad (17)$$

with the example of the Appell F_1 function

$$F_1 : x(1-x)\partial_x^2 + y(1-x)\partial_{x,y}^2 + (A + Bx)\partial_x + Cy\partial_y + D \quad (18)$$

$$F_1 : y(1-y)\partial_y^2 + x(1-y)\partial_{x,y}^2 + (A' + B'y)\partial_y + C'x\partial_x + D'. \quad (19)$$

Here $\partial_{x,y}^2 = \partial_x\partial_y$, etc.

In physical applications two more differential operators appeared in the bi-variate case, [18, 19], to which the functions S_1 and S_2 belong. The differential operators read

$$S_1 : a + (c + bx)\partial_x + x(d + ex)\partial_x^2 + x^2(l + px)\partial_x^3 + fy\partial_y + x(q + rx)y\partial_x^2\partial_y + jy^2\partial_y^2 + sxy^2\partial_x\partial_y^2 + (gy + hxy)\partial_{x,y}^2 \quad (20)$$

$$: a_1 + f_1x\partial_x + j_1x^2\partial_x^2 + (c_1 + b_1y)\partial_y + y(d_1 + e_1y)\partial_y^2 + (g_1x + h_1xy)\partial_{x,y}^2 \quad (21)$$

$$S_2 : a + (c + bx)\partial_x + x(d + ex)\partial_x^2 + x^2(l + px)\partial_x^3 + fy\partial_y + x(q + rx)y\partial_x^2\partial_y + jy^2\partial_y^2 + sxy^2\partial_x\partial_y^2 + (gy + hxy)\partial_{x,y}^2 \quad (22)$$

$$: a_1 + f_1x\partial_x + (c_1 + b_1y)\partial_y + j_1x^2\partial_x^2\partial_y + y(d_1 + e_1y)\partial_y^2 + q_1xy\partial_x\partial_y^2 + p_1y^2\partial_y^3 + (g_1x + h_1xy)\partial_{x,y}^2. \quad (23)$$

For the Kampé de Fériet function

$$F_{l,m;n}^{p;q;k} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p); (b_q); (c_k) \\ (\alpha_l); (\beta_m); (\gamma_n) \end{matrix} \middle| x, y \right] = \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (a_j)_{r+s} \prod_{j=1}^q (b_j)_r \prod_{j=1}^k (c_j)_s}{\prod_{j=1}^l (\alpha_j)_{r+s} \prod_{j=1}^m (\beta_j)_r \prod_{j=1}^n (\gamma_j)_s} \frac{x^r}{r!} \frac{y^s}{s!} \quad (24)$$

$$= \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} f(r, s) x^r y^s \quad (25)$$

one obtains the following annihilating differential operators [10, 11]

$$\prod_{j=1}^p (x\partial_x + y\partial_y + a_j) \prod_{j=1}^q (x\partial_x + b_j) - \partial_x \prod_{j=1}^l (x\partial_x + y\partial_y - 1 + \alpha_j) \prod_{j=1}^m (x\partial_x - 1 + \beta_j) = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^p (x\partial_x + y\partial_y + a_j) \prod_{j=1}^k (y\partial_y + c_j) - \partial_y \prod_{j=1}^l (x\partial_x + y\partial_y - 1 + \alpha_j) \prod_{j=1}^n (y\partial_y - 1 + \gamma_j) = 0. \quad (27)$$

The differential operators for the triple hypergeometric series [20] read

$$D_{3,1} = A + (B_0 + B_1x)\partial_x + x(E_0 + E_1x)\partial_x^2 + C_1y\partial_y + F_1y^2\partial_y^2 + (H_0 + H_1x)y\partial_{x,y}^2 + D_1z\partial_z + G_1z^2\partial_z^2 + (L_0 + L_1x)z\partial_{x,z}^2 + S_1yz\partial_{y,z}^2, \quad (28)$$

$$D_{3,2} = A' + B'_1x\partial_x + E'_1x^2\partial_x^2 + (C'_0 + C'_1y)\partial_y + y(F'_0 + F'_1y)\partial_y^2 + x(H'_2 + H'_1y)\partial_{x,y}^2 + D'_1z\partial_z + G'_1z^2\partial_z^2 + L'_1xz\partial_{x,z}^2 + (S'_0 + S'_1y)z\partial_{y,z}^2, \quad (29)$$

$$D_{3,3} = A'' + B''_1x\partial_x + E''_1x^2\partial_x^2 + C''_1y\partial_y + F''_1y^2\partial_y^2 + H''_1xy\partial_{x,y}^2 + (D''_0 + D''_1z)\partial_z + z(G''_0 + G''_1z)\partial_z^2 + x(L''_2 + L''_1z)\partial_{x,z}^2 + y(S''_2 + S''_1z)\partial_{y,z}^2. \quad (30)$$

The differential operators for the quadruple versions are given by

$$D_{4,1} = A + E_1 t \partial_t + L_1 t^2 \partial_t^2 + (B_0 + B_1 x) \partial_x + x(F_0 + F_1 x) \partial_x^2 + t(P_0 + P_1 x) \partial_{t,x}^2 + C_1 y \partial_y + G_1 y^2 \partial_y^2 + R_1 t y \partial_{t,y}^2 + (M_0 + M_1 x) y \partial_{x,y}^2 + D_1 z \partial_z + H_1 z^2 \partial_z^2 + S_1 t z \partial_{t,z}^2 + (N_0 + N_1 x) z \partial_{x,z}^2 + Q_1 y z \partial_{y,z}^2, \quad (31)$$

$$D_{4,2} = A' + E_1' t \partial_t + L_1' t^2 \partial_t^2 + B_1' x \partial_x + F_1' x^2 \partial_x^2 + P_1' t x \partial_{t,x}^2 + (C_0' + C_1' y) \partial_y + y(G_0' + G_1' y) \partial_y^2 + t(R_0' + R_1' y) \partial_{t,y}^2 + x(M_2' + M_1' y) \partial_{x,y}^2 + D_1' z \partial_z + H_1' z^2 \partial_z^2 + S_1' t z \partial_{t,z}^2 + N_1' x z \partial_{x,z}^2 + (Q_0' + Q_1' y) z \partial_{y,z}^2, \quad (32)$$

$$D_{4,3} = A'' + E_1'' t \partial_t + L_1'' t^2 \partial_t^2 + B_1'' x \partial_x + F_1'' x^2 \partial_x^2 + P_1'' t x \partial_{t,x}^2 + C_1'' y \partial_y + G_1'' y^2 \partial_y^2 + R_1'' t y \partial_{t,y}^2 + M_1'' x y \partial_{x,y}^2 + (D_0'' + D_1'' z) \partial_z + z(H_0'' + H_1'' z) \partial_z^2 + t(S_0'' + S_1'' z) \partial_{t,z}^2 + x(N_2'' + N_1'' z) \partial_{x,z}^2 + y(Q_2'' + Q_1'' z) \partial_{y,z}^2, \quad (33)$$

$$D_{4,4} = A''' + (E_0''' + E_1''' t) \partial_t + t(L_0''' + L_1''' t) \partial_t^2 + B_1''' x \partial_x + F_1''' x^2 \partial_x^2 + (P_2''' + P_1''' t) x \partial_{t,x}^2 + C_1''' y \partial_y + G_1''' y^2 \partial_y^2 + (R_2''' + R_1''' t) y \partial_{t,y}^2 + M_1''' x y \partial_{x,y}^2 + D_1''' z \partial_z + H_1''' z^2 \partial_z^2 + (S_2''' + S_1''' t) z \partial_{t,z}^2 + N_1''' x z \partial_{x,z}^2 + Q_1''' y z \partial_{y,z}^2. \quad (34)$$

They cover the functions K_i , $i = 1..21$ of Refs. [14, 15].

Utilizing holonomic closure properties [58–60], i.e., the power series Ansatz (1) and equating coefficients with the same exponent one can compute difference equations for the expansion coefficients from the differential equations given in Section 2.⁴

The following recursions are obtained:

$${}_2F_1 : (C + n(1 - n + B_1))f(n) + (1 + n)(n + A_1)f(1 + n) = 0 \quad (35)$$

$${}_3F_2 : (nB_1 + (n - 1)nB_2 + C - (n - 2)(n - 1)n)f(n) + ((n + 1)A_1 + n(n + 1)A_2 + (n - 1)n(n + 1))f(n + 1) = 0 \quad (36)$$

$${}_{p+1}F_p : \left[\frac{C}{n!} - \frac{1}{(n - p - 1)!} + \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{B_k}{(n - k)!} \right] f(n) + (n + 1) \left[\frac{1}{(n - p)!} + \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{A_k}{(n - k + 1)!} \right] f(n + 1) = 0. \quad (37)$$

In the bi-variate cases the expansion coefficients of the Horn-type functions obey

$$\left[a + bm + e(m - 1)m + n(f + hm + j(n - 1)) \right] f(m, n) + (1 + m)(c + dm + gn)f(1 + m, n) = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$\left[a_1 + f_1 m + j_1(m - 1)m + n(b_1 + h_1 m + e_1(n - 1)) \right] f(m, n) + (1 + n)(c_1 + g_1 m + d_1 n)f(m, 1 + n) = 0, \quad (39)$$

⁴There are also contiguous relations for the corresponding functions, cf. [4, 140, 141].

and for the S_1 -functions one has

$$\left[a + bm + e(m-1)m + fn + hmn + j(n-1)n + (m-2)(m-1)mp + (m-1)mnr \right. \\ \left. + m(n-1)ns \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n) + (1+m) \left[c + gn + m(d+l(m-1)+nq) \right] \mathfrak{f}(1+m, n) = 0, \quad (40)$$

$$\left[a_1 + f_1m + j_1(m-1)m + n(b_1 + h_1m + e_1(n-1)) \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n) \\ + (1+n)(c_1 + g_1m + d_1n) \mathfrak{f}(m, 1+n) = 0, \quad (41)$$

as, likewise, for the S_2 -functions

$$\left[a + bm + e(m-1)m + fn + hmn + j(n-1)n + (m-2)(m-1)mp + (m-1)mnr \right. \\ \left. + m(n-1)ns \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n) + (1+m) \left[c + gn + m(d+l(-1+m)+nq) \right] \mathfrak{f}(1+m, n) = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$\left[a_1 + f_1m + n(b_1 + h_1m + e_1(n-1)) \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n) + (1+n) \left[c_1 + n(d_1 + (-1+n)p_1) \right. \\ \left. + m(g_1 + j_1(m-1) + nq_1) \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, 1+n) = 0. \quad (43)$$

For the expansion coefficients $\mathfrak{f}(r, s)$ of the Kampé de Fériet functions the recurrences read

$$\prod_{j=1}^p (r+s+a_j) \prod_{j=1}^q (r+b_j) \mathfrak{f}(r, s) - (r+1) \prod_{j=1}^l (r+s+\alpha_j) \prod_{j=1}^m (r+\beta_j) \mathfrak{f}(r+1, s) = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^p (r+s+a_j) \prod_{j=1}^k (s+c_j) \mathfrak{f}(r, s) - (s+1) \prod_{j=1}^l (r+s+\alpha_j) \prod_{j=1}^n (s+\gamma_j) \mathfrak{f}(r, s+1) = 0. \quad (45)$$

The coefficients in the tri-variate cases obey

$$\left[A + B_1m + E_1(m-1)m + C_1n + H_1mn + F_1(n-1)n + D_1p + L_1mp + G_1(p-1)p \right. \\ \left. + npS_1' \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n, p) + (1+m)(B_0 + E_0m + H_0n + L_0p) \mathfrak{f}(1+m, n, p) = 0, \quad (46)$$

$$\left[A' + B_1'm + E_1'(m-1)m + C_1'n + H_1'mn + F_1'(n-1)n + D_1'p + L_1'mp + G_1'(p-1)p \right. \\ \left. + npS_1'' \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n, p) + (1+n)(C_0' + H_2'm + F_0'n + pS_0') \mathfrak{f}(m, 1+n, p) = 0, \quad (47)$$

$$\left[A'' + B_1''m + E_1''(m-1)m + C_1''n + H_1''mn + F_1''(n-1)n + D_1''p + L_1''mp + G_1''(p-1)p \right. \\ \left. + npS_1''' \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n, p) + (1+p)(D_0'' + L_2''m + G_0''p + nS_2'') \mathfrak{f}(m, n, 1+p) = 0. \quad (48)$$

For the four-variate systems one has

$$\left[A + B_1 m + F_1(m-1)m + C_1 n + m M_1 n + G_1(n-1)n + D_1 p + m N_1 p + H_1(p-1)p \right. \\ \left. + E_1 q + m P_1 q + L_1(q-1)q + n p Q_1 + n q R_1 + p q S_1 \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n, p, q) + (1+m)(B_0 + F_0 m \\ + M_0 n + N_0 p + P_0 q) \mathfrak{f}(1+m, n, p, q) = 0, \quad (49)$$

$$\left[A' + B'_1 m + F'_1(m-1)m + C'_1 n + m M'_1 n + G'_1(n-1)n + D'_1 p + m N'_1 p + H'_1(p-1)p \right. \\ \left. + E'_1 q + m P'_1 q + L'_1(q-1)q + n p Q'_1 + n q R'_1 + p q S'_1 \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n, p, q) + (1+n)(C'_0 + m M'_2 \\ + G'_0 n + p Q'_0 + q R'_0) \mathfrak{f}(m, 1+n, p, q) = 0, \quad (50)$$

$$\left[A'' + B''_1 m + F''_1(m-1)m + C''_1 n + m M''_1 n + G''_1(n-1)n + D''_1 p + m N''_1 p + H''_1(p-1)p \right. \\ \left. + E''_1 q + m P''_1 q + L''_1(q-1)q + n p Q''_1 + n q R''_1 + p q S''_1 \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n, p, q) + (1+p)(D''_0 + m N''_2 \\ + H''_0 p + n Q''_2 + q S''_0) \mathfrak{f}(m, n, 1+p, q) = 0, \quad (51)$$

$$\left[A''' + B'''_1 m + F'''_1(m-1)m + C'''_1 n + m M'''_1 n + G'''_1(n-1)n + D'''_1 p + m N'''_1 p \right. \\ \left. + H'''_1(p-1)p + E'''_1 q + m P'''_1 q + L'''_1(q-1)q + n p Q'''_1 + n q R'''_1 + p q S'''_1 \right] \mathfrak{f}(m, n, p, q) \\ + (1+q)(E'''_0 + m P'''_2 + L'''_0 q + n R'''_2 + p S'''_2) \mathfrak{f}(m, n, p, 1+q) = 0. \quad (52)$$

3 The solution of the recursions

Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic 0 (i.e., a field that contains \mathbb{Q} as subfield). A power series in r variables

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{n_i \geq 0} A(n_1, \dots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_r^{n_r} \quad (53)$$

is called a multiple hypergeometric series if the multivariate sequence $A : \mathbb{N}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is hypergeometric, i.e., we have

$$s_i A(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r) = t_i A(n_1, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r), \quad i = 1, \dots, r \quad (54)$$

for polynomials $s_i, t_i \in \mathbb{K}[n_1, \dots, n_r]$ being coprime.

Often the hypergeometric sequence A is given in terms of binomial coefficients, Pochhammer symbols, Γ -functions and related special functions. However, in concrete applications one often starts with a given system of partial linear differential equations and searches for a hypergeometric series solution as specified above. Plugging this Ansatz into the equations and doing coefficient comparison w.r.t. $x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_r^{n_r}$ yield a system of partial linear difference equations; for concrete examples see Section 2. In the general case not too many methods are known that can support the use to solve these difference equations; for some first steps in this direction we refer the reader to Section 6. In the following we concentrate on first-order systems of the form (54).

We remark that in many concrete applications such a system (54) can be found. In particular, this is the case if the underlying system of linear differential equations is of the form

$$\left[s_i \left(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \dots, x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \dots, x_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \right) - \frac{1}{x_i} t_i \left(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - 1, \dots, x_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \right) \right] f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = 0. \quad (55)$$

To show this, we utilize the crucial property

$$x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_1^{n_1} \dots x_r^{n_r} = n_i x_1^{n_1} \dots x_r^{n_r} \quad (56)$$

which implies that for a polynomial $p(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ we have

$$p \left(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \dots, x_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \right) x_1^{n_1} \dots x_r^{n_r} = p(n_1, \dots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} \dots x_r^{n_r}. \quad (57)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[s_i \left(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \dots, x_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \right) \right] f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \\ &= \sum_{n_i \geq 0} s_i(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r) A(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} \dots x_r^{n_r} \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[t_i \left(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - 1, \dots, x_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \right) \right] f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \\ &= \sum_{n_i \geq 0} t_i(n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_r) A(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} \dots x_r^{n_r} \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

and therefore, dividing the second equation by x_i from the left,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{1}{x_i} t_i \left(x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - 1, \dots, x_r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_r} \right) \right] f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \\ &= \sum_{n_i \geq 0} t_i(n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_r) A(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r) x_1^{n_1} \dots x_i^{n_i-1} \dots x_r^{n_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

The coefficient of the term $x_1^{n_1} \dots x_i^{n_i} \dots x_r^{n_r}$ in (58) and in (60) is respectively

$$s_i(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r) A(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r) \quad (61)$$

and

$$t_i(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r) A(n_1, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r). \quad (62)$$

This shows, due to (54), that (55) holds.

For example, for the case of the Gauß hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; x)$ (see (2)) one has

$$A(n) = \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!} \quad (63)$$

$$s(n) = (a + n)(b + n) \quad (64)$$

$$t(n) = (n + 1)(c + n) \quad (65)$$

and the differential equation obeyed by ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; x)$ is, from (55),

$$\left[\left(a + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left(b + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{1}{x} \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - 1 + c \right) \right] {}_2F_1(a, b; c; x) = 0. \quad (66)$$

3.1 An algorithm for hypergeometric products

Given a hypergeometric sequence A with (54) we seek for a representation in terms of indefinite nested products that can be modeled, e.g., within the summation package Sigma.

For the univariate case $r = 1$ this task is immediate. Since $s_1, t_1 \in \mathbb{K}[n_1]$ have only finitely many roots, there is a $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_1(k) \neq 0 \neq t_1(k)$ for all $k \geq \lambda_1$. Thus for $n_1 \geq \lambda_1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(n_1) &= \frac{s_1(n_1 - 1)}{t_1(n_1 - 1)} A(n_1 - 1) \\ &= \frac{s_1(n_1 - 1)}{t_1(n_1 - 1)} \frac{s_1(n_1 - 2)}{t_1(n_1 - 2)} A(n_1 - 2) = \cdots = \left(\prod_{k=\lambda_1+1}^{n_1} \frac{s_1(k-1)}{t_1(k-1)} \right) A(\lambda_1) \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where $A(n_1)$ can be written in terms of the hypergeometric product $\prod_{k=\lambda_1+1}^{n_1} \frac{s_1(k-1)}{t_1(k-1)}$ which is nonzero for each $n_1 \geq 0$. In other words, a hypergeometric sequence is either trivial, i.e., it is the 0 sequence from a certain point on (if $A(\lambda_1) = 0$) or is nonzero for all $n \geq \lambda_1$.

Next, we turn to the multivariate case. As introduced in [142] we call a sequence non-trivial if the zero points vanish on a nonzero polynomial from $\mathbb{K}[n_1, \dots, n_r]$. In other words A is almost everywhere a nonzero sequence. An important consequence of [142, Prop 4] is that for such a hypergeometric sequence with (54) the following compatibility property holds for $R_i = \frac{s_i}{t_i} \in \mathbb{K}(n_1, \dots, n_r)$: for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$,

$$\frac{R_i(n_1, \dots, n_j + 1, \dots, n_r)}{R_i(n_1, \dots, n_j, \dots, n_r)} = \frac{R_j(n_1, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r)}{R_j(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r)}. \quad (68)$$

In particular, the Ore-Sato Theorem [143–145] holds: A can be written as a product in terms of geometric products and factorial terms; for a rigorous (and rather involved) proof see [142] and for further generalizations see [146].

In the following we will introduce a special case of the Ore-Sato theorem that deals with the problem to represent A in terms of hypergeometric products which are valid for all $(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}$ where the n_i are chosen sufficiently large. This is precisely the situation that we require for hypergeometric power series as given in (53).

As it turns out, such a representation is always possible if we require the following additional assumptions (which hold in the univariate case automatically): we can choose⁵ $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ with $n_i \geq \lambda_i$ we have

$$s_i(n_1, \dots, n_r) \neq 0 \neq t_i(n_1, \dots, n_r).$$

Therefore (54) is equivalent to

$$A(n_1, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r) = R_i(n_1, \dots, n_r) A(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r), \quad i = 1, \dots, r \quad (69)$$

with $R_i(n_1, \dots, n_r) \neq 0$ for all $n_i \geq \lambda_i$. Applying these relations iteratively shows that for any $(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ with $n_i \geq \lambda_i$ there is a $c \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$A(n_1, \dots, n_r) = c A(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r).$$

Similarly to the univariate case we get the following consequence: $A(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ is the zero sequence (for all $n_i \geq \lambda_i$) if $A(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) = 0$ or it is nonzero for all $n_i \geq \lambda_i$ otherwise.

Remark: In the second case all zeroes of A are finite and thus vanish on a particular chosen nonzero polynomial. Thus we can apply [142, Proposition 4] as above. If the compatibility criterion (68) does not hold, then A must be the zero sequence or the hypergeometric system is inconsistent.

⁵In general there is no algorithm by the Davis–Matijasevich–Putnam–Robinson theorem [147] that can decide if there is an integer root (or even infinitely many integer roots). However, in our applications the polynomials are usually small, mostly even linear and thus such integers λ_i can be determined.

With these properties there is a simple algorithm which finds a product representation of A of the form

$$c \left(\prod_{k=\lambda_1+1}^{n_1} h_1(k, n_2, \dots, n_r) \right) \left(\prod_{k=\lambda_2+1}^{n_2} h_2(k, n_3, \dots, n_r) \right) \dots \left(\prod_{k=\lambda_r+1}^{n_r} h_r(k) \right) \quad (70)$$

with $c = A(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ and $h_i(x, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{K}(x, n_i, \dots, n_r)$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$. In particular, we have that $\prod_{k=\lambda_i+1}^{n_i} h_i(k, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_r) \neq 0$ for all $n_i \geq \lambda_i$ with $i = 1, \dots, r$.

If $r = 1$, such a product can be derived immediately with (67) and $c = A(\lambda_1)$. Otherwise, the algorithm works by recursion (induction on $r > 1$). As in the case $r = 1$ it follows that we can write

$$A(n_1, \dots, n_r) = A(\lambda_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \prod_{k=\lambda_1+1}^{n_1} h_1(k, n_2, \dots, n_r)$$

with $h_1(k, n_2, \dots, n_r) = R_1(k - 1, n_2, \dots, n_r) = \frac{s_1(k-1, n_2, \dots, n_r)}{t_1(k-1, n_2, \dots, n_r)}$; note that $A(\lambda_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \neq 0$ for all $(n_2, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_i \geq \lambda_i$.

Now consider the multivariate sequence

$$A'(n_2, \dots, n_r) := A(\lambda_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)$$

which satisfies

$$A'(n_2, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r) = R_i(\lambda_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) A'(n_2, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r), \quad i = 2, \dots, r$$

with $R_i(\lambda_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{K}(n_2, \dots, n_r)$, where $R_i(\lambda_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \neq 0$ for all $n_i \geq \lambda_i$. Obviously, A' is again hypergeometric with all the assumptions (in particular satisfying the compatibility criteria in (68)) and we can proceed by induction/recursion. Thus we get

$$A'(n_2, \dots, n_r) = c \left(\prod_{k=\lambda_2+1}^{n_2} h_2(k, n_3, \dots, n_r) \right) \dots \left(\prod_{k=\lambda_r+1}^{n_r} h_r(k) \right),$$

with $c = A'(\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) = A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$ and $h_i(x, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{K}(x, n_i, \dots, n_r)$ with $2 \leq i \leq r$. This finally shows (70).

We remark that in all the examples of this article we can set $\lambda_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

3.2 Examples

Let us illustrate the solution of some of the recursions in explicit form. Here we refer first to the general representation of the corresponding differential and difference equations. We consider the differential equation (6) which leads to the recurrence (35) for the expansion coefficient $f(n)$. The recursion is of order one and Sigma obtains the following product solution

$$f(n) = \frac{\prod_{i_1=1}^n (2 + B_1 - C - 3i_1 - B_1 i_1 + i_1^2)}{n!(A_1)_n} \equiv \frac{\prod_{i_1=1}^n [-C + B_1(1 - i_1) + (1 - i_1)(2 - i_1)]}{n!(A_1)_n}, \quad (71)$$

which is not yet expressed by Pochhammer symbols.

Mathematica allows to obtain the factorization of the product in (71) in terms of Pochhammer symbols by

$$f(n) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_n (\alpha_2)_n}{(A_1)_n n!}, \quad (72)$$

with

$$\alpha_{1(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + B_1) \mp \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(1 + B_1)^2 + 4C}. \quad (73)$$

By replacing A_1 , B_1 and C directly to

$$C \rightarrow -ab, \quad A_1 \rightarrow c, \quad B_1 \rightarrow -1 - a - b \quad (74)$$

one obtains

$$f(n) = \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n n!}. \quad (75)$$

This choice of variables is therefore instrumental to obtain the most simple structure. However, it will sometimes not naturally appear in the physical differential equations, requesting associated variable transformations in general.

This becomes more and more involved in higher hypergeometric cases, which is already illustrated in the case of the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$. Its differential equation (7) implies the recurrence for $f(n)$ (36) with $f(0) = 1$, which has the solution

$$f(n) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n [-C + B_1(1 - i_1) - B_2(2 - i_1)(1 - i_1) - (3 - i_1)(2 - i_1)(1 - i_1)]}{n! \prod_{i=1}^n [A_1 - A_2(1 - i_1) + (2 - i_1)(1 - i_1)]}. \quad (76)$$

Equation (76) can be rewritten in terms of radicals by

$$f(n) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_n (\alpha_2)_n (\alpha_3)_n}{n! \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{A_2}{2} - \frac{z_5}{2}\right)_n \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{A_2}{2} + \frac{z_5}{2}\right)_n}, \quad (77)$$

with

$$\alpha_1 = 1 - \frac{z_4}{3} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{z_1 + z_2}}{6\sqrt[3]{2}} - \frac{i\sqrt[3]{z_1 + z_2}}{2\sqrt[3]{2}\sqrt{3}} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{-2z_3}}{3\sqrt[3]{z_1 + z_2}} \quad (78)$$

$$\alpha_2 = 1 - \frac{z_4}{3} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{z_1 + z_2}}{3\sqrt[3]{2}} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{2z_3}}{3\sqrt[3]{z_1 + z_2}} \quad (79)$$

$$\alpha_3 = 1 - \frac{z_4}{3} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{z_1 + z_2}}{6\sqrt[3]{2}} + \frac{i\sqrt[3]{z_1 + z_2}}{2\sqrt[3]{2}\sqrt{3}} - \frac{(-1)^{2/3}\sqrt[3]{2z_3}}{3\sqrt[3]{z_1 + z_2}} \quad (80)$$

$$z_1 = 27C + (3 + B_2)(9B_1 + B_2(3 + 2B_2)) \quad (81)$$

$$z_2 = \sqrt{-4(3 + 3B_1 + B_2(3 + B_2))^3 + (27C + (3 + B_2)(9B_1 + B_2(3 + 2B_2)))^2} \quad (82)$$

$$z_3 = 3 + 3B_1 + B_2(3 + B_2) \quad (83)$$

$$z_4 = 6 + B_2 \quad (84)$$

$$z_5 = \sqrt{-4A_1 + (A_2 - 1)^2}. \quad (85)$$

After performing the replacements

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &\rightarrow b_1 + b_2 + 1, \quad B_2 \rightarrow -(3 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3), \quad A_1 \rightarrow b_1 b_2, \\ B_1 &\rightarrow -(a_2 a_1 + a_3 a_1 + a_2 a_3 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 1), \quad C \rightarrow -a_1 a_2 a_3 \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

one obtains

$$f(n) = \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n (a_3)_n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n n!}. \quad (87)$$

One observes that the substitutions (86) are related to the root-relations by Vieta's theorem [148] for the roots r_i of the algebraic equation

$$x^n + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n-k} x^{n-k} = 0, \quad (88)$$

which obey

$$\begin{aligned} -a_{n-1} &= r_1 + \dots + r_n \\ a_{n-2} &= r_1(r_2 + \dots + r_n) + r_2(r_3 + \dots + r_n) + \dots + r_{n-1}r_n \\ &\vdots \\ (-1)^n a_0 &= r_1 \dots r_n. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

In all cases, which can be solved by a single recurrence at the time the above procedures are applied. Considering the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_{p+1}F_p$ the product-solution for the expansion coefficient reads

$$f(n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{1}{(i-p-2)!} - \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{B_k}{(i-k-1)!} - \frac{C}{(i-1)!}}{\frac{i}{(i-p-1)!} + \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{A_k i}{(i-k)!}} \quad (90)$$

and one may factorize the corresponding product as in the above examples. However, the corresponding roots can in general only be obtained numerically. Still one may work with the corresponding symbolic expressions. This is in particular useful w.r.t. their expansion in the dimensional parameter ε , which is contained in the quantities A_n , B_n and C in polynomial form.

We turn now to the multivariate case. Here we have explicit formal solutions which apply to all concrete cases listed in the appendix, resp. in the files attached, but may cover even more cases. To find this out for concrete parameter settings one is advised to check whether these particular solutions obey the corresponding difference equations.

For the Horn-type functions one obtains from (16), (17) the product solution for $f(m, n)$

$$\begin{aligned} f_H(m, n) &= \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^m \frac{-a + b - 2e - fn + hn + jn - jn^2 - bi_1 + 3ei_1 - hni_1 - ei_1^2}{(c - d + gn + di_1)i_1} \right] \\ &\times \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^n \frac{-a_1 + b_1 - 2e_1 - b_1 i_1 + 3e_1 i_1 - e_1 i_1^2}{(c_1 - d_1 + d_1 i_1)i_1} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

and for the functions S_1 and S_2 one has

$$f_{S_1}(m, n) = \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^n \frac{-a_1 + b_1 - 2e_1 - b_1 i_1 + 3e_1 i_1 - e_1 i_1^2}{(c_1 - d_1 + d_1 i_1) i_1} \right] \\ \times \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{(c - d + 2l + gn - nq + di_1 - 3li_1 + nqi_1 + li_1^2) i_1} (-a + b \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 2e - fn + hn + jn - jn^2 + 6p - 2nr - ns + n^2 s - bi_1 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 3ei_1 - hni_1 - 11pi_1 + 3nri_1 + nsi_1 - n^2 si_1 - ei_1^2 + 6pi_1^2 - nri_1^2 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - pi_1^3) \right) \right] \quad (92)$$

$$f_{S_2}(m, n) = \left(\prod_{i_1=1}^n \frac{-a_1 + b_1 - 2e_1 - b_1 i_1 + 3e_1 i_1 - e_1 i_1^2}{(c_1 - d_1 + 2p_1 + d_1 i_1 - 3p_1 i_1 + p_1 i_1^2) i_1} \right) \\ \times \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{(c - d + 2l + gn - nq + di_1 - 3li_1 + nqi_1 + li_1^2) i_1} (-a + b - 2e - fn \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + hn + jn - jn^2 + 6p - 2nr - ns + n^2 s - bi_1 + 3ei_1 - hni_1 - 11pi_1 + 3nri_1 \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + nsi_1 - n^2 si_1 - ei_1^2 + 6pi_1^2 - nri_1^2 - pi_1^3) \right) \right]. \quad (93)$$

Equation 91 can be rewritten as

$$f(n, m) = \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{m!n! \left(\frac{c_1}{d_1} \right)_n \left(\frac{c}{d} + \frac{gn}{d} \right)_m} \left(\frac{e}{d} \right)^m \left(\frac{e_1}{d_1} \right)^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b_1}{2e_1} - \frac{r_1}{2e_1} \right)_n \\ \times \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b_1}{2e_1} + \frac{r_1}{2e_1} \right)_n \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{2e} + \frac{hn}{2e} - \frac{r_2}{2e} \right)_m \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{b}{2e} + \frac{hn}{2e} + \frac{r_2}{2e} \right)_m, \quad (94)$$

with

$$r_1 = \sqrt{(b_1 - e_1)^2 - 4a_1 e_1} \quad (95)$$

$$r_2 = \sqrt{(b - 3e + hn)^2 - 4e(a - b + 2e + fn - hn - jn + jn^2)}. \quad (96)$$

The Pochhammer-form of (94) directly allows the ε -expansion, if the free parameters in the Pochhammer symbols are replaced accordingly by expressions that contain also the ε -parameter. Further simplifications are obtained using the replacement rules given in Appendix A. E.g. for the Appell function F_1 one obtains

$$f(n, m) = \frac{(\alpha)_{m+n} (\beta)_m (\beta')_n}{m!n! (\gamma)_{m+n}}, \quad (97)$$

by using the replacements given in (298), Appendix B.

In the tri-variate cases one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(m, n, p) = & \left(\prod_{i_1=1}^m \frac{-A + B_1 - 2E_1 - B_1 i_1 + 3E_1 i_1 - E_1 i_1^2}{(B_0 - E_0 + E_0 i_1) i_1} \right) \\
 & \times \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{(C'_0 - F'_0 + H'_2 m + F'_0 i_1) i_1} (-A' + C'_1 - 2F'_1 - B'_1 m \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + E'_1 m + H'_1 m - E'_1 m^2 - C'_1 i_1 + 3F'_1 i_1 - H'_1 m i_1 - F'_1 i_1^2) \right) \right] \\
 & \times \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^p \left(\frac{1}{(D''_0 - G''_0 + L''_2 m + nS''_2 + G''_0 i_1) i_1} (-A'' + D''_1 - 2G''_1 - B''_1 m + E''_1 m \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. + L''_1 m - E''_1 m^2 - C''_1 n + F''_1 n - H''_1 m n - F''_1 n^2 + nS''_1 - D''_1 i_1 + 3G''_1 i_1 - L''_1 m i_1 \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - nS''_1 i_1 - G''_1 i_1^2) \right) \right]. \quad (98)
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in the four-variate case the product solution reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(m, n, p, q) = & \left(\prod_{i_1=1}^m \frac{-A + B_1 - 2F_1 - B_1 i_1 + 3F_1 i_1 - F_1 i_1^2}{(B_0 - F_0 + F_0 i_1) i_1} \right) \\
 & \times \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^n \frac{1}{(C'_0 - G'_0 + mM'_2 + G'_0 i_1) i_1} (-A' + C'_1 - 2G'_1 - B'_1 m + F'_1 m \right. \\
 & \left. - F'_1 m^2 + mM'_1 - C'_1 i_1 + 3G'_1 i_1 - mM'_1 i_1 - G'_1 i_1^2) \right] \\
 & \times \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^p \frac{1}{(D''_0 - H''_0 + mN''_2 + nQ''_2 + H''_0 i_1) i_1} (-A'' + D''_1 - 2H''_1 - B''_1 m + F''_1 m \right. \\
 & \left. - F''_1 m^2 - C''_1 n + G''_1 n - mN''_1 n - G''_1 n^2 + mN''_1 + nQ''_1 - D''_1 i_1 + 3H''_1 i_1 \right. \\
 & \left. - mN''_1 i_1 - nQ''_1 i_1 - H''_1 i_1^2) \right] \\
 & \times \left[\prod_{i_1=1}^q \frac{1}{(E'''_0 - L'''_0 + mP'''_2 + nR'''_2 + pS'''_2 + L'''_0 i_1) i_1} (-A''' + E'''_1 - 2L'''_1 - B'''_1 m \right. \\
 & \left. + F'''_1 m - F'''_1 m^2 - C'''_1 n + G'''_1 n - mN'''_1 n - G'''_1 n^2 - D'''_1 p + H'''_1 p - mN'''_1 p \right. \\
 & \left. - H'''_1 p^2 + mP'''_1 - nP'''_1 + nR'''_1 + pS'''_1 - E'''_1 i_1 + 3L'''_1 i_1 - mP'''_1 i_1 - nR'''_1 i_1 \right. \\
 & \left. - pS'''_1 i_1 - L'''_1 i_1^2) \right]. \quad (99)
 \end{aligned}$$

Pochhammer solutions are of advantage, since the ε -expansion can be derived more easily compared to the case of the product solutions. The contributing powers in i_1 in the above products determine the degree, d , of the algebraic equations to switch to the associated Pochhammer form, which is in many cases $d=2$ and $d=3$ for the functions S_1 and S_2 of Eqs. (21)-(23), and various other functions considered in the present paper. In the ${}_pF_q$ case it can be even of higher order. Here complex solutions will appear in general for the Pochhammer symbols. The first index of the Pochhammer symbol will imply a new constant in the ground field to be used in the summation problem. However, still the solutions remain real. If the corresponding algebraic equations can be solved in closed form the special conditions discussed in Appendix B need not to be obeyed.

In any case, if the degree d of the algebraic equations is too high, in particular, if the algebraic extensions get too complicated, one can use the general tools developed in Section 4.1 to derive the ε -expansion by introducing generalized versions of harmonic sums and Hurwitz type sums where the summands have denominators which do not factor linearly.

4 Computing the expansion in ε

Performing the expansion in the dimensional parameter ε on the basis of series representation around x_i in the vicinity of zero, the convergence region of the respective series has to be known in general. For the one-parameter series we consider the ${}_pF_q$ functions, which converge for $|x| < 1$ for $p \leq q + 1$, [7], which we are going to consider. In the bi-variate case one has [7]

$$F_1, F_3 : |x|, |y| < 1, \quad (100)$$

$$F_2 : |x| + |y| < 1, \quad (101)$$

$$F_4 : \sqrt{|x|} + \sqrt{|y|} < 1. \quad (102)$$

In the attachment `converg.m` we present the corresponding convergence conditions for all functions up to three variables, as have been given in [20], in computer-readable form, for the convenience of the user. These conditions are partly very involved. An example is f_{26f}

$$f_{26f} : |z| < \frac{1}{4}, |x| < \frac{1}{1 + 2\sqrt{|z|}}, |y| < \sqrt{1 + |x|(1 + 2\sqrt{|z|})} - \sqrt{|x|(1 + 2\sqrt{|z|})}, \quad (103)$$

[20]. More involved conditions are obtained in the four-variate case. They may be derived using d'Alemberts ratio test [83, 149] to these cases.

In general, multi-sums appear with complicated hypergeometric products and one may try to apply, e.g., the package `EvaluateMultiSums` [125–128] (utilizing the difference ring algorithms [63–67, 129–137] available in `Sigma`) to represent these sums to indefinite nested sums. In general, this seems not possible. But we will show how this goal can be accomplished for various interesting cases with our computer algebra tools. In particular, if the products depend on the dimensional parameter ε and one is interested in its ε -expansion, the best tactic is to perform the ε -expansion of the innermost summand, given in terms of hypergeometric products, and to apply afterwards the summation quantifiers to the coefficients of the expansion; here one has to take care that the interchange of infinite summation quantifiers and the differential operator w.r.t. ε is possible. To accomplish this task, we will first explain how such products can be expanded in full generality. Afterwards we will focus on the task to carry out the summations on top of the ε -expansion.

4.1 The ε -expansion of the summand

In general the summand is built by a product of the form

$$\prod_{i=\ell}^n h(\varepsilon, i), \quad (104)$$

where $h(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{K}(\varepsilon, x)$ is a rational function in the variables ε and x , or by a linear combination of power products of such products; for concrete examples see (91) and below. For simplicity we suppress further summation variables that may arise in h and move them

to the ground field (e.g., for the variables m, n in (91) we take the rational function field $\mathbb{K} = K(m, n)$ over a field K of characteristic 0).

Before expanding in the dimensional parameter ε one may map to Pochhammer symbols. In such a representation ε occurs usually in the form

$$(a + r\varepsilon)_n, \quad \text{with } r \in \mathbb{Q}. \quad (105)$$

The series expansion is then given in terms of harmonic sums [68, 69] at argument a and $a + n$, with $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_-$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a + r\varepsilon)_n &= \frac{\Gamma(n + a + r\varepsilon)}{\Gamma(a + r\varepsilon)} = (a)_n \left\{ 1 + r\varepsilon \left[\frac{n}{a(a+n)} - S_1(a) + S_1(a+n) \right] + r^2\varepsilon^2 \left[-\frac{n}{a(a+n)^2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(-\frac{n}{a(a+n)} - S_1(a+n) \right) S_1(a) + \frac{1}{2} S_1^2(a) + \frac{n S_1(a+n)}{a(a+n)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(S_1^2(a+n) \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + S_2(a) - S_2(a+n) \right) \right] + r^3\varepsilon^3 \left[\frac{n}{a(a+n)^3} + \left(\frac{n}{a(a+n)^2} - \frac{n S_1(a+n)}{a(a+n)} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(S_1^2(a+n) + S_2(a) - S_2(a+n) \right) \right) \right] S_1(a) + \left(\frac{n}{2a(a+n)} + \frac{1}{2} S_1(a+n) \right) S_1^2(a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{6} S_1^3(a) + \left(-\frac{n}{a(a+n)^2} + \frac{1}{2} S_2(a) - \frac{1}{2} S_2(a+n) \right) S_1(a+n) + \frac{n S_1^2(a+n)}{2a(a+n)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{6} S_1^3(a+n) + \frac{n S_2(a)}{2a(a+n)} - \frac{n S_2(a+n)}{2a(a+n)} - \frac{1}{3} S_3(a) + \frac{1}{3} S_3(a+n) \right] \right\} + O(\varepsilon^4). \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

Here the harmonic sums [68, 69] are defined by

$$S_{b, \vec{a}}(N) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(\text{sign}(b))^k}{k^{|\vec{b}|}} S_{\vec{a}}(k), \quad S_{\emptyset} = 1, \quad a_i, b \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (107)$$

Here, \vec{a} denotes the tuple (a_1, \dots, a_l) for a harmonic sum with $l + 1$ indices.

Analogous expressions are obtained in the case that the Pochhammer symbols depend on ε polynomially. The harmonic sums $S_{\vec{c}}(a; n)$ will be called *Hurwitz harmonic sums*, since they converge to the Hurwitz ζ -values [150] in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$.

These sums are defined by

$$S_1(a; n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{a+k} \quad (108)$$

$$S_{c, \vec{b}}(a; n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(\text{sign}(c))^k}{(a+k)^{|\vec{c}|}} S_{\vec{b}}(a; k). \quad (109)$$

Single Hurwitz harmonic sums are given by

$$S_l(a; n) \equiv S_l(a+n) - S_l(a), \quad a \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (110)$$

Here the harmonic sums are understood as derived from their Mellin transformation, cf. Ref. [69]. More involved relations of this kind hold also for nested sums. In the course of further summation in the multivariate case also the Hurwitz generalizations of the sum having been dealt with in Refs. [70, 151–153] can occur.

If the multiplicands $h(\varepsilon, i)$ of the arising products (104) do not factorize linearly over the given field, one has to introduce algebraic extensions, such as given in (95), in order to obtain the product representations as given in (105). In the case that one wants to avoid

such non-trivial field extensions (which are often hard to handle with symbolical tools), we propose the following general and rather flexible method.

Let ℓ be an integer and suppose that $f_i(\varepsilon)$ are functions in ε which are nonzero and complex differentiable around 0 (and thus infinitely many times complex differentiable) for all integers $i \geq \ell$. By the product rule (and the quotient rule for the second identity) it follows that

$$\partial_\varepsilon \prod_{i=\ell}^n f_i(\varepsilon) = \left(\prod_{i=\ell}^n f_i(\varepsilon) \right) \sum_{i=\ell}^n \frac{\partial_\varepsilon f_i(\varepsilon)}{f_i(\varepsilon)}, \quad (111)$$

$$\partial_\varepsilon \prod_{i=\ell}^n \frac{1}{f_i(\varepsilon)} = - \left(\prod_{i=\ell}^n \frac{1}{f_i(\varepsilon)} \right) \sum_{i=\ell}^n \frac{\partial_\varepsilon f_i(\varepsilon)}{f_i(\varepsilon)} \quad (112)$$

holds for all $n \geq \ell$.

Since $f_i(\varepsilon)$ for $i \geq \ell$ is infinitely many times differentiable around 0, also the summand $\frac{\partial_\varepsilon f_i(\varepsilon)}{f_i(\varepsilon)}$ and thus the finite sums in (111) and (112) are infinitely many times differentiable around 0. E.g., we get

$$\partial_\varepsilon \sum_{i=\ell}^n \frac{\partial_\varepsilon f_i(\varepsilon)}{f_i(\varepsilon)} = \sum_{i=\ell}^n \frac{f_i(\varepsilon) \partial_\varepsilon^2 f_i(\varepsilon) - (\partial_\varepsilon f_i(\varepsilon))^2}{f_i(\varepsilon)^2}.$$

As a consequence, we can apply D_ε^r iteratively on $\prod_{i=\ell}^n f_i(\varepsilon)$ and obtain an explicit expression $F_r(\varepsilon)$ given by the product $\prod_{i=\ell}^n f_i(\varepsilon)$ itself times a polynomial expression in terms of sums where the denominator is of the form $f_i(n)^r$ and the numerator is built by a linear combination of power products of the form $f_i(\varepsilon)^{e_0} (\partial f_i(\varepsilon))^{e_1} \dots (\partial^r f_i(\varepsilon))^{e_r}$ with $e_0 + \dots + e_r = r$ and $e_0 < r$.

To calculate ε -expansions for such products, we assume from now on in addition that $f_i(0) \neq 0$ holds for all $i \geq \ell$. Then

$$F_r(0) = \left. \frac{\partial_\varepsilon^r f_i(\varepsilon)}{f_i(\varepsilon)} \right|_{\varepsilon=0}$$

is well defined and by Taylor's formula we get the power series expansion

$$\prod_{i=\ell}^n f_i(\varepsilon) = F_u(0) \varepsilon^u + F_{u+1}(0) \varepsilon^{u+1} + F_2(0) \varepsilon^{u+2} + \dots$$

with order $u \geq 0$ where $F_u(0) \neq 0$.

Within the package `EvaluateMultiSums` we specialized this general mechanism to the product case (104), i.e., we assume that $f_i(\varepsilon) = h(\varepsilon, x)$ where $h(\varepsilon, x) \in \mathbb{K}(\varepsilon, i)$ is a rational function in the variables ε and x . If $h(0, i)$ is zero for some $i \geq \ell$, we take $\ell' \geq \ell$ as the minimal value such that this is not the case and extract the critical part with

$$\prod_{i=\ell}^n f_i(\varepsilon) = r(\varepsilon) \prod_{i=\ell'}^n h(\varepsilon, i)$$

where $r(\varepsilon) = \prod_{i=\ell}^{\ell'-1} h(\varepsilon, i) \in \mathbb{K}(\varepsilon)$ is a rational function in ε . We may assume that $r(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^s \frac{p(\varepsilon)}{q(\varepsilon)}$ for an integer s where p, q are coprime polynomials in ε with $p(0)q(0) \neq 0$. Applying now the above machinery to $\prod_{i=\ell'}^n h(\varepsilon, i)$ leads to a power series expansion of

order $u \geq 0$ as stated above. In addition, we can compute a Laurent series expansion of r in ε of order s . Thus by the Cauchy product we end up at the Laurent series expansion

$$\prod_{i=\ell}^n h(\varepsilon, i) = H_t \varepsilon^t + H_{t+1} \varepsilon^{t+1} + H_{t+2} \varepsilon^{t+2} + \dots$$

of order $t = u + s$. Here each coefficient H_r is given by $\prod_{i=\ell'}^n h(0, i)$ times a polynomial expression in terms of single sums $\sum_{i=\ell'}^n \frac{a(i)}{h(0, i)^r}$ where $a(i)$ is built by a linear combinations of power products of the form $h(\varepsilon, i)^{e_0} (\partial h(\varepsilon, i))^{e_1} \dots (\partial^r h(\varepsilon, i))^{e_r} |_{\varepsilon=0}$ with $e_0 + \dots + e_r = r$ and $e_0 < r$.

In order to obtain a nicer output, we factorize the input multiplicand $h(\varepsilon, i)$ over the fixed field \mathbb{K} and pull over the product sign to each irreducible factor. In addition, we replace any product $(\prod_{i=\ell'}^n p(\varepsilon, i))^{-z}$ with $z > 0$ to $(\prod_{i=\ell'}^n \frac{1}{p(\varepsilon, i)})^z$ with positive exponents z and use (112) instead of (111). Carrying out the expansions for each product and combining them by the Cauchy product yield an expression in terms of the input product $\prod_{i=\ell}^n h(0, i)$ (or a power product built by the irreducible parts $(\prod_{i=\ell'}^n p(0, i))^{-z}$) times a polynomial expression of sums of the form $\sum_{i=\ell'}^n \frac{a(i)}{p(0, i)^r}$ where $a(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ is a polynomial of degree less than or equal the degree of the polynomial $p(0, x)^r$.

The following remarks should be stated. First, applying this general method to $(a + r\varepsilon)_n = \prod_{i=1}^n p(\varepsilon, i)$ with $p(\varepsilon, x) = (-1 + a + \varepsilon r + x)$ we rediscover precisely the ε -expansion given in (106). Second, the polynomial $p(0, i)$ may be reducible and thus the denominators in the sums can be split further. In this case the routines of the package EvaluateMultiSums (using the summation tools of Sigma) split the sums automatically further. E.g., calling the command SeriesForProduct [SigmaProduct [2\varepsilon + 2i + \varepsilon i + 3i^2 + 6\varepsilon i^2 + i^3 + \varepsilon i^3, {i, 1, n}], {\varepsilon, 0, 2}, {n}] of EvaluateMultiSums yields the ε -expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n h(\varepsilon, i) = n!^3 & \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon^0}{2} (1+n)^2 (2+n) \right. \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon^1}{2} (1+n) (n(-6-3n+n^2) + 3(1+n)(2+n)S_1(n)) \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} (n(498+597n+185n^2-9n^3+n^4) + 6(1+n)(-2-9n-4n^2+n^3)S_1(n) \\ & \left. + 9(1+n)^2(2+n)S_1(n)^2 - 101(1+n)^2(2+n)S_2(n)) \right\} + O(\varepsilon^3) \end{aligned}$$

for the irreducible polynomial $h(\varepsilon, x) = 2\varepsilon + 2x + \varepsilon x + 3x^2 + 6\varepsilon x^2 + x^3 + \varepsilon x^3 \in \mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon, x]$ which factorizes linearly to $h(0, x) = x(x+1)(x+2)$ when it is evaluated at $\varepsilon = 0$.

However, for a generic irreducible polynomial $p(\varepsilon, x)$, also $p(0, x)$ is irreducible. For instance, consider the product expression

$$f(\varepsilon, n) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (2 - \varepsilon + B_1 - C - 3i - B_1 i + i^2)}{n!(A_1 - 4\varepsilon)_n}, \quad (113)$$

where $f(0, n)$ equals to the product given in (71). Then applying the command SeriesForProduct to this expression gives

$$\begin{aligned} f(\varepsilon, n) = & \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (2 + B_1 - C - 3i - B_1 i + i^2)}{n!(A_1)_n} \\ & \times \left\{ \varepsilon^0 + \varepsilon^1 \left(4 \left(\frac{n}{A(A+n)} - S_1(A) + S_1(A+n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2+B-C-(3+B)i+i^2} \right) \right) \right\} + O(\varepsilon^2). \quad (114) \end{aligned}$$

If necessary or appropriate, depending on the application, the found sum solutions (and the products) can be factorized further (within an appropriate algebraic field extension).

4.2 Symbolic summation

We consider now multi-sums over such products (e.g., a single sum over the discrete parameter n , or sums over further discrete parameters that appear in other products or even inside of products). Applying the package `EvaluateMultiSum` one can now try to work from the inner sum towards the outermost sum and to transform the definite sums stepwise to indefinite nested versions. Internally, one computes stepwise recurrences and tries to solve these recurrences within the class of indefinite nested sums; for details see [62]. In the case that a sum has an infinite upper bound, one first considers a truncated version with the upper bound N , applies the symbolic summation tools to this version and performs afterwards the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ using procedures available in the package `HarmonicSums` [68–70, 151–163]. Since in our application also the formal parameters x_i are involved, it may turn out in the course of the summation that the summation problem cannot be solved for certain classes of cases, while it is possible in others. In particular, if the ε parameter appears in the innermost summand, it is of great advantage to first expand in ε and to apply afterwards the summation tools to the coefficients of the expansion which are free of ε . To carry out the infinite sums after the ε -expansion, the infinite power series have to be considered in their convergence region around zero to perform the infinite sums, see `converg.m` for the cases up to three variables.

For instance, we take the summand in (113) and specialize it further to $A \rightarrow 3$, $B \rightarrow -2$, $C \rightarrow -1$. Then with the expansion (114) we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (\varepsilon + 1 - i + i^2)}{n!(3 - 4\varepsilon)_n} = G_0 + \varepsilon G_1 + O(\varepsilon^2),$$

with

$$G_0 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - i + i^2)}{n!(3)_n}$$

$$G_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - i + i^2)}{n!(3)_n} \left(-6 + \frac{4}{1+n} + \frac{4}{2+n} + 4S_1(n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1-i+i^2} \right).$$

Given this expansion, we apply our summation tools to the ε -free sums in the second step. For G_0 we consider first the truncated version and get the simplification

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - i + i^2)}{n!(3)_n} = \frac{(3+N)(1+N+N^2)}{3} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N (1 - i + i^2)}{N!(3)_N}.$$

Finally, we perform $N \rightarrow \infty$ yielding

$$G_0 = \frac{2 \cosh(\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2})}{3\pi}.$$

The sum G_1 is more complicated and the command `EvaluateMultiSum[G1]` produces the output

$$G_1 = -\frac{8}{3} + \frac{8C}{3} - \frac{20 \cosh(\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2})}{9\pi} + \frac{2 \cosh(\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2})}{3\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-i+i^2},$$

with the extra constant

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\pi k} \cosh \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2} \right] - \frac{1}{(k!)^2} \prod_{l=1}^k (1 - l + l^2) \right). \quad (115)$$

As will be shown by non-trivial considerations in Appendix E this convergent sum can be simplified to

$$C = 1 + \frac{2 \cosh \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2} \right] \left\{ \Re \left[\psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \right] + \gamma_E \right\}}{\pi}, \quad (116)$$

with γ_E the Euler–Mascheroni constant and $\psi(x)$ the digamma function.

If this transformation works successfully (in particular, if the recurrences arising within the course of the transformation can be fully solved within the class of indefinite nested sums defined over hypergeometric products), one obtains finally an expression in terms of special functions $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, which are the results of the ε -expansion of the respective higher transcendental function. In this process one tries to keep the parameters symbolically and one finally inserts the respective function of the parameters of the original differential equations. This will in general lead to representations in radicals. For numerical representations this is not problematic, while the analytic representations are involved. Calculating the respective amplitudes for off-shell invariants one may use these quantities in principle in higher loop diagrams by observing the respective kinematics. Whether this will be a practical method compared to the direct calculation of the higher loop diagrams has to be seen in the respective cases.

Summarizing, the ε -expansion leads to (multiple) infinite sums which can be simplified further by symbolic summation in many non-trivial applications. These are functions of the corresponding set of variables, either in terms of functions which also appear in other quantum field-theoretic calculations [70, 151, 152, 163] or higher transcendental functions. Frequently the different letters appear within root-valued expressions.

In the examples that we will present in Section 5 below or in the Mathematica notebooks attached one obtains e.g. the following sums

$$s_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^i \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_i}{i! i} \quad (117)$$

$$s_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2i} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_i}{i!} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{y^{-j} j!}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_j} \quad (118)$$

and much more complicated structures and variables, as shown in the attachment in several examples. The above sums evaluate to

$$s_1 = -2 + 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y}} - 2 \ln \left[\frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{1-y}) \right] \quad (119)$$

$$s_2 = -\frac{y}{(1-x^2)(x^2-y)} - \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{y}{(1-x^2)^{3/2} \sqrt{1-y}} \left[\operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y}} \right) + \operatorname{arctanh} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{\sqrt{1-y}} \right) \right]. \quad (120)$$

In general one has to introduce integral representations successively as has been described in Ref. [152] in detail.

5 The full machinery

In the following we consider bi-variate examples starting from their partial differential equation down to their infinite sum representation and ε -expansion to illustrate the principle formalism.

5.1 Example 1

Consider for example the system of equations

$$\left[(x-1)y\partial_{x,y}^2 + \left[x\left(2\varepsilon + \frac{7}{2}\right) - \varepsilon + 1 \right] \partial_x + (x-1)x\partial_x^2 + y(2\varepsilon + 1)\partial_y + \frac{3}{2}(2\varepsilon + 1) \right] f(x, y) = 0, \quad (121)$$

$$\left[x(y-1)\partial_{x,y}^2 + x(4-\varepsilon)\partial_x + \left[y\left(\frac{13}{2} - \varepsilon\right) - \varepsilon + 1 \right] \partial_y + (y-1)y\partial_y^2 + \frac{3(4-\varepsilon)}{2} \right] f(x, y) = 0, \quad (122)$$

for which we search for a solution of the form (53) with $r = 2$ where $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = y$. Computing a first-order recurrence system of $A(n_1, n_2) = A(m, n)$ and solving it by the method presented in Section 3.1 provides the solution

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} A(m, n) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m y^n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{m+n} (4-\varepsilon)_n (1+2\varepsilon)_m}{m!n!(-1+\varepsilon)_{m+n}}. \quad (123)$$

A series expansion of the summand $A(m, n)$ in (123) up to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} A(m, n) = & -\frac{1}{6} \frac{x^m y^n (3+n)! \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{m+n}}{n!(-2+m+n)! \varepsilon} + \frac{1}{36} \left[-\frac{1}{(1+n)(2+n)(3+n)(m+n)(-1+m+n)} \right. \\ & \times (-36 - 30n + 17n^2 + 97n^3 + 79n^4 + 17n^5 + m^2(36 + 115n + 84n^2 + 17n^3) \\ & \left. + m(36 + 89n + 218n^2 + 163n^3 + 34n^4)) - 12S_1(m) + 6S_1(n) + 6S_1(m+n) \right] \\ & \times \frac{x^m y^n (3+n)! \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{m+n}}{n!(-2+m+n)!} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (124)$$

A series expansion of (123) in the region $0 < x < \sqrt{y}$, $0 < y < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f_{-1}(x, y) + f_0(x, y) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \quad (125)$$

is possible using `EvaluateMultiSum` and results in an expression involving the sums

$$R_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^i \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_i}{i!} = -1 + \frac{1}{(1-x)^{3/2}} \quad (126)$$

$$R_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^i \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_i}{i!} = -1 + \frac{1}{(1-y)^{3/2}} \quad (127)$$

at $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})$. In the following we present explicitly the functions $f_{-1}(x, y)$ and $f_0(x, y)$. The function $f_{-1}(x, y)$ reads

$$f_{-1}(x, y) = -\frac{15x^6}{4(x-y)^4(1-x)^{7/2}} - \frac{15y^3}{64(x-y)^4(1-y)^{13/2}} [y^3(160+80y-10y^2+y^3) - xy^2(576+176y-64y^2+5y^3) + x^3(-320+120y-36y^2+5y^3) + 3x^2y(240+8y-22y^2+5y^3)]. \quad (128)$$

In addition, one encounters at $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^0)$ the sums

$$R_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^i \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_i}{(1+2i)^2 i!} = -1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \arcsin(\sqrt{x}) \quad (129)$$

$$R_3 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^i \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_i}{i i!} = -2 + 2 \ln(2) + 2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-y}} - 2H_{-1}(\sqrt{1-y}) \quad (130)$$

$$R_4 = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i_1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1}}{i_1!} \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{1}{1+2i_2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{H_1(x)}{(1-x)^{3/2}} \quad (131)$$

$$R_5 = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i_1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1}}{i_1!} \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{y^{-i_2} i_2!}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_2}} = \frac{y}{(1-x)(y-x)} - \frac{1}{(1-x)^{3/2}} + \frac{y}{2(1-x)^{3/2}\sqrt{1-y}} [i\pi - H_0(\sqrt{1-y} - \sqrt{1-x}) - 2H_{-1}(\sqrt{1-y}) + H_0(y) + H_0(\sqrt{1-y} + \sqrt{1-x})] \quad (132)$$

$$R_6 = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i_1} y^{i_1} \left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1}\right)^2}{(i_1!)^2} \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{y^{-i_2} i_2!}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_2}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dt \left[\frac{-1+t}{\pi(-1+t+y)} \left[\frac{1}{(1-(1-t)x)^2} [4E(x-tx) - 2[1-(1-t)x]K(x-tx)] - \frac{4E(xy) + 2(-1+xy)K(xy)}{(-1+xy)^2} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \right] \quad (133)$$

$$R_7 = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i_1} y^{i_1} \left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1}\right)^2}{(i_1!)^2 (1+2i_1)^2} \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{y^{-i_2} i_2!}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_2}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 dt \frac{t-1}{\sqrt{t}(t+y-1)} [K(x(1-t)) - K(xy)] \quad (134)$$

$$R_8 = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{i_1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1}}{i_1!(1+2i_1)} \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{x^{i_2} y^{-i_2}}{i_2} = -2 \frac{H_0(\sqrt{1-x} + \sqrt{1-y})}{\sqrt{1-y}} + 2 \frac{H_{-1}(\sqrt{1-y})}{\sqrt{1-y}} \quad (135)$$

$$R_9 = \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{i_1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1}}{i_1!} \left(\sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{y^{-i_2} i_2!}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_2}} \right) \left(\sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{y^{i_2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_2}}{i_2!} \right) = \frac{1}{(1-y)^{5/2}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{(1-x)^{3/2}} - 1 \right) \left((1-y)^{3/2} - 1 \right) \left(\sqrt{1-y} (H_{-1}(\sqrt{1-y}) - \frac{H_0(y)}{2} + \frac{i\pi}{2}) - y + 1 \right) \right] + \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi(1-y)^2 \sqrt{1-y} \Gamma(1+i_1) \Gamma(2+i_1)} \times \left[4x^{i_1} y^{1+i_1} \left[1 - y + \sqrt{1-y} \left(\frac{i\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{2} H_0(y) + H_{-1}(\sqrt{1-y}) \right) \right] \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2} + i_1\right) \right] \times \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{2} + i_1\right) {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1+i_1; 2+i_1; y\right) \right] - \frac{1}{(-1+y)\sqrt{\pi-\pi y} \Gamma(1+i_1)} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \left[2x^{i_1} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2} + i_1\right) {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1 + i_1; 2 + i_1; y\right) {}_2F_1\left(1, 2 + i_1; \frac{5}{2} + i_1; \frac{1}{y}\right) \right] \\ & - \frac{1}{(-1 + y)\sqrt{\pi - \pi y}(3 + 2i_1)} \left[2\sqrt{\pi} x^{i_1} y^{-1-i_1} (-1 + (1 - y)^{3/2}) \right. \\ & \left. \times {}_2F_1\left(1, 2 + i_1; \frac{5}{2} + i_1; \frac{1}{y}\right) (1 + i_1) \right] \Big\} \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{10} &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{i_1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1} S_1(i_1) i_1}{i_1!} = -3 \ln(2) y \frac{1}{(1 - y)^{5/2}} + \frac{3}{2} y \frac{H_1(y)}{(1 - y)^{5/2}} \\ &+ 3y \frac{H_{-1}(\sqrt{1 - y})}{(1 - y)^{5/2}} + \left[1 + y(3 - 2\sqrt{1 - y}) - \sqrt{1 - y} \right] \frac{1}{(1 - y)^{5/2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

as well as the combination

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= (1 - (1 - x)^{3/2}) \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{i_1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1}}{i_1! (1 + 2i_1)} \sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{y^{-i_2} i_2!}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_2}} \\ &- (1 - x)^{3/2} \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{y^{i_1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_1}}{i_1! (1 + 2i_1)} \left(\sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{y^{-i_2} i_2!}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_2}} \right) \left(\sum_{i_2=1}^{i_1} \frac{x^{i_2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)_{i_2}}{i_2!} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left[2 - 2\sqrt{1 - x} + 2x\sqrt{1 - x} - 3x {}_2F_1\left(\frac{5}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, 1; 2; xy, x\right) (1 - x)^{3/2} \right] [i\pi y \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\sqrt{1 - y} - yH_0(y) + 2yH_{-1}(\sqrt{1 - y})] \right\} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - y}} \\ &- \sum_{i_1=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{x^{1+i_1} (1 - x)^{3/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + i_1\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} y \Gamma(1 + i_1)} {}_2F_1\left(1, 2 + i_1; \frac{5}{2} + i_1; \frac{1}{y}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{5}{2} + i_1; 2 + i_1; x\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

The harmonic polylogarithms [163] are defined by

$$H_{b,\vec{a}}(x) = \int_0^x dy f_b(y) H_{\vec{a}}(y), \quad H_{\emptyset} = 1, \quad b, a_i \in \{0, -1, 1\}, \quad (139)$$

with

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{x}, \quad f_{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + x}, \quad f_1(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x}. \quad (140)$$

One can further employ the relations

$${}_2F_1\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}; 1, z\right) = \frac{2(z - 1)K(z) + 4E(z)}{\pi(z - 1)^2} \quad (141)$$

$$K(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1 - t^2)(1 - zt^2)}} dt = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; z\right) \quad (142)$$

$$E(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1 - zt^2}}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt = \frac{\pi}{2} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, z\right). \quad (143)$$

The function $f_0(x, y)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_0(x, y) = & \frac{5R_{10}y^2}{16(1-y)^4(x-y)^4} \left[y^3(160+80y-10y^2+y^3) - xy^2(576+176y-64y^2+5y^3) \right. \\
 & \left. + x^3(-320+120y-36y^2+5y^3) + 3x^2y(240+8y-22y^2+5y^3) \right] \\
 & - \frac{15R_8y^3}{32(1-y)^6(x-y)^4} \left[y^3(160+80y-10y^2+y^3) - xy^2(576+176y-64y^2+5y^3) \right. \\
 & \left. + x^3(-320+120y-36y^2+5y^3) + 3x^2y(240+8y-22y^2+5y^3) \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{128(1-x)^2(1-y)^6y(x-y)^4} \left(-960x^7(-1+y)^7 + y^5(128-1344y+1536y^2 \right. \\
 & -4240y^3+4110y^4-223y^5+33y^6) + 8x^6y(4-24y+60y^2-4880y^3+1860y^4 \\
 & -564y^5+79y^6) + x^5y(-832+2752y-1920y^2+76160y^3+69280y^4-8772y^5 \\
 & +2427y^6-495y^7) - xy^4(512-4544y+3264y^2-34480y^3+4240y^4+3363y^5 \\
 & -141y^6+66y^7) + x^3y^2(-512+384y+11008y^2+83680y^3+169980y^4+6287y^5 \\
 & +5931y^6+747y^7-305y^8) + x^2y^3(768-4736y-2272y^2-84288y^3-56570y^4 \\
 & +11627y^5-3549y^6+387y^7+33y^8) + x^4y(128+1984y-9792y^2-29440y^3 \\
 & -180320y^4-63768y^5+10536y^6-8583y^7+2055y^8) \Big) \\
 & + R_1 \left[-\frac{1}{128(1-x)^2(1-y)^5y(x-y)^5} \left[960x^7(-1+y)^6 - y^6(128+4800y-4640y^2 \right. \right. \\
 & +4480y^3-270y^4+33y^5) + 2xy^5(320+10944y-4016y^2+4664y^3+1749y^4 \\
 & -101y^5+33y^6) + x^6y(-448+1920y-12800y^2+5440y^3+1920y^4-628y^5+65y^6) \\
 & -x^2y^4(1280+38080y+20128y^2-6304y^3+18546y^4-4204y^5+406y^6+33y^7) \\
 & +2x^3y^3(640+15040y+32080y^2-9896y^3+11559y^4-3791y^5-491y^6+169y^7) \\
 & -5x^4y^2(128+1856y+11712y^2+1856y^3-2076y^4+1727y^5-2058y^6+448y^7) \\
 & \left. \left. +2x^5y(64+320y+8000y^2+15360y^3-12080y^4+5164y^5-4170y^6+935y^7) \right] \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{15R_5x^6(1-y)^2}{2(1-x)^2y(x-y)^4} \right] + R_0 \left[\frac{1}{16(1-x)^2(1-y)^6y(x-y)^5} \left[-120x^8(-1+y)^7 \right. \right. \\
 & +y^6(-32+384y+2988y^2+140y^3-15y^4) + 5xy^5(32-352y-2676y^2-1772y^3 \\
 & -95y^4+12y^5) + 5x^2y^4(-64+608y+4688y^2+7516y^3+1723y^4+100y^5-18y^6) \\
 & +x^6y(20-624y+3324y^2+8040y^3+18380y^4-6720y^5+2164y^6-329y^7) \\
 & +5x^3y^3(64-448y-4056y^2-12396y^3-6809y^4-612y^5-10y^6+12y^7) \\
 & -5x^4y^2(32-64y-1888y^2-9120y^3-11664y^4-1436y^5-158y^6+40y^7+3y^8) \\
 & +x^5y(32+416y-2848y^2-12000y^3-46800y^4-11880y^5+430y^6-200y^7+85y^8) \\
 & \left. \left. +x^7(-60+304y-444y^2-360y^3-2780y^4-1080y^5+1536y^6-701y^7+120y^8) \right] \right. \\
 & + \frac{15R_3x^6}{4(1-x)^2(x-y)^4} - \frac{15R_1x^6(1-y)}{2(1-x)^2y(x-y)^4} \Big] + \frac{15R_9x^6(1-y)^2}{2(1-x)^2y(x-y)^4} \\
 & + \frac{15R_6x^6(1-y)(-1+(2+x)y)}{4(1-x)^2y(x-y)^4} + \frac{15R_3x^6}{4(1-x)^2(x-y)^4} + \frac{15R_2x^6(1-y)}{4(1-x)^2y(x-y)^4} \\
 & + \frac{15R_4x^6(1-y)}{2(1-x)^2y(x-y)^4} - \frac{15R_7x^6(1-y)}{4(1-x)^2y(x-y)^4} - \frac{15R_{11}x^6(1-y)}{2y(x-y)^4(1-x)^{7/2}}. \tag{144}
 \end{aligned}$$

5.2 Example 2

Consider for example the system of equations

$$1 + \varepsilon + (2 - x + \varepsilon)\partial_x + 2x(1 + x)\partial_x^2 = 0 \quad (145)$$

$$2 - \varepsilon + (1 - 2y + 2\varepsilon)\partial_y + y(3 + y)\partial_y^2 = 0. \quad (146)$$

We can write its solution as

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y) = \sum_{x, y \geq 0} A(m, n) x^m y^n \quad (147)$$

with

$$A(m, n) = \left(\prod_{i_1=1}^m \frac{-6 - \varepsilon + 7i_1 - 2i_1^2}{(\varepsilon + 2i_1)i_1} \right) \prod_{i_1=1}^n \frac{-6 + \varepsilon + 5i_1 - i_1^2}{(-2 + 2\varepsilon + 3i_1)i_1}. \quad (148)$$

The quantity $A(m, n)$ can also be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} A(m, n) = & \frac{(-1)^m \left(-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1-8\varepsilon} \right)_m \left(\frac{1}{4}(-3 + \sqrt{1-8\varepsilon}) \right)_m}{\left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)_m \Gamma(1+m)} \\ & \times \frac{(-1)^n 3^{-n} \left(-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+4\varepsilon} \right)_n \left(\frac{1}{2}(-3 + \sqrt{1+4\varepsilon}) \right)_n}{\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} \right)_n \Gamma(1+n)} \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

and $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y) = \left(\sum_{m \geq 0} x^m f_1(m, \varepsilon) \right) \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} y^n f_2(n, \varepsilon) \right). \quad (150)$$

$$= F_1(x, \varepsilon) F_2(y, \varepsilon). \quad (151)$$

Expanding F_1 and F_2 in a series in ε using `EvaluateMultiSums`, one can write an expression containing infinite (nested) sums. These are rewritten as iterated integrals following [152]. Two of the sums are written in semi-analytic form as definite integrals by writing part of the summand as the Mellin transform of a function. For example, we encounter the sum

$$s_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i x^i \left(-\frac{3}{2} + i \right)! \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{1+2j} \right) S_1(i)}{ii!}. \quad (152)$$

By isolating the term $i = 1$ and applying the Legendre duplication formula

$$\Gamma\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(2z)}{2^{2z-1} \Gamma(z)} \quad (153)$$

and the identity

$$\Gamma(2z) = \frac{1}{2} \binom{2z}{z} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z+1) \quad (154)$$

we write

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 = & -\frac{1}{3} x \sqrt{\pi} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{1+i} 2^{-2i} \sqrt{\pi} x^{1+i} \binom{2i}{i}}{(1+i)^3 (3+2i)} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{1+i} 2^{-2i} \sqrt{\pi} x^{1+i} \binom{2i}{i} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{1+2j}}{(1+i)^3} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{1+i} 2^{-2i} \sqrt{\pi} x^{1+i} \binom{2i}{i} S_1(i)}{(1+i)^2 (3+2i)} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{1+i} 2^{-2i} \sqrt{\pi} x^{1+i} \binom{2i}{i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{1+2j} \right) S_1(i)}{(1+i)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (155)$$

The first three sums are treated following [152]. The fourth sum can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{1+i} 2^{-2i} \sqrt{\pi} x^{1+i} \binom{2i}{i} (\sum_{j=1}^i \frac{1}{1+2j}) S_1(i)}{(1+i)^2} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{1+i} 2^{-2i} \sqrt{\pi} x^{1+i} \binom{2i}{i}}{(1+i)^2} \int_0^1 dz \left\{ (z^i - 1) \frac{1}{2(-1+z)} \left[-2 + 2z + (1 + \sqrt{z}) G\left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{1-\tau}; z\right) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + 2\sqrt{z}(1 - \ln(2)) \right] \right\} \\
 &= \int_0^1 dz \left\{ \frac{1}{2(z-1)} \left[-2 + 2z + (1 + \sqrt{z}) G\left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{1-\tau}; z\right) + 2\sqrt{z}(1 - \ln(2)) \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1 + z^i)(-1)^{1+i} 2^{-2i} \sqrt{\pi} x^{1+i} \binom{2i}{i}}{(1+i)^2} \right\} \\
 &= \int_0^1 dz \left\{ \frac{1}{2(z-1)} \left[-2 + 2z + (1 + \sqrt{z}) G\left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{1-\tau}; z\right) + 2\sqrt{z}(1 - \ln(2)) \right] \left[-\frac{4}{z} [-1 + z \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \sqrt{1+xz} - z\sqrt{1+x} + zH_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1+x})\right) - H_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1+xz})\right) \right] \sqrt{\pi} \right\}. \quad (156)
 \end{aligned}$$

The ε expansion of $F_1(x, \varepsilon)$ and $F_2(x, \varepsilon)$ then can be written by

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(x, \varepsilon) &= 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \varepsilon \left\{ -1 + \sqrt{1+x} + \frac{1}{4}x(-9 + 4\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{1}{2}(-2+x)H_0(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}(2-x)G_3(x) \right\} + \varepsilon^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \left[20(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + x(-33 + 4x + 20\sqrt{1+x}) \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (-2+x) \left(-\frac{1}{4}H_0(x)^2 + \frac{1}{4}H_{0,-1}(x) + \frac{G_{11}(x)}{4} - \frac{G_{12}(x)}{4} + \frac{G_5(x)^2}{8} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}(2-x)(G_8(x) + G_9(x)) + \frac{1}{4}(-4 + 13x)H_0(x) + \frac{1}{2}(1+x)^{3/2}H_{-1}(x) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left[1 - \frac{13x}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(-2+x)H_0(x) \right] G_3(x) + \left[\frac{1}{2}(1+x)^{3/2} + \frac{1}{4}(2-x)H_0(x) \right] G_5(x) \right\} \\
 &\quad + \varepsilon^3 \left\{ \frac{G_5(x)^2}{16} \left[x(17 - 4\sqrt{1+x}) - 4(3 + \sqrt{1+x}) \right] + G_{11}(x) \left[\frac{1}{8}(x(13 - 6\sqrt{1+x}) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - 6\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{1}{8}(-2+x)H_0(x) \right] + G_{12}(x) \left[\frac{1}{8} \left[2(6 + \sqrt{1+x}) + x(-17 + 2\sqrt{1+x}) \right] \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{3}{8}(-2+x)H_0(x) \right] + G_3(x) \left[\frac{9}{2} - \frac{105x}{8} + \frac{1}{4}(-14 + 17x)H_0(x) + \frac{1}{4}(2-x)H_0(x)^2 \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + G_5(x) \left[(-2+x) \left(-\frac{1}{16}H_0(x)^2 + \frac{1}{8}H_{0,-1}(x) + \frac{3G_{11}(x)}{8} - \frac{G_{12}(x)}{8} \right) \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} \left[-6x - 2x^2 + (1+x)(5 + 16x)\sqrt{1+x} \right] + \frac{1}{8} \left[x(-13 + 6\sqrt{1+x}) \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. \left. + 6\sqrt{1+x} \right] H_0(x) - \frac{1}{4}(1+x)^{3/2}H_{-1}(x) \right] + (-2+x) \left(\frac{1}{12}H_0(x)^3 - \frac{3}{8}H_{0,0,-1}(x) \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{8}H_{0,-1,-1}(x) - \frac{G_{18}(x)}{8} - \frac{5G_{19}(x)}{8} - \frac{3G_{20}(x)}{8} + \frac{G_{21}(x)}{8} - \frac{3G_{22}(x)}{4} + \frac{G_{23}(x)}{4} \\
& - \frac{1}{24}G_5(x)^3 + \frac{1}{2}(2-x)(G_{14}(x) + G_{15}(x) + G_{16}(x) + G_{17}(x)) \\
& + \frac{1}{240} \left\{ -60(-2+x) \int_0^1 dz \left\{ -\frac{1}{(-1+z)z} 2\sqrt{\pi} \left[-2+2z + (1+\sqrt{z})G_1(z) \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. -2\sqrt{z}(-1+\ln(2)) \right] \right\} \left[-1+z - \sqrt{1+xz} + \sqrt{1+xz} + zH_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{1+x})\right) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. -H_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+\sqrt{1+xz})\right) \right] \right\} + 180x \int_0^1 dz \left\{ -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{1+xz}} \sqrt{\pi}x(-1+\sqrt{1+xz}) \left[4 \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. +2\sqrt{z}[-8+2z^{3/2}-3\sqrt{z}(2+\zeta_2)+z(6-4\ln(2))+8\ln(2)] +4H_0(z) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. +4H_1(z) +2[-3-4\sqrt{z}+3z+2z^{3/2}+(-1+z)H_0(z)+(-1+z)H_1(z)+2\ln(2) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. -2z\ln(2)]G_1(z)+(-1+z)G_1(z)^2-2(-1+z)G_6(z)-2(-1+z)G_7(z)+6\zeta_2 \right] \right\} \\
& + \left[x[-2215+4x(435+96x-500\sqrt{1+x})+60\sqrt{1+x}] \right. \\
& \left. +2060(-1+\sqrt{1+x}) \right] \sqrt{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{3}{8}(-12+35x)H_0(x) + \frac{1}{8}(14-17x)H_0(x)^2 \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{4}(11-16x)(1+x)^{3/2}H_{-1}(x) + \frac{5}{8}(1+x)^{3/2}H_{-1}(x)^2 + \frac{1}{8} \left[x(17-2\sqrt{1+x}) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. -2(6+\sqrt{1+x}) \right] H_{0,-1}(x) + 8\sqrt{x}G_{10}(x) + 8\sqrt{x}G_{13}(x) + \frac{G_2(x)}{2} \right. \\
& \left. + \left[2(17-4x)\sqrt{x} - 8\sqrt{x}G_5(x) \right] G_4(x) + 2(-9+4x)\sqrt{x}G_4(x) + \left[\frac{7}{2} - \frac{17x}{4} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2}(-2+x)H_0(x) \right] G_8(x) + \left[-\frac{7}{2}(-1+x) + \frac{1}{2}(-2+x)H_0(x) \right] G_9(x) \right\} \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4),
\end{aligned} \tag{157}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2(y, \varepsilon) = & 1 - 2y - \frac{1}{4}(-20+y)y\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \left\{ -\frac{1}{48}y(480 - 765y - 56y^2 + 64y^3 + 12y^4) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{4}(-9+4y)y^{2/3}(3+y)^{4/3}G_{26}(y) + (1-2y)G_{30}(y) \left. \right\} + \varepsilon^3 \left\{ \frac{1}{192}y(3840 - 21453y \right. \\
& - 1672y^2 + 1638y^3 + 280y^4 - 6y^5) + G_{26}(y) \left[\frac{1}{16}(243 - 108y + 2y^2)y^{2/3}(3+y)^{4/3} \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{6}(9-4y)y^{2/3}(3+y)^{4/3}H_0(y) + \frac{1}{6}(-9+4y)y^{2/3}(3+y)^{4/3}H_{-3}(y) \\
& + \frac{1}{270}(-1215 + 108y + 4y^2)y^{2/3}G_{24}(y) + \frac{7}{5}(-1+2y)G_{25}(y) + \frac{2}{3}(-1+2y)G_{28}(y) \\
& - \frac{2}{3}(-1+2y)G_{29}(y) \left. \right] + (-1215 + 108y + 4y^2) \left[-\frac{1}{270}y^{2/3}G_{27}(y) - \frac{1}{270}y^{2/3}G_{33}(y) \right] \\
& + (-1+2y) \left(-\frac{7G_{34}(y)}{5} + \frac{2G_{35}(y)}{3} \right) + \left[\left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{4y}{3} \right) G_{31}(y) + \frac{2}{3}(-1+2y)G_{32}(y) \right] G_{25}(y) \\
& + \frac{1}{20}(-52 + 204y - 5y^2)G_{30}(y) + \frac{1}{6}(-9+4y)y^{2/3}(3+y)^{4/3}G_{31}(y) \\
& - \frac{1}{6}(3+y)(-9+4y)y^{2/3}\sqrt[3]{3+y}G_{32}(y) - \frac{2}{3}(-1+2y)G_{36}(y) \left. \right\} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4).
\end{aligned} \tag{158}$$

By multiplying $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(y)$ one obtains the series expansion of $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$, with $0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1$. The functions G_i are the iterated integrals of the type [152]

$$G(f_1(\tau), \dots, f_n(\tau); x) = \int_0^x dy f_1(y) G(f_2(\tau), \dots, f_n(\tau); y), \quad (159)$$

with

$$G_1(z) = G\left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{1-\tau}; z\right) = -2\sqrt{z} + H_1(\sqrt{z}) + H_{-1}(\sqrt{z}), \quad (160)$$

$$G_2(x) = G\left(\sqrt{1+\tau}; x\right) = -\frac{2}{3} + \frac{2\sqrt{1+x}}{3} + \frac{2}{3}x\sqrt{1+x}, \quad (161)$$

$$G_3(x) = G\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}; x\right) = -2 + 2\ln(2) - i\pi + 2\sqrt{1+x} - H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) - H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}), \quad (162)$$

$$G_4(x) = G\left(\sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{1+\tau}; x\right) = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{x(1+x)} + \frac{1}{2}x\sqrt{x(1+x)} - \frac{1}{4}\ln(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}), \quad (163)$$

$$G_5(x) = G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}; x\right) = 2 - 2\ln(2) - 2\sqrt{1+x} + 2H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}), \quad (164)$$

$$G_6(z) = G\left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{1-\tau}, \frac{1}{1-\tau}; z\right) = -4\sqrt{z} - 2\sqrt{z}H_1(z) + H_1(\sqrt{z})H_1(z) + H_{-1}(\sqrt{z})H_1(z) + 2H_1(\sqrt{z}) - H_{-1}(\sqrt{z})H_1(\sqrt{z}) - \frac{1}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{z}) + 2H_{-1}(\sqrt{z}) + \frac{1}{2}H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{z}) + 2H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{z}), \quad (165)$$

$$G_7(z) = G\left(\frac{\sqrt{\tau}}{1-\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}; z\right) = 4\sqrt{z} - 2\sqrt{z}H_0(z) + H_{-1}(\sqrt{z})H_0(z) + H_0(z)H_1(\sqrt{z}) - 2H_{0,1}(\sqrt{z}) - 2H_{0,-1}(\sqrt{z}), \quad (166)$$

$$G_8(x) = G\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}; x\right) = 4 + 2i\pi - \frac{2\pi^2}{3} - 4\sqrt{1+x} - 4\ln(2) - 2i\pi\ln(2) + 2\ln^2(2) + 2\sqrt{1+x}H_0(x) - H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x})H_0(x) + 2H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) - H_0(x)H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) - H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x})H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{1}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{1}{2}H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}), \quad (167)$$

$$G_9(x) = G\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}; x\right) = 4 - \frac{\pi^2}{2} - 4\sqrt{1+x} - H_{-1}(x)H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{2H_{-1}(x)}{\sqrt{1+x}} + \frac{2xH_{-1}(x)}{\sqrt{1+x}} - H_{-1}(x)H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{0,1}(-\sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{0,1}(\sqrt{1+x}), \quad (168)$$

$$G_{10}(x) = G\left(\sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{1+\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}; x\right) = \frac{1}{48}\left\{6\sqrt{x}\sqrt{1+x} - 12x^{3/2}\sqrt{1+x} - 6H_0(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}) - 12H_{-1}(x)H_0(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}) + 24H_{-1}\left((\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x})^2\right)H_0(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}) - 12H_0^2(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}) + 12\sqrt{x}\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1}(x) + 24x^{3/2}\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1}(x) - 12H_{0,-1}\left((\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x})^2\right) + 6\zeta_2\right\}, \quad (169)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{11}(x) = G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}; x\right) = & -4 - 2i\pi + \frac{\pi^2}{6} + 4\sqrt{1+x} + 4\ln(2) + 2i\pi \ln(2) \\
& - 2\ln^2(2) - 2\sqrt{1+x}H_0(x) + H_0(-x)H_0(x) + H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x})H_0(x) - \frac{1}{2}H_0^2(-x) \\
& - 2H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + H_0(x)H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x})H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{1}{2}H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}), \quad (170)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{12}(x) = G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}; x\right) = & -4 + \frac{\pi^2}{3} + 4\sqrt{1+x} + H_{-1}(x)H_0(1) \\
& + H_{-1}(x)H_0(-x) + H_0(-x)H_1(-x) + H_{-1}(x)H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) - 2\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1}(x) \\
& + H_{-1}(x)H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + \zeta_2 - H_{0,1}(-x) - 2H_{0,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& - 2H_{0,-1}(\sqrt{1+x}), \quad (171)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{13}(x) = G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \sqrt{\tau}\sqrt{1+\tau}; x\right) = & \frac{1}{40}\left[-40\sqrt{x} - 20x^{3/2} - 8x^{5/2} + 15\sqrt{x(1+x)}\right. \\
& \left.+ 10x\sqrt{x(1+x)}\right] + \left[\frac{1}{8}(1+4\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{1}{2}H_1(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
& \left.+ \frac{1}{2}H_1((\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x})^2) - \frac{1}{2}H_{-1}(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x})\right]H_0(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
& + \frac{1}{4}H_0^2(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{1}{2}\zeta_2 + H_{0,-1}(\sqrt{x} + \sqrt{1+x}), \quad (172)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{14}(x) = G\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}; x\right) = & -8 + \frac{4\ln^3(2)}{3} - 4i\pi + \frac{4\pi^2}{3} + \frac{5i\pi^3}{6} - 2\ln^2(2)(2+i\pi) \\
& + \frac{1}{3}\ln(2)(24+12i\pi-\pi^2) + 8\sqrt{1+x} + \left[-i\ln(2)\pi + \frac{3\pi^2}{2} - 4\sqrt{1+x}\right. \\
& \left.- 2(-1+i\pi)H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{1}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_0(x) \\
& + \left[-i\pi + \sqrt{1+x} - \frac{1}{2}H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_0^2(x) + \left[-4 - i\ln(2)\pi + \frac{3\pi^2}{2}\right. \\
& \left.+ 2H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + (1-i\pi)H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{1}{6}H_1^3(\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& + \left[-4 + i\ln(2)\pi - \frac{3\pi^2}{2} + \left[2 + 2i\pi - H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_0(x) - \frac{1}{2}H_0^2(x)\right. \\
& \left.+ 2(1+i\pi)H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{1}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + \left[-1 - i\pi + \frac{1}{2}H_0(x)\right. \\
& \left.+ \frac{1}{2}H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{1}{6}H_{-1}^3(\sqrt{1+x}) - 4H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& - 2H_{-1,1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{-1,-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + 2\zeta_3, \quad (173)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{15}(x) = G\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}; x\right) = & 8(-1+\sqrt{1+x}) - H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{1+x})H_0(\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& - 8\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1}(-1+\sqrt{1+x}) + \left[4(1+\sqrt{1+x})H_0(\sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{0,-1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
& \left.- \zeta_2\right]H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + 4(-1+\sqrt{1+x})H_{0,-1}(-1+\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& - 4(1+\sqrt{1+x})H_{0,-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{0,0,-1}(-1+\sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{0,-1,-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& + 2H_{0,-2,-1}(-1+\sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{-2,0,-1}(-1+\sqrt{1+x}) + 2(1+\sqrt{1+x})\zeta_2 \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\zeta_3, \quad (174)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{16}(x) = & G\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}; x\right) = 8(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 4\ln(2)(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + \left[-4(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x})\right]H_0(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + \left[4\ln(2)\sqrt{1+x} + 4\sqrt{1+x}H_0(-1 + \sqrt{1+x})\right]H_{-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & - 4(1 + \sqrt{1+x})H_{-2}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + \left[2\ln(2) - 4\sqrt{1+x}\right]H_{0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + 4\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1,-2}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 2\ln(2)H_{-2,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & - 4H_{0,0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{0,-1,-2}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & - 2H_{-2,-1,0}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{-2,-1,-2}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}), \quad (175)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{17}(x) = & G\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}; x\right) = 8(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 8\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + 4\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1}^2(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + 4H_{0,-1,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & - 4H_{-2,-1,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}), \quad (176)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{18}(x) = & G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}; x\right) = 8 - \frac{4\ln^3(2)}{3} + 2\ln^2(2)(2 + i\pi) + 4i\pi - \frac{5i\pi^3}{6} \\
 & + \ln(2)(-8 - 4i\pi + 2\zeta_2) - 8\sqrt{1+x} + \left[i\ln(2)\pi + 4\sqrt{1+x} + 2(-1 + i\pi)H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) - 9\zeta_2\left.]H_0(x) + \left[\frac{i\pi}{2} - \sqrt{1+x} + \frac{1}{2}H_0(-x)\right.\right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{2}H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\left.]H_0^2(x) - \frac{1}{3}H_0^3(x) + \left[4 + i\ln(2)\pi - 2H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right.\right. \\
 & - 9\zeta_2\left.]H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + (-1 + i\pi)H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{1}{6}H_1^3(\sqrt{1+x}) + \left[4 - i\ln(2)\pi\right.\right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{2}H_0^2(x) - 2(1 + i\pi)H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{1}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) + 9\zeta_2 + H_0(x)\left[-2 - 2i\pi\right. \\
 & + H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\left.]H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + \left[1 + i\pi - \frac{1}{2}H_0(x)\right.\right. \\
 & - \frac{1}{2}H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\left.]H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{1}{6}H_{-1}^3(\sqrt{1+x}) + 4H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
 & + 2H_{-1,1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{-1,-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) - 8\zeta_2 - 2\zeta_3, \quad (177)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{19}(x) = & G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}; x\right) = -8(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + 8\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & - 4(-1 + \sqrt{1+x})H_{0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 4(1 + \sqrt{1+x})H_{-2,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & - 2H_{0,0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{0,-2,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{-2,0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + 2H_{-2,-2,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + H_{0,0,-1}(x), \quad (178)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_{20}(x) = & G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}; x\right) = 4(-2 + \ln(2))(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + \left[4(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x})\right]H_0(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + \left[-4\ln(2)\sqrt{1+x} - 4\sqrt{1+x}H_0(-1 + \sqrt{1+x})\right]H_{-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + 4(1 + \sqrt{1+x})H_{-2}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + H_0(x)H_{0,-1}(x) \\
 & + \left[-2\ln(2) + 4\sqrt{1+x}\right]H_{0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 4\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1,-2}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + 2\ln(2)H_{-2,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{0,0,-1}(x) + 4H_{0,0,-1}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & - 2H_{0,-1,-2}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + 2H_{-2,-1,0}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
 & + 2H_{-2,-1,-2}(-1 + \sqrt{1+x}), \quad (179)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{21}(x) = & G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}; x\right) = -8(-1+\sqrt{1+x}) + \left[4\sqrt{1+x}\right. \\
& -2H_{0,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) - 2H_{0,-1}(\sqrt{1+x})\left.]H_{-1}(x) + \left[-\sqrt{1+x}\right. \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2}H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{1}{2}H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x})\left.]H_{-1}(x)^2 + 4H_{0,0,1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
& \left.+ 4H_{0,0,-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) - 7\zeta_3 + H_{0,-1,-1}(x), \quad (180)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{22}(x) = & G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{\tau}; x\right) = -16 + \frac{8\ln^3(2)}{3} - 7i\pi - 6x + 4\ln(2)\left[4 + 2i\pi\right. \\
& - 2\sqrt{1+x} - i\pi\sqrt{1+x} + 7\zeta_2\left.] + 16\sqrt{1+x} + 4i\pi\sqrt{1+x} + \ln^2(2)\left[-8 + 3i\pi\right. \right. \\
& \left.+ 4\sqrt{1+x}\right] + \left[-3 + 5\ln^2(2) + \ln(2)(4 - 5i\pi) + 2x + \left[4 - 15i\pi\right. \right. \\
& \left.- 2\sqrt{1+x}\right]H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{11}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) + 42\zeta_2\left.]H_0(x) + \left[\frac{1}{2}(4 - 15i\pi - 2\sqrt{1+x})\right. \right. \\
& \left.- \frac{11}{2}H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_0^2(x) - \frac{11}{6}H_0^3(x) + \left[-7 + 5\ln^2(2) + \ln(2)(4 - 5i\pi)\right. \\
& \left.+ 4\sqrt{1+x} + 42\zeta_2\right]H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{1}{2}(4 - 15i\pi - 2\sqrt{1+x})H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& - \frac{11}{6}H_1^3(\sqrt{1+x}) + \left[-9 - 9\ln^2(2) + \ln(2)(4 + 9i\pi) - 4i\pi + 4\sqrt{1+x} + \left[-4\right. \right. \\
& \left.+ 15i\pi - 2\sqrt{1+x} + 13H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_0(x) + \frac{13}{2}H_0^2(x) + (-4 + 15i\pi \\
& - 2\sqrt{1+x})H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + \frac{13}{2}H_1^2(\sqrt{1+x}) - 34\zeta_2\left.]H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
& \left.+ \left[\frac{1}{2}(-15i\pi + 2\sqrt{1+x}) - \frac{11}{2}H_0(x) - \frac{11}{2}H_1(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
& \left.+ \frac{3}{2}H_{-1}^3(\sqrt{1+x}) + 4(-1 + \sqrt{1+x})H_{-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x}) - 4H_{-1,-1,1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
& \left.+ 14\zeta_2 + 8i\pi\zeta_2 - 8\sqrt{1+x}\zeta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\zeta_3, \quad (181)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{23}(x) = & G\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1-\sqrt{1+\tau}}{\tau}, \frac{1}{1+\tau}; x\right) = -16 + 16\ln(2) - 4i\pi - 6x + 16\sqrt{1+x} \\
& + 2(-2 + \zeta_2)H_0(x) - 4H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{1+x})H_0(-\sqrt{1+x}) \\
& + 2(-2 + \zeta_2)H_1(\sqrt{1+x}) + \left[2(1+x) - 4\sqrt{1+x}H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x})\right. \\
& \left.+ 2H_{-1}^2(\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_{-1}(x) + \left[2(-6 + 5\zeta_2)\right. \\
& \left.+ 8\sqrt{1+x}H_0(-\sqrt{1+x})\right]H_{-1}(\sqrt{1+x}) + 8\sqrt{1+x}H_{0,1}(1 + \sqrt{1+x}) \\
& - 8H_{0,0,1}(1 + \sqrt{1+x}) + 2i\pi\zeta_2 - 12\sqrt{1+x}\zeta_2 + 7\zeta_3 \quad (182)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{24}(y) = & G\left(\frac{(3+\tau)^{1/3}}{\tau}; y\right) = \frac{1}{6}\left\{3\left[-6 + 3\ln(3) - 2(-1)^{1/3}\left[-\ln(3)\right. \right. \right. \\
& \left.+ \ln\left(3 - (-3)^{2/3}(3+y)^{1/3}\right)\right] + 2(-1)^{2/3}\ln\left[1 + 3^{-1/3}(-1)^{1/3}(3+y)^{1/3}\right] \\
& \left.+ 2\ln\left(-3 + 3^{2/3}(3+y)^{1/3}\right)\right]3^{1/3} + \pi 3^{5/6} + 18(3+y)^{1/3}\left.\right\}, \quad (183)
\end{aligned}$$

$$G_{25}(y) = G\left(\tau^{2/3}(3+\tau)^{1/3}; y\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left\{(1+y)(3+y)^{1/3} - 3^{1/3} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; \frac{5}{3}; -\frac{y}{3}\right]\right\}y^{2/3}, \quad (184)$$

$$G_{26}(y) = G\left(\tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}; y\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left\{(2+y)(3+y)^{2/3} - 2 \times 3^{2/3} {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{4}{3}; -\frac{y}{3}\right]\right\}y^{1/3}, \quad (185)$$

$$G_{27}(y) = G\left(\frac{(3+\tau)^{1/3}}{\tau}, \tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}; y\right), \quad (186)$$

$$G_{28}(y) = G\left(\tau^{2/3}(3+\tau)^{1/3}, \frac{1}{\tau}; y\right), \quad (187)$$

$$G_{29}(y) = G\left(\tau^{2/3}(3+\tau)^{1/3}, \frac{1}{3+\tau}; y\right), \quad (188)$$

$$G_{30}(y) = G\left(\tau^{2/3}(3+\tau)^{1/3}, \tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}; y\right), \quad (189)$$

$$G_{31}(y) = G\left(\tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}, \frac{1}{\tau}; y\right), \quad (190)$$

$$G_{32}(y) = G\left(\tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}, \frac{1}{3+\tau}; y\right), \quad (191)$$

$$G_{33}(y) = G\left(\tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}, \frac{(3+\tau)^{1/3}}{\tau}; y\right), \quad (192)$$

$$G_{34}(y) = G\left(\tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}, \tau^{2/3}(3+\tau)^{1/3}; y\right), \quad (193)$$

$$G_{35}(y) = G\left(\tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}, \frac{1}{\tau}, \tau^{2/3}(3+\tau)^{1/3}; y\right), \quad (194)$$

$$G_{36}(y) = G\left(\tau^{1/3}(3+\tau)^{2/3}, \frac{1}{3+\tau}, \tau^{2/3}(3+\tau)^{1/3}; y\right). \quad (195)$$

5.3 Example 3

Consider as an example the system of two differential equations in two variables implied by the following differential operators,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\alpha\beta - x^6\partial_x^6 - \beta x^5\partial_x^5 - 5x^5y\partial_x^5\partial_y - 15x^5\partial_x^5 - 10\beta x^4\partial_x^4 - 10x^4y^2\partial_x^4\partial_y^2 - 5\beta x^4y\partial_x^4\partial_y \\ & -60x^4y\partial_x^4\partial_y - 66x^4\partial_x^4 - 26\beta x^3\partial_x^3 - 10x^3y^3\partial_x^3\partial_y^3 - 10\beta x^3y^2\partial_x^3\partial_y^2 - 90x^3y^2\partial_x^3\partial_y^2 \\ & -40\beta x^3y\partial_x^3\partial_y - 198x^3y\partial_x^3\partial_y - 96x^3\partial_x^3 - 18\beta x^2\partial_x^2 - 5x^2y^4\partial_x^2\partial_y^4 - 10\beta x^2y^3\partial_x^2\partial_y^3 \\ & -60x^2y^3\partial_x^2\partial_y^3 - 60\beta x^2y^2\partial_x^2\partial_y^2 - 198x^2y^2\partial_x^2\partial_y^2 - 78\beta x^2y\partial_x^2\partial_y - 192x^2y\partial_x^2\partial_y \\ & -38x^2\partial_x^2 - \alpha x\partial_x - 2\beta x\partial_x + \gamma\partial_x - xy^5\partial_x\partial_y^5 - 5\beta xy^4\partial_x\partial_y^4 - 15xy^4\partial_x\partial_y^4 \\ & -40\beta xy^3\partial_x\partial_y^3 - 66xy^3\partial_x\partial_y^3 - 78\beta xy^2\partial_x\partial_y^2 - 96xy^2\partial_x\partial_y^2 - 36\beta xy\partial_{xy} - 38xy\partial_{xy} \\ & + y\partial_{xy} + x\partial_x^2 - 2x\partial_x - \beta y^5\partial_y^5 - 10\beta y^4\partial_y^4 - 26\beta y^3\partial_y^3 - 18\beta y^2\partial_y^2 - 2\beta y\partial_y, \end{aligned} \quad (196)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -\alpha\beta_1 - \beta_1x^5\partial_x^5 - x^5y\partial_x^5\partial_y - 10\beta_1x^4\partial_x^4 - 5x^4y^2\partial_x^4\partial_y^2 - 5\beta_1x^4y\partial_x^4\partial_y - 15x^4y\partial_x^4\partial_y \\ & -26\beta_1x^3\partial_x^3 - 10x^3y^3\partial_x^3\partial_y^3 - 10\beta_1x^3y^2\partial_x^3\partial_y^2 - 60x^3y^2\partial_x^3\partial_y^2 - 40\beta_1x^3y\partial_x^3\partial_y \\ & -66x^3y\partial_x^3\partial_y - 18\beta_1x^2\partial_x^2 - 10x^2y^4\partial_x^2\partial_y^4 - 10\beta_1x^2y^3\partial_x^2\partial_y^3 - 90x^2y^3\partial_x^2\partial_y^3 \\ & -60\beta_1x^2y^2\partial_x^2\partial_y^2 - 198x^2y^2\partial_x^2\partial_y^2 - 78\beta_1x^2y\partial_x^2\partial_y - 96x^2y\partial_x^2\partial_y - 2\beta_1x\partial_x \\ & -5xy^5\partial_x\partial_y^5 - 5\beta_1xy^4\partial_x\partial_y^4 - 60xy^4\partial_x\partial_y^4 - 40\beta_1xy^3\partial_x\partial_y^3 - 198xy^3\partial_x\partial_y^3 \\ & -78\beta_1xy^2\partial_x\partial_y^2 - 192xy^2\partial_x\partial_y^2 - 36\beta_1xy\partial_{xy} + x\partial_{xy} - 38xy\partial_{xy} - y^6\partial_y^6 - \beta_1y^5\partial_y^5 \\ & -15y^5\partial_y^5 - 10\beta_1y^4\partial_y^4 - 66y^4\partial_y^4 - 26\beta_1y^3\partial_y^3 - 96y^3\partial_y^3 - 18\beta_1y^2\partial_y^2 - 38y^2\partial_y^2 - \alpha y\partial_y \\ & -2\beta_1y\partial_y + \gamma\partial_y + y\partial_y^2 - 2y\partial_y. \end{aligned} \quad (197)$$

Assuming a hypergeometric solution

$$\mathcal{F}(x, y) = \sum_{m, n \geq 0} f(m, n) x^m y^n, \quad (198)$$

the coefficients $f(m, n)$ must obey

$$(m+1)(\gamma+m+n)f(m+1, n) - (\beta+m) \left(\alpha + (m+n)^5 + (m+n)^3 \right) f(m, n) = 0, \quad (199)$$

$$(n+1)(\gamma+m+n)f(m, n+1) - (\beta_1+n) \left(\alpha + (m+n)^5 + (m+n)^3 \right) f(m, n) = 0. \quad (200)$$

Solving these two equations with the help of `Sigma`, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} f(m, n) = & \left(\prod_{i_1=1}^n \frac{(-1+\beta_1+i_1)(-2+\alpha+8i_1-13i_1^2+11i_1^3-5i_1^4+i_1^5)}{(-1+\gamma+i_1)i_1} \right) \\ & \times \prod_{i_1=1}^m \frac{(-1+\beta+i_1)}{(-1+n+\gamma+i_1)i_1} (-2+8n-13n^2+11n^3-5n^4+n^5+\alpha+8i_1-26ni_1 \\ & +33n^2i_1-20n^3i_1+5n^4i_1-13i_1^2+33ni_1^2-30n^2i_1^2+10n^3i_1^2+11i_1^3-20ni_1^3 \\ & +10n^2i_1^3-5i_1^4+5ni_1^4+i_1^5) \end{aligned} \quad (201)$$

This quantity cannot be analytically expressed as a product of Pochhammer symbols due to the high degree of the polynomials appearing.

6 Partial difference equations with rational coefficients

In a series of problems also partial linear difference equations in various variables with polynomial coefficients occur, with the target solution space being that of rational functions in several variables, possibly also including harmonic sums or Pochhammer symbols in the numerator. In various interesting situations, see Section 5, one can derive solutions iteratively by solving first-order linear recurrences. More generally, one may solve higher-order linear recurrences using difference ring algorithms [64–67, 164, 165] implemented in `Sigma`. However, in the general case of multivariate linear difference equations, there are only very few algorithms available to find the solution compared to the case of the univariate difference equations. To support possible future challenges in applications, we developed a `Mathematica` implementation of the algorithms of Refs. [138, 139], which are a multivariate generalization of the algorithm described in Ref. [164, 165]. In addition, we enhanced these methods by further heuristic techniques that may be useful for the calculation of Feynman integrals. The basic idea of these algorithms is to constrain the denominator of the solution. From this, finding the numerator of the solution using an Ansatz becomes easier. In particular, it only requires the solution of a linear system of equations. In the following, we give a survey on how to constrain the denominator of the solution of a partial linear difference equation (PLDE). In Section 6.1, we describe the notation used and in Section 6.2 we describe the concepts of aperiodic and periodic denominator bounds given in the literature, and in Section 6.3 we discuss the determination of the numerator of the solution. In particular, we explain how one can deal with a hypergeometric prefactor in the solution in Section 6.3.1 and how one can search in addition for solutions in terms nested sums in Section 6.3.2. After commenting on the problem to combine the solutions using initial values in Section 6.3.3 we turn in Section 6.3.4 to tools to obtain a Laurent expansion in the dimensional parameter ε efficiently by successively solving a set of difference

equations where the parameter no longer appears in the coefficients. This section is supplemented by Appendix D where we describe the commands available in our *Mathematica* implementation `solvePartialLDE`.

6.1 The basic problem description

With $y(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{K}(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ a rational function in r variables, we define the *shift operators* N_s with respect to the *shift* $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ as

$$N_s y = y(n_1 + s_1, \dots, n_r + s_r). \quad (202)$$

Partial linear difference equations are equations of the type

$$\sum_{\mathbf{s} \in S} \alpha_{\mathbf{s}} N_{\mathbf{s}} y = f, \quad (203)$$

where S is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z}^r , $\alpha_{\mathbf{s}}$ and f are polynomials in the variables n_1, \dots, n_r , and y is an unknown rational function to be determined; the set S of all shifts appearing in the equation is called the *shift set* or *structure set*. Because the equation is linear, the general solution is the sum of a particular solution of (203) and of the homogeneous equation with $f = 0$.

An example of the type of equation under consideration is:

$$-(1 + k + n^2)y(n, k) + (4 + k + 2n + n^2)y(1 + n, 2 + k) = 0. \quad (204)$$

It has the shift set $S = \{(0, 0), (1, 2)\}$ and its coefficients are

$$a_{(0,0)} = -(1 + k + n^2), \quad (205)$$

$$a_{(1,2)} = (4 + k + 2n + n^2). \quad (206)$$

A distinction used in the literature [64–67, 129–138] is the one between *periodic* and *aperiodic* polynomials. A polynomial p is periodic if there exist infinitely many shifts, mapping p into p' , such that $\gcd(p, p') \neq 1$. A polynomial is called aperiodic if it is not periodic. For example, the polynomial $(n + k + 2)$ is periodic, and the polynomial $(n^2 + k + 6)$ is aperiodic. An important fact is that any polynomial can be factorized into a periodic and an aperiodic part.

Given a partial linear difference equation, algorithms exist to constrain what denominators may appear in the solution. These algorithms target separately the periodic and the aperiodic part of the denominator of the solution of (203). In our package we have implemented and enhanced the algorithms described in [138, 139] and we describe our implementation choices and their rationale in the following.

6.2 Denominator bounds

Let us first review the reason why the calculation of a denominator bound for the solution of a PLDE is valuable. One naive way, which one aims to avoid, to search for solutions of (203) among the space of rational functions would be to start with an Ansatz; for example, one can naively write a generic rational function in the variables n_1, \dots, n_r with undetermined coefficients $c_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}'}$,

$$y(n_1, \dots, n_r) = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}} \prod_i n_i^{k_i}}{\sum_{\mathbf{k}'} c_{\mathbf{k}'} \prod_i n_i^{k'_i}}. \quad (207)$$

By plugging the Ansatz (207) into (203), one obtains equations for the unknown coefficients c_k and c_k' by imposing the equality of every monomial in the variables n_i on both sides of the equation, and one finds in this way, if they exist, the solutions having numerator and denominator of degree lower or equal to the degree chosen for the Ansatz. However, the equations obtained in this way will be, in general, non-linear, and therefore difficult to solve.

As observed in the univariate case [164, 165] the situation improves if we are able to find a *denominator bound* for the solutions. A denominator bound d is a polynomial such that for any solution $y = \frac{n}{p}$ of (203) it must be $p|d$: the denominator of the solution is a divisor of the denominator bound.

If we were able to calculate d algorithmically, then only an Ansatz for the numerator of the solution would be required, and the equations for the unknown coefficients c_k would be linear, and therefore easier to solve. It is possible to formulate an Ansatz for the numerator which also includes terms involving harmonic sums [68, 69], satisfying a wide class of recurrence equations.

If we write the solution to a partial linear difference equation as $y = \frac{n}{uv}$ with u aperiodic and v periodic, it is always possible to calculate a bound d_u for the aperiodic part u of the denominator. We refer to [138] for a description of how the aperiodic denominator bound is calculated.

For the periodic part v it is not always possible to obtain a complete denominator bound for a PLDE. This is illustrated for example by the equation

$$y(n+1, k) - y(n, k+1) = 0, \quad (208)$$

which is satisfied by $\frac{1}{(n+k)^\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, no polynomial can be a denominator bound for (208).

In other words, one cannot expect to obtain a complete denominator bound (due to the intrinsic problem that periodic factors might arise with arbitrary powers). Nevertheless, it is often possible to calculate a partial bound, and to identify what shape the factors of v , that cannot be predicted, must have. (A partial bound is a bound for some, but not all, the periodic factors). The algorithm in [139] works by successively examining the periodic factors u of the coefficients a_p when p is a “corner point”, see [139] for a definition. Applying all the tactics described in this article, one obtains an explicitly given polynomial d_p , a finite set of polynomials P and a set of generators that spans a lattice V in \mathbb{Z}^r such for any solution of the given PLDE one can predict the periodic denominator part v as follows:

$$v \mid d_p \cdot v_{\text{semi-known}} \cdot v_{\text{unknown}}$$

where $v_{\text{semi-known}}$ is a polynomial whose factors are taken from the set $\{N_s p^m \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}^r, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and v_{unknown} is a polynomial such that⁶ $\text{spread}(v_{\text{unknown}}) = V$.

If $P \neq \{\}$ or $V \neq \{\}$, the implementation will print out the corresponding data in order to support the user to guess the missing parts $v_{\text{semi-known}}$ and/or v_{unknown} . Summarizing, the user will obtain a guidance in formulating an Ansatz d_{user} for the missing factors in the denominator of the solution. To force their inclusion in the search when looking for the numerator of the solution, one can use the option `InsertDenFactor` $\rightarrow d_{\text{user}}$ of our package, cf. Section 6.3 and Appendix D.

⁶The spread of a polynomial u is closely related to Abramov’s definition of the dispersion [164, 165] and is defined by $\text{spread}(u) = \{s \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid \gcd(u, N_s u) \neq 1\}$.

6.3 Determination of the numerator

Once the aperiodic and periodic denominator bounds d_a, d_p are calculated, and possibly an Ansatz d_{user} for missing factors in the denominator has been set, one can search for the numerator contribution. In general, it has been shown in [166] based on [147] that this problem is unsolvable: given a homogeneous PLDE with polynomial coefficients, there does not exist an algorithm that can determine all polynomial solutions. Nevertheless, one can search for the desired polynomial solutions by taking as an Ansatz a general polynomial $\text{num}(c_i)$ with undetermined coefficients c_i where the polynomial degree is set sufficiently high. Then one may substitute the rational function

$$y = \frac{\text{num}(c_i)}{d_a d_p d_{user}} \quad (209)$$

into the equation (203). Then finding non-trivial solutions of the the underlying linear system allows one to specialize the c_i such that y is a solution of the given PLDE.

In many cases, it is the determination of the c_i which requires the largest computation time, whereas the denominator bounds can be computed quite quickly. For this reason we propose the following strategy to reduce the computation time.

In the cases where the PLDE does not contain any symbolic parameters (such as the dimensional regulator ε , or ratios of invariants) other than the shift variables, one may obtain constraints on the undetermined c_i simply by plugging, sufficiently many times, random numerical values for the shift variables. Then one quickly obtains a linear system for the c_i .

If there are symbols present, instead, one may consider performing a first pass with the symbols replaced by random numbers, with the purpose of identifying and removing redundant constraints. Then, after removing the redundant equations for the c_i , the system can be solved in a stepwise manner, i.e. considering one at a time the constraints produced by one monomial, and plugging the result in the rest of the equation. This is what our package does when the function `SolvePLDE` is called, cf. Appendix D.

It is certainly possible that the use of random numbers to generate constraints can cause the system to generate two equations for the c_i which are not independent. The probability of such an occurrence can be made arbitrarily small by choosing a sufficiently large range over which the random numbers are chosen. In any event, the consequence of an unfortunate draw of random numbers can only cause the software to output more functions misidentified as solutions when in fact they are not; it cannot cause the software to miss any solutions. By explicitly checking the result, one can guard against this remote possibility, at the expense of additional computation time.

In the following we elaborate further enhancements in order to extend the solution space from the rational function case to more general classes of functions. Besides the examples below, further examples for each aspect can be found in the `Mathematica` notebook auxiliary to this paper.

6.3.1 Treatment of a hypergeometric prefactor

Given a partial linear difference (203),

$$\sum_{s \in S} a_s N_s y = f, \quad (210)$$

it is possible to derive another difference equation

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} a'_s N_s y' = f', \quad (211)$$

whose solution y' is related to y by

$$y' = r y \quad (212)$$

with $r = r(n_i)$ a hypergeometric function of its arguments, i.e. a function such that the ratio

$$\frac{N_{e_i} r}{r} = \frac{r(n_1, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r)}{r(n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots, n_r)} \quad (213)$$

is for all i a rational function of the variables n_i . Examples of hypergeometric functions are Pochhammer symbols, factorials, Γ -functions, binomial symbols, and obviously rational functions and polynomials.

The transformation from (210) to (211) is useful whenever it is possible to formulate an Ansatz for r . Once some specific form can be postulated for r , the equation (211) is obtained by substitution and by exploiting the hypergeometric property.

Consider for example the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+k)(\varepsilon+k)(1+k+n^2) y(n, k) - 2k(2+k+n^2) y(n, 1+k) \\ & + (1+k)(\varepsilon+k)(2+k+2n+n^2) y(1+n, k) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (214)$$

We assume that its solution is

$$y(n, k) = (\varepsilon)_k y'(n, k) \quad (215)$$

with y' a rational function of n and k and $(\varepsilon)_k$ the Pochhammer symbol

$$(\varepsilon)_k = \varepsilon(\varepsilon+1) \cdots (\varepsilon+k-1). \quad (216)$$

Then one derives a difference equation for y' , namely

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varepsilon+k)[(1+k^2+n^2+k(2+n^2))y'(n, k) - 2k(2+k+n^2)y'(n, 1+k) \\ & + (2+k^2+2n+n^2+k(3+2n+n^2))y'(1+n, k)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (217)$$

We can now solve the new equation, obtaining

$$y'(n, k) = \frac{k}{1+k+n^2}. \quad (218)$$

From this we conclude that the solution of (214) is

$$y(n, k) = (\varepsilon)_k \frac{k}{1+k+n^2} C, \quad (219)$$

for some constant $C \in \mathbb{K}(\varepsilon)$.

6.3.2 Finding solutions in terms of nested sums

Solutions connected to Feynman integrals involve often also indefinite nested sums, such as (cyclotomic) harmonic sums [68–70] or generalized versions, like Hurwitz harmonic sums. A straightforward modification of the Ansatz (209) is to search for a numerator $\text{num}(c_i)$ that is built not only by a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[n_1, \dots, n_r]$ with the unknown coefficients c_i but to search for polynomial expressions of a finite set of nested sums, i.e., one takes a linear combination of power products in terms of the given nested sums whose coefficients are polynomials in $\mathbb{K}[n_1, \dots, n_r]$ with unknown coefficients. In practice, it is important for this list of nested sums to be shift-stable, meaning that a shift in any of the variables

must not introduce new harmonic sums not already included in the list, and they also should be linearly independent. To guarantee this property, one can use quasi-shuffle algebras or difference ring methods [63, 167, 168]. The nested sums at shifted arguments can then be rewritten through the repeated application of identities of the type

$$S_1(n+i) = \frac{1}{n+i} + S_1(n+i-1) \quad (220)$$

and similarly for all other nested sums, until only unshifted nested sums appear. After clearing denominators, the PLDE implies a set of linear constraints on the undetermined parameters c_i , obtained by coefficient comparison in all the power products which appear when y is plugged back into the (203). Note that the number of unknowns c_i increases strongly: one tries to determine not only one numerator polynomial but numerous polynomials for each power product. In this regard, the homomorphic image techniques described in the beginning of Section 6.3 are instrumental to perform these calculations in reasonable time.

This heuristic method provides in many cases the desired solution. For instance, consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} &(-k-1) \left(k+n^2+2n+1 \right) f(n,k) + k \left(k+n^2+2n+2 \right) f(n,k+1) \\ &+ 2(k+1) \left(k+n^2+4n+4 \right) f(n+1,k) - 2k \left(k+n^2+4n+5 \right) f(n+1,k+1) \\ &- (k+1) \left(k+n^2+6n+9 \right) f(n+2,k) + k \left(k+n^2+6n+10 \right) f(n+2,k+1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (221)$$

Looking for solutions of the form described, with a numerator of degree up to 2, containing the harmonic sums $S_1(n)$, $S_1(k)$, $S_{2,1}(n)$ the algorithm finds the denominator

$$d_p = 1 + k + 2n + n^2 \quad (222)$$

and the corresponding numerators of the solutions of the homogeneous equation:

$$1, k, k^2, n, kn, S_1(k), kS_1(k), nS_1(k), S_1(k)^2, kS_1(n), kS_{2,1}(n). \quad (223)$$

Remark. A more advanced (and also less heuristic) tactic is to apply a recursive strategy as worked out in [64–67]: one defines an order of the nested sums (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_e) where a sum s_i does not arise inside of any of the sums s_1, \dots, s_{i-1} . Then one makes an Ansatz for the solution $y = p_0 + p_1 s_e + \dots + p_d s_e^d$ where p_0, \dots, p_d are polynomial expressions in terms of the remaining nested sums s_0, \dots, s_{e-1} with coefficients from the ground field $\mathbb{K}(n_1, \dots, n_r)$. Here one has to set up d sufficiently high in order to guarantee that the desired solution can be derived. Then one plugs y into (203), applies the shift rules such as (220), clears denominators and compares the coefficients of the highest term s_e^d . This yields a new PLDE in terms of the unknown p_d . Now we compute by recursion all the solutions of this new PLDE in terms of the remaining sums s_1, \dots, s_{e-1} , plug the solutions into p_d of the original system and obtain an updated PLDE of (203) where s_e occurs only up to degree $d-1$. Now we proceed by degree reduction to compute the remaining coefficients p_0, \dots, p_{d-1} in order to obtain the final solution y . We remark that in the base cases, i.e., when all sums are removed within the recursion one ends up to solve several PLDEs purely in the ground field $\mathbb{K}(n_1, \dots, n_r)$, i.e., the machinery described in the beginning of Section 6 is applied. It is our plan in the near future to implement this more advanced machinery within the formal setting of $R\Pi\Sigma$ -difference ring extensions [129–137] based on the reduction strategy given in [64–67].

6.3.3 Matching the solution to initial values

If initial values are provided, it is possible to look for a general solution that conforms to them. This general solution is found by building a linear combination with undetermined coefficients of the solutions of the homogeneous equation, plus a particular solution of the equation. Next, the initial values are plugged in, and a system of equations is obtained. In the case that the system contains symbolic parameters other than the shift variables, the undetermined coefficients to be searched for are not just numbers. In that case, the coefficients of the linear combination are taken to be general rational functions in the parameters up to some chosen degree. The combination of the solutions will be of particular importance for the next subsection.

6.3.4 Finding the solution in a series expansion

In many applications it is desirable to obtain the Laurent series expansion of the solution of a difference equation. This may be easier to achieve than the derivation of a complete solution, because, at each order in the expansion, it is possible to derive a difference equation where the expansion parameter is absent, therefore the linear system to find the coefficients c_i can potentially be solved much more quickly. The procedure, described in the following, generalizes the univariate case given in [92]. It assumes that the initial values of the solution in its ε -expansion are known.

Consider for instance of (203), possibly containing a parameter ε in the coefficients:

$$\sum_{s \in S} a_s(n_i, \varepsilon) N_s y(n_i) = f(n_i, \varepsilon), \quad (224)$$

where the coefficients $a_s(n_i, \varepsilon)$ are polynomials in the shift variables and in the parameter ε . Assume that the solution of (224) has, around $\varepsilon = 0$, a Laurent expansion starting from the power $\varepsilon^{-\ell}$ of the parameter, with ℓ known,

$$y_\varepsilon(n_i) = \varepsilon^{-\ell} y_{-\ell}(n_i) + \cdots + y_0(n_i) + \varepsilon y_1(n_i) + \cdots + \varepsilon^c y_c(n_i), \quad (225)$$

and that the right-hand side of the equation can be expanded in a series in ε as

$$f = \varepsilon^{-\ell} f_{-\ell}(n_i) + \varepsilon^{-\ell+1} f_{-\ell+1}(n_i) + \cdots. \quad (226)$$

Assume also that the $a_s(n_i, \varepsilon = 0)$ are not all zero, so that an overall power of ε , if present in the equation, has been factored out. Then, one may proceed by inserting (225) and (226) into (224) and doing a coefficient comparison of the $\varepsilon^{-\ell}$ terms, obtaining

$$\sum_{s \in S} a_s(n_i, \varepsilon = 0) N_s y_{-\ell}(n_i) = f_{-\ell}(n_i). \quad (227)$$

Equation (227) is now free of ε , which facilitates the task of finding a solution and reduces the computational time required. If (227) can be uniquely solved for $y_{-\ell}$ and the solution matched to initial values, one can move to the next higher power in ε by plugging the solution into (225). In this new equation one does a coefficient comparison of the next power in ε and solves for $y_{-\ell+1}$. The process is repeated as many times as needed until all the terms of interest in the Laurent expansion are obtained.

For instance, consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & [3(k+1)(n+1) + 4(n+1) + 1](4kn^2\varepsilon^3 + 5n\varepsilon + 6\varepsilon^2 + 1)f(n+1, k+1) \\ & - (3kn + 4n + 1)[4(k+1)(n+1)^2\varepsilon^3 + 5(n+1)\varepsilon + 6\varepsilon^2 + 1]f(n, k) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (228)$$

Together with a list of 25 initial values, our procedure to compute the expansion encounters at order ε^{-2} , ε^{-1} , ε^0 the equations

$$(-3kn - 4n - 1)f(n, k) + (3kn + 3k + 7n + 8)f(n + 1, k + 1) = \tau, \quad (229)$$

with $\tau = 0, 5, 0$, respectively, which are free of ε . The series solution of (228) is found to be

$$f(n, k) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2(3kn + 4n + 1)} + \frac{5n}{\varepsilon(3kn + 4n + 1)} + \frac{6}{3kn + 4n + 1} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon). \quad (230)$$

7 Conclusions

We reviewed and introduced new techniques, algorithms and implementation choices for the solution of partial linear differential equations in the form of multivariate power series representations. Here we extract the underlying partial linear difference equations of the power series coefficients (see, e.g., Section 2) and try to solve them in terms of special functions. For this task we presented an algorithm that can solve frequently arising hypergeometric systems in terms of hypergeometric products (see Section 3) and elaborated heuristic methods to find such solutions (also in terms of nested sums) for the general higher-order case (see Section 6). Special care has been put on the ε -expansion of such solutions (see Sections 4.1 and 6.3.4) where in addition, e.g., Hurwitz harmonic sums and generalized versions may therefore arise. Finally, we utilize the available summation tools in the setting of difference rings to simplify the found sum solutions in terms of indefinite nested sums over hypergeometric products. In particular, various concrete examples of this computer algebra machinery have been elaborated (see Section 5).

Based on IBP methods, the precision calculations in perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics yield huge expressions of Feynman integrals that are specified as solutions of partial linear differential equations. In this article we generalized the available techniques that enable one to solve in parts these equations and to provide in a mechanical fashion representations in terms of multivariate hypergeometric series and their simplifications in terms of functions given by indefinite nested sums and products. Without these computer algebra tools (and future refinements and extensions) these challenging calculations would be out of scope. We strongly feel that these tools in combination with artificial intelligence may lay the foundation for more flexible and efficient mechanizations and the computerization of knowledge concerning the underlying differential equations and the obtained solutions. In particular, the classification of the arising differential equations with extra parameters (together with the found solutions) and new pattern matching techniques might lead to significant speed-ups for future evaluations of Feynman integrals. Furthermore, finding solutions of partial linear difference equations is a highly challenging task and various heuristic methods with user interaction have been introduced. Also here, e.g., the exploration of machine learning strategies might lead to improved and fully automatized strategies that lead to a new generation of partial differential equation solvers.

Appendix A: The multiple series representation

In the following we summarize the different multiple series representations that can be found in the existing literature. We note that starting with their partial linear differential representation our methods described above can also provide the presented sum representations. The expansion coefficients are given as rational functions of Pochhammer symbols,

which requires a corresponding re-parameterization of the coefficients of the foregoing differential and difference equations.

One of the simplest functions of these classes is Gauß' hypergeometric function (2). Its generalizations, the generalized hypergeometric functions, are given by (3). The bi-variate Appell series [8, 9] have the representations (4) and

$$F_2(a; b, b'; c, c'; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (b)_m (b')_n}{m! n! (c)_m (c')_n} x^m y^n \quad (231)$$

$$F_3(a, a'; b, b'; c; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_m (a')_n (b)_m (b')_n}{m! n! (c)_{m+n}} x^m y^n \quad (232)$$

$$F_4(a; b; c, c'; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (b)_{m+n}}{m! n! (c)_m (c')_n} x^m y^n. \quad (233)$$

This set is extended to the Horn-type bi-variate series [12, 13]

$$G_1(a; b, b'; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_{m+n} (b)_{n-m} (b')_{m-n} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (234)$$

$$G_2(a, a'; b, b'; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_m (a')_n (b)_{n-m} (b')_{m-n} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (235)$$

$$G_3(a, a'; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_{2m-n} (a')_{2m-n} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (236)$$

$$H_1(a; b; c; d; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m-n} (b)_{m+n} (c)_n}{(d)_m} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (237)$$

$$H_2(a; b; c; d; e; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m-n} (b)_m (c)_n (d)_n}{(e)_m} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (238)$$

$$H_3(a; b; c; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{2m+n} (b)_n}{(c)_{m+n}} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (239)$$

$$H_4(a; b; c; d; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{2m+n} (b)_n}{(c)_m (d)_n} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (240)$$

$$H_5(a; b; c; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{2m+n} (b)_{n-m}}{(c)_n} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (241)$$

$$H_6(a; b; c; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_{2m-n} (b)_{n-m} (c)_n \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!} \quad (242)$$

$$H_7(a; b; c; d; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{2m-n} (b)_n (c)_n}{(d)_m} \frac{x^m y^n}{m! n!}. \quad (243)$$

As in the case of the generalized hypergeometric functions there are confluent forms of the bi-variate functions.

There are also the further bi-variate series [18, 19]

$$S_1(a, a', b; c, d; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n} (a')_{m+n} (b)_m x^m y^n}{(c)_{m+n} (d)_m m! n!}. \quad (244)$$

$$S_2(a, a', b, b'; c; x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m-n} (a')_{m-n} (b)_n (b')_m x^m y^n}{(c)_{m-n} m! n!}. \quad (245)$$

The generalization of the bi-variate hypergeometric functions is the Kampé de Fériet function [11]

$$F_{C;D;D'}^{A;B;B'} \left[\begin{matrix} a, b, b' \\ c, d, d' \end{matrix}; x, y \right] = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m+n} \dots (a_A)_{m+n} (b_1)_m \dots (b_B)_m (b'_1)_n \dots (b'_{B'})_n x^m y^n}{(c_1)_{m+n} \dots (c_C)_{m+n} (d_1)_m \dots (d_D)_m (d'_1)_n \dots (d'_{D'})_n m! n!}. \quad (246)$$

Triple hypergeometric functions of the Lauricella–Saran type [21–23] are

$$\hat{F}_4 = F_E(a_1, a_1, a_1, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2, c_3; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m+n+p} (b_1)_m (b_2)_{n+p} x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_m (c_1)_n (c_1)_p m! n! p!} \quad (247)$$

$$\hat{F}_{14} = F_F(a_1, a_1, a_1, b_1, b_2, b_1; c_1, c_2, c_2; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m+n+p} (b_1)_{m+p} (b_2)_n x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_m (c_2)_{n+p} m! n! p!} \quad (248)$$

$$\hat{F}_8 = F_G(a_1, a_1, a_1, b_1, b_2, b_3; c_1, c_2, c_2; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m+n+p} (b_1)_m (b_2)_n (b_3)_p x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_m (c_2)_{n+p} m! n! p!} \quad (249)$$

$$\hat{F}_3 = F_K(a_1, a_2, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_1; c_1, c_2, c_3; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_m (a_2)_{n+p} (b_1)_{m+p} (b_2)_n x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_m (c_2)_n (c_3)_p m! n! p!} \quad (250)$$

$$\hat{F}_{11} = F_M(a_1, a_2, a_1, b_1, b_2, b_1; c_1, c_2, c_2; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_m (a_2)_{n+p} (b_1)_{m+p} (b_2)_n x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_m (c_2)_{n+p} m! n! p!} \quad (251)$$

$$\hat{F}_6 = F_N(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_1; c_1, c_2, c_2; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_m (a_2)_n (a_3)_p (b_1)_{m+p} (b_2)_n x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_m (c_2)_{n+p} m! n! p!} \quad (252)$$

$$\hat{F}_{12} = F_P(a_1, a_2, a_1, b_1, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2, c_2; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m+p} (a_2)_n (b_1)_{m+n} (b_2)_p x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_m (c_2)_{n+p} m! n! p!} \quad (253)$$

$$\hat{F}_{10} = F_P(a_1, a_2, a_1, b_1, b_2, b_1; c_1, c_2, c_2; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m+p} (a_2)_n (b_1)_{m+p} (b_2)_n x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_m (c_2)_{n+p} m! n! p!} \quad (254)$$

$$\hat{F}_7 = F_P(a_1, a_2, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_3; c_1, c_1, c_1; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_m (a_2)_{n+p} (b_1)_m (b_2)_n (b_3)_p x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_{m+n+p} m! n! p!} \quad (255)$$

$$\hat{F}_{13} = F_T(a_1, a_2, a_2, b_1, b_2, b_1; c_1, c_1, c_1; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_m (a_2)_{n+p} (b_1)_{m+p} (b_2)_n x^m y^n z^p}{(c_1)_{m+n+p} m! n! p!}. \quad (256)$$

There are three more triple hypergeometric functions, the Srivastava functions [20],

$$\hat{H}_A(a, b, b'; c, c'; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+p} (b)_{m+n} (b')_{n+p}}{(c)_m (c')_{n+p}} \frac{x^m y^n z^p}{m! n! p!} \quad (257)$$

$$\hat{H}_B(a, b, b'; c_1, c_2, c_3; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+p} (b)_{m+n} (b')_{n+p}}{(c_1)_m (c_2)_n (c_3)_p} \frac{x^m y^n z^p}{m! n! p!} \quad (258)$$

$$\hat{H}_C(a, b, b'; c; x, y, z) = \sum_{m=0, n=0, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+p} (b)_{m+n} (b')_{n+p}}{(c)_{m+n+p}} \frac{x^m y^n z^p}{m! n! p!}. \quad (259)$$

These functions are given in the literature in different forms. The following identities hold comparing to Ref. [20]:

$$\hat{F}_4(a_1, b_1, b_2; c_1; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{20a}(a_1, b_2, b_1; c_1; z, y, x) \quad (260)$$

$$\hat{F}_{14}(a_1, b_1, b_2; c_1; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{21a}(a_1, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2; x, z, y) \quad (261)$$

$$\hat{F}_8(a_1, b_1, b_2, b_3; c_1, c_2; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{18a}(a_1, b_3, b_2, b_1; c_2, c_1; z, y, x) \quad (262)$$

$$\hat{F}_3(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2, c_3; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{10a}(a_2, b_1, b_2, a_1; c_2, c_3, c_1; y, z, x) \quad (263)$$

$$\hat{F}_{11}(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{11a}(a_2, b_1, b_2, a_1; c_2, c_1, y, z, x) \quad (264)$$

$$\hat{F}_6(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{6a}(b_1, a_1, a_3, a_2, b_1; c_1, c_2; x, z, y) \quad (265)$$

$$\hat{F}_{12}(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{12a}(b_1, a_1, a_2, b_2; c_2, c_1; y, x, z) \quad (266)$$

$$\hat{F}_{10}(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; c_1, c_2; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{9a}(b_1, a_1, a_2, b_2; c_1, c_2; x, z, y) \quad (267)$$

$$\hat{F}_7(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1, b_2, b_3; c_1; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{7a}(a_2, b_2, b_3, b_1, a_1; c_1; y, z, x) \quad (268)$$

$$\hat{F}_{13}(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; c_1; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{13a}(a_2, b_1, b_2, a_1; c_1; y, z, x) \quad (269)$$

$$\hat{H}_A(a, b, b_1; c, c_1; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{15a}(b_1, a, b; c_1, c; y, z, x) \quad (270)$$

$$\hat{H}_B(a, b, b_1; c_1, c_2, c_3; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{14a}(b, b_1, a; c_1, c_2, c_3; x, y, z) \quad (271)$$

$$\hat{H}_C(a, b, b_1; c; x, y, z) \rightarrow f_{16a}(b, b_1, a; c; x, y, z). \quad (272)$$

A comprehensive list of triple hypergeometric series f_{1b} to f_{62b} has been given in Ref. [20, 169–179].

The quadruple hypergeometric functions by Exton [14] are⁷

$$K_1 = \sum_{l, m, n, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p} (b_1)_{l+m+n} (b_2)_p}{(c_1)_{l+p} (c_2)_m (c_3)_n} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l! m! n! p!} \quad (273)$$

$$K_2 = \sum_{l, m, n, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p} (b_1)_{l+m+n} (b_2)_p}{(c_1)_l (c_2)_m (c_3)_n (c_4)_p} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l! m! n! p!} \quad (274)$$

$$K_3 = \sum_{l, m, n, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p} (b_1)_{l+m} (b_2)_{n+p}}{(c_1)_{l+p} (c_2)_{m+n}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l! m! n! p!} \quad (275)$$

$$K_4 = \sum_{l, m, n, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p} (b_1)_{l+m} (b_2)_{n+p}}{(c_1)_{l+p} (c_2)_m (c_3)_n} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l! m! n! p!} \quad (276)$$

$$K_5 = \sum_{l, m, n, p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p} (b_1)_{l+m} (b_2)_{n+p}}{(c_1)_l (c_2)_m (c_3)_n (c_4)_p} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l! m! n! p!} \quad (277)$$

⁷Note various typographical errors in the literature.

$$K_6 = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p}(b_1)_{l+m}(b_2)_n(b_3)_p}{(c_1)_l(c_2)_{m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (278)$$

$$K_7 = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p}(b_1)_{l+m}(b_2)_n(b_3)_p}{(c_1)_{l+n}(c_2)_{m+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (279)$$

$$K_8 = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p}(b_1)_{l+m}(b_2)_n(b_3)_p}{(c_1)_{l+n}(c_2)_m(c_3)_p} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (280)$$

$$K_9 = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p}(b_1)_{l+m}(b_2)_n(b_3)_p}{(c_1)_l(c_2)_m(c_3)_{n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (281)$$

$$K_{10} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p}(b_1)_{l+m}(b_2)_n(b_3)_p}{(c_1)_l(c_2)_m(c_3)_n(c_4)_p} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (282)$$

$$K_{11} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p}(b_1)_l(b_2)_m(b_3)_n(b_4)_p}{(c_1)_{l+m+n}(c_2)_p} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (283)$$

$$K_{12} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p}(b_1)_l(b_2)_m(b_3)_n(b_4)_p}{(c_1)_{l+m}(c_2)_{n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (284)$$

$$K_{13} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n+p}(b_1)_l(b_2)_m(b_3)_n(b_4)_p}{(c_1)_{l+m}(c_2)_n(c_3)_p} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (285)$$

$$K_{14} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n}(b_1)_p(b_2)_{l+p}(b_3)_m(b_4)_n}{(c)_{l+m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (286)$$

$$K_{15} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m+n}(b_1)_p(b_2)_l(b_3)_m(b_4)_n(b_5)_p}{(c_1)_{l+m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (287)$$

$$K_{16} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{l+m}(a_2)_{l+n}(a_3)_{m+p}(a_4)_{n+p}}{(c)_{l+m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (288)$$

$$K_{17} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m}(b_1)_{l+n}(b_2)_{m+n}(b_3)_p(b_4)_p}{(c)_{l+m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (289)$$

$$K_{18} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m}(b_1)_{l+p}(b_2)_{m+n}(b_3)_n(b_4)_p}{(c_1)_{l+m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (290)$$

$$K_{19} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m}(b_1)_{l+n}(b_2)_m(b_3)_n(b_4)_p(b_5)_p}{(c_1)_{l+m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (291)$$

$$K_{20} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{l+m}(a_2)_{n+p}(b_1)_l(b_2)_m(b_3)_n(b_4)_p}{(c_1)_{l+m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!} \quad (292)$$

$$K_{21} = \sum_{l,m,n,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{l+m}(b_1)_l(b_2)_m(b_3)_n(b_4)_p(b_5)_n(b_6)_p}{(c_1)_{l+m+n+p}} \frac{x^l y^m z^n t^p}{l!m!n!p!}. \quad (293)$$

Furthermore, there are the multivariate Lauricella functions [21]

$$F_A^{(n)}(a, b_1, \dots, b_n; c_1, \dots, c_n; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1+\dots+m_n} (b_1)_{m_1} \dots (b_n)_{m_n} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}}{(c_1)_{m_1} \dots (c_n)_{m_n} m_1! \dots m_n!} \quad (294)$$

$$F_B^{(n)}(a_1 \dots a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n; c_1, \dots, c_n; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_{m_1} \dots (a_n)_{m_n} (b_1)_{m_1} \dots (b_n)_{m_n} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}}{(c_1)_{m_1} \dots (c_n)_{m_n} m_1! \dots m_n!} \quad (295)$$

$$F_C^{(n)}(a, b; c_1, \dots, c_n; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1+\dots+m_n} (b)_{m_1+\dots+m_n} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}}{(c_1)_{m_1} \dots (c_n)_{m_n} m_1! \dots m_n!} \quad (296)$$

$$F_D^{(n)}(a, b_1 \dots b_n; c; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{m_1, \dots, m_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m_1+\dots+m_n} (b_1)_{m_1} \dots (b_n)_{m_n} x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}}{(c)_{m_1+\dots+m_n} m_1! \dots m_n!}. \quad (297)$$

The file `cases.m` gives an even more extensive computer-readable list of these functions.

Appendix B: Mapping conditions to the Pochhammer case

The parameters of the general representations of the (partial) differential equations given in Section 2, leading to product solutions, obey the well-known Pochhammer solutions, if they apply a number of relations. Here we present some typical examples for these relations.

The case of two variables:

$$F_1 : \{a\} \rightarrow \alpha\beta, \{b\} \rightarrow \alpha + \beta + 1, \{c, c_1\} \rightarrow -\gamma, \{d, g, d_1, g_1\} \rightarrow -1, \{e, h, e_1, h_1\} \rightarrow 1, \\ \{f\} \rightarrow \beta, \{j, j_1\} \rightarrow 0, \{a_1\} \rightarrow \alpha\beta_1, \{b_1\} \rightarrow \alpha + \beta_1 + 1, \{f_1\} \rightarrow \beta_1 \quad (298)$$

$$H_1 : \{a\} \rightarrow \alpha\beta, \{b\} \rightarrow \alpha + \beta + 1, \{c\} \rightarrow -\delta, \{d, j, g_1\} \rightarrow -1, \{e, d_1, e_1, h_1\} \rightarrow 1, \\ \{f\} \rightarrow \alpha - \beta - 1, \{g, h, j_1\} \rightarrow 0, \{a_1\} \rightarrow \beta\gamma, \{b_1\} \rightarrow \beta + \gamma + 1, \{c_1\} \rightarrow 1 - \alpha, \\ \{f_1\} \rightarrow \gamma \quad (299)$$

$$S_1 : \{a\} \rightarrow \alpha\alpha_1\beta; \{b\} \rightarrow (1 + \alpha_1)(1 + \beta) + \alpha(1 + \alpha_1 + \beta); \{c\} \rightarrow -(\gamma\delta); \\ \{d\} \rightarrow -1 - \gamma - \delta; \{e\} \rightarrow 3 + \alpha + \alpha_1 + \beta; \{f\} \rightarrow (1 + \alpha + \alpha_1)\beta; \{g\} \rightarrow -\delta; \\ \{h\} \rightarrow 3 + \alpha + \alpha_1 + 2\beta; \{j\} \rightarrow \beta; \{l, q, d_1, g_1\} \rightarrow -1; \{p, s, e_1, j_1\} \rightarrow 1; \\ \{r, h_1\} \rightarrow 2; \{a_1\} \rightarrow \alpha\alpha_1; \{b_1, f_1\} \rightarrow 1 + \alpha + \alpha_1; \{c_1\} \rightarrow -\gamma. \quad (300)$$

The case of three variables, [20]:

$$f_{1b} : \{A\} \rightarrow b_1 b_2; \{B_0\} \rightarrow -c; \{B_1\} \rightarrow 1 + b_1 + b_2; \{C_1\} \rightarrow -b_2; \{D_1\} \rightarrow -b_1; \\ \{E_0, H_1, L_1, H'_2, L''_2\} \rightarrow -1; \{E_1, S_1, F'_0, F'_1, G''_0, G''_1\} \rightarrow 1; \{F_1, G_1, H_0, L_0, B'_1, D'_1, \\ E'_1, G'_1, H'_1, L'_1, S'_0, S'_1, B''_1, C''_1, E''_1, F''_1, H''_1, L''_1, S''_1, S''_2\} \rightarrow 0; \{A'\} \rightarrow a_1 a_2; \\ \{C'_0\} \rightarrow 1 - b_1; \{C'_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a_1 + a_2; \{A''\} \rightarrow a_3 a_4; \{D''_0\} \rightarrow 1 - b_2; \\ \{D''_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a_3 + a_4 \quad (301)$$

$$f_{21a} : \{A, A'\} \rightarrow a_1 a_2; \{B_0\} \rightarrow -c_1; \{B_1, C_1, B'_1, C'_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a_1 + a_2; \{D_1, D'_1\} \rightarrow a_2; \\ \{E_0, F'_0, S'_0, G''_0, S''_2\} \rightarrow -1; \{E_1, F_1, L_1, S_1, E'_1, F'_1, L'_1, S'_1, G''_1, L''_1, S''_1\} \rightarrow 1; \\ \{G_1, H_0, L_0, G'_1, H'_2, E''_1, F''_1, H''_1, L''_2\} \rightarrow 0; \{H_1, H'_1\} \rightarrow 2; \{C'_0, D'_0\} \rightarrow -c_2; \\ \{A''\} \rightarrow a_1 a_3; \{B''_1, C''_1\} \rightarrow a_3; \{D''_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a_1 + a_3 \quad (302)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{27b} : \{A\} &\rightarrow a_1 a_2; \{B_0, C'_0\} \rightarrow 1 - b; \{B_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a_1 + a_2; \{C_1\} \rightarrow a_2; \{D_1, F_1, G_1, \\
 &L_1, S_1, D'_1, E'_1, G'_1, L'_1, S'_1, L''_2, S''_2\} \rightarrow 0; \{E_0, E_1, H_0, H_1, F'_0, F'_1, H'_1, H'_2, E''_1, F''_1\} \rightarrow 1; \\
 &\{L_0, S'_0\} \rightarrow -2; \{A'\} \rightarrow a_1 a_3; \{B'_1\} \rightarrow a_3; \{C'_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a_1 + a_3; \{A''\} \rightarrow b(1 + b); \\
 &\{B''_1, C''_1\} \rightarrow -2b; \{D''_0\} \rightarrow -c; \{D'_1\} \rightarrow 2(3 + 2b); \\
 &\{G''_0\} \rightarrow -1; \{G'_1\} \rightarrow 4; \{H'_1\} \rightarrow 2; \{L'_1, S'_1\} \rightarrow -4.
 \end{aligned} \tag{303}$$

The case of four variables, [14, 15]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_1 : \{A, A', A''\} &\rightarrow ab_1; \{B_0, E'''_0\} \rightarrow -c_1; \{B_1, C_1, D_1, B'_1, C'_1, D'_1, B''_1, C''_1, \\
 &D''_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a + b_1; \{E_1, E'_1, E''_1\} \rightarrow b_1; \{F_0, P_0, G'_0, H''_0, L''_0, P''_0\} \rightarrow -1; \\
 &\{F_1, G_1, H_1, P_1, R_1, S_1, F'_1, G'_1, H'_1, P'_1, R'_1, S'_1, F''_1, G''_1, H''_1, P''_1, R''_1, S''_1, L'''_1, P'''_1, \\
 &R'''_1, S'''_1\} \rightarrow 1; \{L_1, M_0, N_0, L'_1, M'_2, Q'_0, R'_0, L''_1, N''_2, Q''_2, S''_0, F'''_1, G'''_1, H'''_1, M'''_1, \\
 &N'''_1, Q'''_1, R'''_2, S'''_2\} \rightarrow 0; \{M_1, N_1, Q_1, M'_1, N'_1, Q'_1, M''_1, N''_1, Q''_1\} \rightarrow 2; \{C'_0\} \rightarrow -c_2; \\
 &\{D''_0\} \rightarrow -c_3; \{A'''\} \rightarrow ab_2; \{B'''_1, C'''_1, D'''_1\} \rightarrow b_2; \{E'''_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a + b_2
 \end{aligned} \tag{304}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{21} : \{A\} &\rightarrow ab_1; \{B_0, C'_0, D'_0, E'''_0\} \rightarrow -c_1; \{B_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a + b_1; \{C_1\} \rightarrow b_1; \\
 &\{D_1, E_1, G_1, H_1, L_1, N_1, P_1, Q_1, R_1, S_1, D'_1, E'_1, F'_1, H'_1, L'_1, N'_1, P'_1, Q'_1, R'_1, S'_1, B''_1, C''_1, \\
 &E''_1, F''_1, G''_1, L''_1, M''_1, N''_1, P''_1, Q''_1, R''_1, S''_1, B'''_1, C'''_1, D'''_1, F'''_1, G'''_1, H'''_1, M'''_1, N'''_1, P'''_1, Q'''_1, \\
 &R'''_1, S'''_1\} \rightarrow 0; \{F_0, M_0, N_0, P_0, G'_0, M'_2, Q'_0, R'_0, H''_0, N''_2, Q''_2, S''_0, L''_0, P''_2, R''_2, S''_2\} \rightarrow -1; \\
 &\{F_1, M_1, G_1, M'_1, H'_1, L'_1\} \rightarrow 1; \{A'\} \rightarrow ab_2; \{B'_1\} \rightarrow b_2; \{C'_1\} \rightarrow 1 + a + b_2; \\
 &\{A''\} \rightarrow b_3 b_5; \{D'_1\} \rightarrow 1 + b_3 + b_5; \{A'''\} \rightarrow b_4 b_6; \{E'''_1\} \rightarrow 1 + b_4 + b_6.
 \end{aligned} \tag{305}$$

The complete list of relations is given in computer readable form in the attachment `Mconditions.m` to this paper.

Appendix C: A brief descriptions of the commands of `HypSeries`

In the following we describe the commands available in the `Mathematica` package `HypSeries`. To execute this package requires also the packages `Sigma`, `EvaluateMultiSums` [125–128], and `HarmonicSums` as well as other packages, see Appendix F. The user has to provide n (partial) differential equations in the n -variable case. The commands

`solveDE1`, `solveDE2`, `solveDE3`, `solveDE4`

check whether the corresponding set of one to four variables has product solutions by consulting internal lists of cases. If applicable, the corresponding product solution for the expansion coefficients $f(m)$ to $f(m, n, p, q)$ are provided. In the bi-variate case subclasses are dealt with individually. The command is e.g.

`solveDE4 [{eq1==0, eq2==0, eq3==0, eq4==0}, {x, y, z, t}, {m, n, p, q}].`

More general solutions are possible by using the command `DEProductSolution`. One has to provide the required n differential equations in the list

`sys = {eq1==0, ..., eqn==0}.`

Then

`DEProductSolution[sys, {x, y, ...}, {m, n, ...}]`

returns the respective expansion coefficient $f(m, n, p, q)$. Here the tools described in Section 3.1 are utilized.

If one has, on the reverse, a Pochhammer ratio $A = f(m, n, p, q)$ the command

`findDE[A, {x, y, ...}, {m, n, ...}]`

returns the system of differential equations obeyed by

$$f(x, y, \dots) = \sum_{m, n, \dots \geq 0}^{\infty} f(m, n, \dots) x^m y^n \dots$$

Given a differential equation `eq` in n variables the command `findRE`

`findRE[eq==0, {x, y, ...}, {m, n, ...}]`

returns a corresponding recurrence for $f(m, n, \dots)$. The last two commands implement the techniques presented in the beginning of Section 3.

To prepare for the expansion in the dimensional parameter ε , which frequently occurs in the parameters of the differential and difference equations, one usually needs to check for the convergence domain of the corresponding solution, to be able to perform the respective limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ in the sums involved. Generally it is assumed that $\{x, y, z, t\} \in]-1, 1[$. However, often stronger conditions are needed in the multivariate cases. Internal Tables, cf. [20], allow to check for this in the bi- and tri-variate cases using the commands `findCond2` and `findCond3`. One first has to determine the corresponding function label `fcn` via `classifier2`, `classifier3`, as e.g.

`classifier3[f[m, n, p], {x, y, z}, {m, n, p}]`

returning `fcn`. Then

`findCond3[fcn, {x, y, z}]`

returns the convergence conditions, which are in some cases given in implicit form. The ε -expansion is performed using algorithms implemented in *Sigma*. The attached notebooks `ExHypSeries.nb` and `ExSolvePartialLDE.nb` give a more detailed explanation on this.

In the cases wherein the ε -expansion of the considered higher transcendental functions can be performed to a certain power $O(\varepsilon^k)$ one may want to check, whether the solution satisfies the corresponding differential equations. This is provided by the command `CheckDE[sol, eq]`, where `sol` denotes the solution up to the corresponding degree in ε and `eq` the differential equation:

`CheckDE[sol, eq]`

returns then a result, which is of higher order in ε .

Appendix D: A brief descriptions of the commands of `solvePartialLDE`

The *Mathematica* package `SolvePLDE.m` implements the aforementioned algorithms for solving partial linear difference equations. It requires *Sigma* and *HarmonicSums* to be loaded. Additionally, the software *Singular* [180] must be installed, and made available through the interface given in [181]. The installation path of *Singular* can be set using the command appropriate for the user's system, e.g.

```
<<Singular.m
SingularCommand = "(path to)/Singular-4.1.3-x86_64-Linux/bin
/Singular".
```

The functions available are

- `spread[p,q,{n, k,...}({, {eps,...}})]`: this function calculates the spread of the polynomials p and q , in the variables n, k, \dots . The symbols in the optional list are treated as an extension to the field over which the polynomials are defined. If the polynomials p and q contain symbolic parameters other than n, k, \dots , such as for instance the dimensional regulator ε , they must be declared in the second list.
- `dispersion[p,q,{n, k,...}({, {eps,...}})]`: this function calculates the dispersion (it is the maximum of the spread) of the polynomials p and q in the variables n, k, \dots . The second optional list has the same function as in the function `spread`.
- `SolvePLDE[eq==rhs, f[n,k,...], (options)]`. This command solves the linear partial difference equation. It has the following available options:
 - `UseObject` \rightarrow *list of Harmonic sums and/or Pochhammer symbols*
Allows to define a list of harmonic sums and Pochhammer symbols to be searched in the numerator of the solution.
 - `PLDEdegBound` $\rightarrow d$
Allows to choose the total degree d of the Ansatz for the numerator of the solution. Defaults to 0.
 - `InsertDenFactor` \rightarrow *factors*
In the case the periodic denominator bound was not complete, the user may force the search to include *factors* in the denominator.
 - `PLDESymbols` \rightarrow *list*
Any symbols appearing other than the shift variables must be declared in *list*.
 - `InitialValues` \rightarrow *list*
A list of initial values in the form $\{\{var_1 \rightarrow val_1, var_2 \rightarrow val_2, \dots, initialvalue\}, \dots\}$
 - `SymbolDegree` $\rightarrow d$
When initial conditions are provided, a linear combination of the homogeneous solutions is built, having as coefficients rational functions in the symbols. This option sets the maximum total degree of the numerator and denominator of those rational functions.
- `SolveExpand[eq==rhs, f[n,k,...], PLDEExpandIn $\rightarrow \{\varepsilon, \ell_{min}, \ell_{max}\}$, InitialValues $\rightarrow \{\dots\}$, (options)]`: this command solves the PLDE in a series expansion in a parameter. The options are the same as for `SolvePLDE`.
- `expandHypergPref[eq==rhs, f[n,k,...], fac]`. This command derives a new equation whose solution has the hypergeometric factor `fac` removed, as described in Section 6.3.1.

Appendix E: A constant

We calculate the constant C given in (115). The two contributions to this infinite sum do both diverge, while

$$C \approx 2.759413418790153909406713643175. \quad (306)$$

Unfortunately (115) cannot simply be written in terms of a hypergeometric function of main argument 1, since this diverges, which is easily seen by applying Gauß' formula. However, one can define it as the following limit

$$C = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ 1 - {}_2F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2}; 1; 1 - \varepsilon \right) - \frac{\cosh \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{2} \right] \ln(\varepsilon)}{\pi} \right\}. \quad (307)$$

Before expanding in ε one should map the main argument as, cf. e.g. [7],

$$1 - \varepsilon \rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1. \quad (308)$$

Furthermore, the relation for the digamma function

$$\psi(1 - z) = \psi(z) + \pi \cot(\pi z) \quad (309)$$

shall be used. One finally obtains (116). In deriving (116) one obtains first an expression both containing the real and the imaginary part of $\psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)$. Those obey the following integral representations

$$\Re \left[\psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \right] = \int_0^1 dt \left[\frac{t^{-1/2} \cos \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \ln(t) \right]}{t - 1} - \frac{1}{\ln(t)} \right] \quad (310)$$

$$\Im \left[\psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \right] = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}(t-1)} \sin \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \ln(t) \right] = \frac{\pi}{2} \tanh \left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \pi \right]. \quad (311)$$

While the imaginary part of $\psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)$ evaluates to a basic function, a further simplification seems not to be possible.

The new entities emerging here are therefore

$$e^{\pi\sqrt{3}/2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right). \quad (312)$$

In the expansion of multi-variate series of the Pochhammer-type one expects quite new classes of special numbers to emerge, which will become a potential research topic in the future.

Appendix F: Software required

The ancillary files cover the Mathematica notebooks ExHypSeries.nb and ExSolvePartialLDE.nb. Their execution requires the following software packages, which can be downloaded from the software site of the RISC institute:

EvaluateMultiSums.m <https://risc.jku.at/sw/evaluatemultisums/>

Guess.m, LinearSystemSolver.m

<https://risc.jku.at/sw/guess/>

HarmonicSums.m <https://risc.jku.at/sw/harmonicsums/>

Sigma.m <https://risc.jku.at/sw/sigma/>

In addition, the computer algebra system Singular

Singular.m <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>

has to be installed in order to execute the Mathematica package SolvePartialLDE.m.

All data and its supplementary information files generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article:

```
cases.m
converg.m
HypSeries.m
Mconditions.m
SolvePartialLDE.m
ExHypSeries.nb
ExSolvePartialLDE.nb.
```

It is recommended to download all precomputed tables for HarmonicSums. The Mathematica notebooks ExHypSeries.nb and ExsolvePartialLDE.nb contain the information from where the correct version of the used packages can be downloaded.

While the notebook ExsolvePartialLDE.nb needs a few minutes computation time only, ExHypSeries.nb needs 1.32 days.

Acknowledgements We thank J. Ablinger, D. Broadhurst, and P. Marquard for discussions. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska–Curie grant agreement No. 764850, SAGEX and from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grant SFB F50 (F5009-N15) and P33530.

Funding Open access funding provided by Austrian Science Fund (FWF).

Data Availability The authors declare that all data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary information files; see also Appendix F.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Declarations

Conflict of Interests The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Hamberg, R.: Second order gluonic contributions to physical quantities, Ph.D. Thesis Leiden University (1991)
2. Davydychev, A.I., Kalmykov, M.Y.: Massive Feynman diagrams and inverse binomial sums. Nucl Phys B **699**, 3–64 (2004). arXiv: [hep-th/0303162](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0303162)
3. Bierenbaum, I., Blümlein, J., Klein, S.: Two-loop massive operator matrix elements and unpolarized heavy flavor production at asymptotic values $Q^2 \gg m^2$. Nucl. Phys. B, **780**, 40–75 (2007). arXiv: [hep-ph/0703285](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0703285)
4. Kalmykov, M., Bytev, V., Kniehl, B.A., Moch, S.O., Ward, B.F.L., Yost, S.A.: Hypergeometric Functions and Feynman Diagrams. arXiv: [2012.14492](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.14492). In: Blümlein, J., Schneider, C. (eds.) Anti-differentiation and the calculation of Feynman amplitudes, (Springer, Heidelberg) (2021)
5. Klein, F.: Vorlesungen über die hypergeometrische Funktionen, Wintersemester 1893/94, Die Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol 39. Springer, Berlin (1933)
6. Bailey, W.N.: Generalized hypergeometric series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1935)
7. Slater, L.J.: Generalized hypergeometric functions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1966)

8. Appell, P., Kampé de Fériet, J.: Fonctions hypergéométriques et hypersphériques, polynomes D' Hermite, (Gauthier-Villars Paris (1926)
9. Appell, P.: Les fonctions hypergéométriques de plusieurs variables. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1925)
10. Kampé de Fériet, J.: La fonction hypergéométrique. Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1937)
11. Kampé de Fériet, J.: Les fonctions hypergéométriques d'Ordre Supérieur à Deux Variables. C R Acad Sci Paris **173**, 489–491 (1921)
12. Borngässer, L.: Über hypergeometrischen Funktionen zweier Veränderlichen, Thesis, P. h. D., (TU Darmstadt) (1933)
13. Horn, J.: Hypergeometrische Funktionen zweier Veränderlichen. Math Ann, vol. 105 (1931), pp. 381–407; 111 638–677 (1933)
14. Exton, H.: Certain hypergeometric functions for four variables. Bull. Soc. Math. Grèce, N.S. **13**, 104–113 (1972)
15. Exton, H.: Multiple hypergeometric functions and applications. Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1976)
16. Exton, H.: Handbook of hypergeometric integrals. Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1978)
17. Schlosser, M.J.: Multiple hypergeometric series: Appell series and beyond. In: Schneider, C., Blümlein, J. (eds.) Computer algebra in quantum field theory: integration, summation and special functions, pp. 305–324, (Springer, Wien) [arXiv: [1305.1966](#)] (2013)
18. Anastasiou, C., Glover, E.W.N., Oleari, C.: Scalar one loop integrals using the negative dimension approach. Nucl. Phys. **B572**, 307–360 (2000). arXiv: [[hep-ph/9907494](#)]
19. Anastasiou, C., Glover, E.W.N., Oleari, C.: Application of the negative dimension approach to massless scalar box integrals. Nucl. Phys. **B565**, 445–467 (2000). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9907523](#)]
20. Srivastava, H.M., Karlsson, P.W.: Multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series. Ellis Horwood, Chichester (1985)
21. Lauricella, G.: Sulle funzioni ipergeometriche a più variabili. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo **7**(S1), 111–158 (1893)
22. Saran, S.: Hypergeometric functions of three variables. Ganita. **5**, 77–91 (1954)
23. Saran, S.: Transformations of certain hypergeometric functions of three variables. Acta. Math. **93**, 293–312 (1955)
24. Erdélyi, A.: (Ed.) Higher transcendental functions, vol. 1, the Bateman manuscript Project. McGraw-Hill, New York (1953)
25. Kotikov, A.V.: Differential equations method. New technique for massive Feynman diagram calculation. Phys. Lett. **B254**, 158–164 (1991)
26. Bern, Z., Dixon, L.J., Kosower, D.A.: Dimensionally regulated one loop integrals. Phys. Lett. **B302**, 299–308 (1993). [Erratum: Phys. Lett. B318, (1993) 649] [arXiv: [hep-ph/9212308](#)]
27. Remiddi, E.: Differential equations for Feynman graph amplitudes. Nuovo. Cim. **A110**, 1435–1452 (1997). arXiv: [[hep-th/9711188](#)]
28. Gehrmann, T., Remiddi, E.: Differential equations for two loop four point functions. Nucl. Phys. **B580**, 485–518 (2000). arXiv: [[hep-ph/9912329](#)]
29. Ablinger, J., Behring, A., Blümlein, J., De Freitas, A., von Manteuffel, A., Schneider, C.: Calculating Three Loop Ladder and V-Topologies for Massive Operator Matrix Elements by Computer Algebra. Comput. Phys. Commun. **202**, 33–112 (2016). [arXiv: [1509.08324](#)]
30. Kotikov, A.V.: The Property of maximal transcendentality in the N=4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills. In: Diaconov, D. (ed.) Subtleties in quantum field theory, pp 150–174, [arXiv: [1005.5029](#)] (1991)
31. Henn, J.M.: Multiloop integrals in dimensional regularization made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 251601 (2013). [arXiv: [1304.1806](#)]
32. Ablinger, J., Blümlein, J., Marquard, P., Rana, N., Schneider, C.: Automated Solution of First Order Factorizable Systems of Differential Equations in One Variable. Nucl. Phys. B. **939**, 253–291 (2019). [arXiv: [1810.12261](#)]
33. Lagrange, J.: Nouvelles recherches sur la nature et la propagation du son, Miscellanea Taurinensis, t. II, 1760–61; Oeuvres t. I, pp. 263 (1760/61)
34. Gauß, C.F.: Theoria attractionis corporum sphaeroidicorum ellipticorum homogeneorum methodo novo tractate, Commentationes societas scientiarum Gottingensis recentiores, Vol III Werke Bd. V pp 5–7 (1813)
35. Green, G.: Essay on the Mathematical Theory of Electricity and Magnetism, Nottingham, [Green Papers, pp. 1–115] (1828)
36. Ostrogradsky, M.: (presented: November 5, 1828; published: 1831) Première note sur la théorie de la chaleur. Mémoires de l'Académie impériale des sciences de St. Pétersbourg, series **6**(1), 129–133 (1831)
37. Chetyrkin, K.G., Tkachov, F.V.: Integration by Parts: The Algorithm to Calculate Beta Functions in 4 Loops, Nucl. Phys. B. **192**, 159–204 (1981)

38. Laporta, S.: High precision calculation of multiloop Feynman integrals by difference equations. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*. **15**, 5087–5159 (2000). [arXiv: [hep-ph/0102033](#)]
39. Marquard, P., Seidel, D.: The Crusher algorithm, unpublished
40. Studerus, C.: Reduze – Feynman Integral Reduction in C++. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **181**, 1293–1300 (2010). [arXiv: [0912.2546](#)]
41. von Manteuffel, A., Studerus, C.: Reduze 2 - Distributed Feynman Integral Reduction, arXiv: [1201.4330](#), (2012)
42. Bostan, A., Chyzak, F., de Panafieu, É.: Complexity estimates for two uncoupling algorithms. In: *Proceedings of ISSAC'13, Boston*, [arXiv: [1301.5414](#)] (2013)
43. Zürcher, B.: *Abbildungen, Rationale Normalformen von pseudo-linearen Abbildungen*, Master's Thesis, Mathematik, ETH Zürich (1994)
44. Gerhold, S.: *Uncoupling systems of linear Ore operator equations*, Master's thesis, RISC, J. Kepler University Linz (2002)
45. Janet, M.: Sur les systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles. *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées* 8 ser **3**, 65–152 (1920)
46. Schwarz, F.: Janet Bases for Symmetry Groups. In: Buchberger, B., Winkler, F. (eds.) *Gröbner Bases and Applications*, Lecture Notes Series vol 251, (London Mathematical Society, London), pp. 221–234 (1998)
47. Boos, E.E., Davydychev, A.I.: A Method of evaluating massive Feynman integrals, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **89**, 1052–1063 (1991)
48. Davydychev, A.I.: General results for massive N point Feynman diagrams with different masses. *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 358–369 (1992)
49. Broadhurst, D.J., Fleischer, J., Tarasov, O.V.: Two loop two point functions with masses: Asymptotic expansions and Taylor series, in any dimension. *Z Phys C*. **60**, 287–302 (1993). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9304303](#)]
50. Berends, F.A., Buza, M., Böhm, M., Scharf, R.: Closed expressions for specific massive multiloop selfenergy integrals. *Z. Phys. C*. **63**, 227–234 (1994)
51. Bauberger, S., Berends, F.A., Böhm, M., Buza, M.: Analytical and numerical methods for massive two loop selfenergy diagrams. *Nucl. Phys. B*. **434**, 383–407 (1995). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9409388](#)]
52. Fleischer, J., Jegerlehner, F., Tarasov, O.V.: A New hypergeometric representation of one loop scalar integrals in d dimensions. *Nucl. Phys. B*. **672**, 303–328 (2003). [arXiv: [hep-ph/0307113](#)]
53. Watanabe, N., Kaneko, T.: One loop integration with hypergeometric series by using recursion relations. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **523**, 012063 (2014). [arXiv: [1309.3118](#)]
54. Blümlein, J., Phan, K.H., Riemann, T.: Scalar one-loop vertex integrals as meromorphic functions of space-time dimension d. *Acta Phys Polon B* **48**, 2313 (2017). [arXiv: [1711.05510](#)]
55. Phan, K.H., Riemann, T.: Scalar 1-loop Feynman integrals as meromorphic functions in space-time dimension d. *Phys. Lett. B*. **791**, 257–264 (2019). [arXiv: [1812.10975](#)]
56. Bauberger, S., Böhm, M., Weiglein, G., Berends, F.A., Buza, M.: Calculation of two-loop self-energies in the electroweak Standard Model. *Nucl. Phys. B. Proc. Suppl.* **37**(2), 95–114 (1994). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9406404](#)]
57. Ablinger, J., Blümlein, J., Hasselhuhn, A., Klein, S., Schneider, C., Wißbrock, F.: Massive 3-loop ladder diagrams for quarkonic local operator matrix elements. *Nucl. Phys. B*. **864**, 52–84 (2012). [arXiv: [1206.2252](#)]
58. Salvy, B., Zimmermann, P.: GFUN: a Maple package for the manipulation of generating and holonomic functions in one variable. *ACM Trans Math Software* **20**, 163–177 (1994)
59. Mallinger C., C.: *Algorithmic manipulations and transformations of univariate holonomic functions and sequences*. Master's thesis, RISC, J. Kepler University Linz (1996)
60. Kauers, M., Paule, P.: *The concrete tetrahedron*, Texts and monographs in symbolic computation (Springer Wien) (2011)
61. Schneider, C.: Symbolic summation assists combinatorics. *Sém Lothar. Combin.* **56**, 1–36 article B56b (2007)
62. Schneider, C.: Simplifying multiple sums in difference fields. In: Schneider, C., Blümlein, J. (eds.) *Computer algebra in quantum field theory: integration, summation and special functions*. Texts and monographs in symbolic computation (Springer, Wien) pp. 325–360 [arXiv: [1304.4134](#)] (2013)
63. Schneider, C.: Algebras, term representations, canonical difference ring theory for symbolic summation arXiv: [2102.01471](#). In: Blümlein, J., Schneider, C. (eds.) *Anti-differentiation and the calculation of Feynman amplitudes*, (Springer), Heidelberg (2021)
64. Schneider, C.: A Collection of Denominator Bounds to Solve Parameterized Linear Difference Equations in $\Pi\Sigma$ -Extensions. *An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Mat-Inform.* **42**, 163 (2004)

65. Schneider, C.: Solving parameterized linear difference equations in terms of indefinite nested sums and products. *J. Differ Equations Appl.* **11**, 799–821 (2005)
66. Schneider, C.: Degree bounds to find polynomial solutions of parameterized linear difference equations in $\Pi\Sigma$ -Fields. *Appl. Algebra. Engrg. Comm. Comput.* **16**, 1–32 (2005)
67. Abramov, S.A., Bronstein, M., Petkovšek, M., Schneider, C.: On rational and hypergeometric solutions of linear ordinary difference equations in $\Pi\Sigma^*$ -field extensions. *J. Symbolic. Comput.* **107**, 23–66 (2021). [arXiv: [2005.04944](#)]
68. Vermaseren, J.A.M.: Harmonic sums, Mellin transforms and integrals. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A.* **14**, 2037–2076 (1999). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9806280](#)]
69. Blümlein, J., Kurth, S.: Harmonic sums and Mellin transforms up to two loop order. *Phys. Rev. D.* **60**, 014018 (1999). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9810241](#)]
70. Ablinger, J., Blümlein, J., Schneider, C.: Harmonic sums and polylogarithms generated by cyclotomic polynomials. *J. Math. Phys.* **52**, 102301 (2011). [arXiv: [1105.6063](#)]
71. Moch, S., Uwer, P., Weinzierl, S.: Nested sums, expansion of transcendental functions and multiscale multiloop integrals. *J. Math. Phys.* **43**, 3363–3386 (2002). [arXiv: [hep-ph/0110083](#)]
72. Weinzierl, S.: Symbolic expansion of transcendental functions. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **145**, 357–370 (2002). [arXiv: [math-ph/0201011](#)]
73. Moch, S., Uwer, P.: XSummer: Transcendental functions and symbolic summation in Form. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **174**, 759–770 (2006). [arXiv: [math-ph/0508008](#)]
74. Huber, T., Maitre, D.: HypExp: A Mathematica package for expanding hypergeometric functions around integer-valued parameters. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175**, 122–144 (2006). [arXiv: [hep-ph/0507094](#)]
75. Huang, Z.W., Liu, J.: NumExp: numerical epsilon expansion of hypergeometric functions. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**, 1973–1980 (2013). [arXiv: [1209.3971](#)]
76. Huber, T., Maitre, D.: HypExp 2, expanding hypergeometric functions about Half-integer parameters. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178**, 755–776 (2008). [arXiv: [0708.2443](#)]
77. Kalmykov, M.Y., Ward, B.F.L., Yost, S.A.: On the all-order epsilon-expansion of generalized hypergeometric functions with integer values of parameters. *JHEP.* **11**, 009 (2007). [arXiv: [0708.0803](#)]
78. Bytev, V.V., Kalmykov, M.Y., Kniehl, B.A.: HYPERDIRE, HYPERgeometric functions Differential REDuction: MATHEMATICA-based packages for differential reduction of generalized hypergeometric functions ${}_pF_{p-1}$, F_1 , F_2 , F_3 , F_4 . *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **184**, 2332–2342 (2013). [arXiv: [1105.3565](#)]
79. Bytev, V.V., Kalmykov, M.Y., Moch, S.O.: HYPERgeometric functions Differential REDuction (HYPERDIRE): MATHEMATICA based packages for differential reduction of generalized hypergeometric functions: F_D and F_S Horn-type hypergeometric functions of three variables. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185**, 3041–3058 (2014). [arXiv: [1312.5777](#)]
80. Greynat, D., Sesma, J.: A new approach to the epsilon expansion of generalized hypergeometric functions. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185**, 472–478 (2014). [arXiv: [1302.2423](#)]
81. Greynat, D., Sesma, J., Vulvert, G.: Derivatives of the Pochhammer and reciprocal Pochhammer symbols and their use in epsilon-expansions of Appell and Kampé de Fériet functions. *J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 043501 (2014)
82. Itzykson, C., Zuber, J.-B.: *Quantum field theory*. McGraw-Hill, New York (1980)
83. Whittaker, E.T., Watson, G.N.: *A course of modern analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1927)
84. Barnes, E.W.: A transformation of generalized hypergeometric series. *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics* **41**, 136–140 (1910)
85. Mellin, H.: Abriß einer einheitlichen Theorie der Gamma- und der hypergeometrischen Funktionen. *Math. Ann.* **68**(3), 305–337 (1910)
86. Czakon, M.: Automatized analytic continuation of Mellin-Barnes integrals. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **175**, 559–571 (2006). [arXiv: [hep-ph/0511200](#)]
87. Smirnov, A., Smirnov, V.: On the Resolution of Singularities of Multiple Mellin-Barnes Integrals. *Eur. Phys. J. C* **62**, 445–449 (2009). [arXiv: [0901.0386](#)]
88. Smirnov, V.A.: Analytical result for dimensionally regularized massless on shell double box. *Phys. Lett. B.* **460**, 397–404 (1999). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9905323](#)]
89. Tausk, J.B.: Nonplanar massless two loop Feynman diagrams with four on-shell legs. *Phys. Lett. B.* **469**, 225–234 (1999). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9909506](#)]
90. Pochhammer, L.: Zur Theorie der Euler'schen Integrale. *Math. Ann.* **35**, 495–526 (1890)
91. Kratzer, A., Franz, W.: *Transzendente Funktionen*. Geest Portig, Leipzig (1960)
92. Blümlein, J., Klein, S., Schneider, C., Stan, F.: A symbolic summation approach to Feynman integral calculus. *J. Symb. Comput.* **47**, 1267–1289 (2012). [arXiv: [1011.2656](#)]

93. Gluza, J., Kajda, K., Riemann, T.: AMBRE: A Mathematica package for the construction of Mellin-Barnes representations for Feynman integrals. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **177**, 879–893 (2007). [arXiv: 0704.2423](#)
94. Gluza, J., Kajda, K., Riemann, T., Yundin, V.: Numerical evaluation of tensor Feynman integrals in Euclidean kinematics. *Eur. Phys. J. C.* **71**, 1516 (2011). [[arXiv: 1010.1667](#)]
95. Dubovyyk, I., Gluza, J., Somogyi, G.: Mellin-Barnes integrals: a primer on particle physics applications, lecture notes in physics, band 1008, (Springer, Berlin), [[arXiv: 2211.13733](#)] (2023)
96. Zhdanov, O.N., Tsikh, A.K.: Investigation of multiple Mellin-Barnes integrals by means of multidimensional residue. *Sib. Math. J.* **39**, 281–298 (1998)
97. Passare, M., Tsikh, A., Zhdanov, O.: A multidimensional Jordan residue lemma with an application to Mellin-Barnes integrals. *Aspects of Math E* **26**, 233–241 (1994)
98. Paris, R.B., Kaminski, D.: Asymptotics and Mellin-Barnes integrals (Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, vol 85). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001)
99. Passare, M., Tsikh, A.K., Cheshel, A.A.: Multiple Mellin-Barnes integrals as periods of Calabi-Yau manifolds with several moduli. *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **109N3**, 381–394 (1996). [[arXiv: hep-th/9609215](#)]
100. Friot, S., Greynat, D.: On convergent series representations of Mellin-Barnes integrals. *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 023508 (2012). [[arXiv: 1107.0328](#)]
101. Davydychev, A.I., Grozin, A.G.: Effect of $m(c)$ on b -quark chromomagnetic interaction and on-shell two loop integrals with two masses. *Phys. Rev. D.* **59**, 054023 (1999). [[arXiv: hep-ph/9809589](#)]
102. Bierenbaum, I., Weinzierl, S.: The massless two loop two point function. *Eur. Phys. J. C.* **32**, 67–78 (2003). [[arXiv: hep-ph/0308311](#)]
103. Halliday, I.G., Ricotta, R.M.: Negative dimensional integrals, 1. Feynman graphs. *Phys. Lett. B.* **193**, 241–246 (1987)
104. Dunne, G.V., Halliday, I.G.: Negative dimensional integration. 2. Path integrals and fermionic equivalence. *Phys. Lett. B.* **193**, 247–252 (1987)
105. Dunne, G.V., Halliday, I.G.: Negative dimensional oscillators. *Nucl. Phys. B.* **308**, 589–618 (1988)
106. Ricotta, R.M.: Negative dimensions in field theory. In: Falomir, H., Ferreira, P.L., Gamboa Saravi, R.E., Schaposnik, F.A. (eds.) *J. J. Giambiagi Festschrift*, World Scientific, Singapore 350–366 (1990)
107. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M.: Two loop selfenergy diagrams worked out with NDIM. *Eur. Phys. J. C.* **5**, 175–179 (1998). [[arXiv: hep-th/9709144](#)]
108. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M.: Negative dimensional integration for massive four point functions. 1. The Standard solutions, [[arXiv: hep-th/9707187](#)]
109. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M.: Negative dimensional integration for massive four - point functions. 2. New solutions, [[arXiv: hep-th/9709167](#)]
110. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M.: An easy way to solve two loop vertex integrals. *Phys. Rev. D.* **58**, 047701 (1998). [[arXiv: hep-th/9712108](#)]
111. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M.: Solutions for a massless off-shell two loop three point vertex, [[arXiv: hep-th/9712104](#)]
112. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M.: Negative dimensional integration revisited. *J. Phys. A.* **31**, 8023–8039 (1998)
113. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M., Bentin, R.: Probing negative dimensional integration: Two loop covariant vertex and one loop light cone integrals. *Nucl. Phys. B.* **537**, 549–560 (1999). [[arXiv: hep-th/9807158](#)]
114. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M.: *Can. J. Phys.* **78**, 769–777 (2000). [[arXiv: hep-th/9904195](#)]
115. Suzuki, A.T., Schmidt, A.G.M.: Feynman integrals with tensorial structure in the negative dimensional integration scheme. *Eur. Phys. J. C.* **10**, 357–362 (1999). [[arXiv: hep-th/9903076](#)]
116. Suzuki, A.T., Santos, E.S., Schmidt, A.G.M.: One loop N point equivalence among negative dimensional, Mellin-Barnes and Feynman parametrization approaches to Feynman integrals. *J. Phys. A.* **36**, 11859–11872 (2003). [[arXiv: hep-ph/0309080](#)]
117. Gonzalez, I., Moll, V.H.: Definite integrals by the method of brackets. Part 1. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **45**, 50–73 (2010). [[arXiv: 0812.3356](#)]
118. Gonzalez, I., Moll, V.H., Straub, A.: The method of brackets. Part 2. Examples and applications, [[arXiv: 1004.2062](#)]
119. Gonzalez, I., Moll, V.H.: Definite integrals by the method of brackets. Part 1. *Adv. Appl. Math.* **45**, 50–73 (2010)
120. Gonzalez, I., Kohl, K., Jiu, L., Moll, V.H.: An extension of the method of brackets. Part 1, [[arXiv: 1707.08942](#)]
121. Gonzalez, I., Jiu, L., Moll, V.H.: An extension of the method of brackets. Part 2. *Open. Math.* **18**, 983–995 (2020)

122. Ananthanarayan, B., Banik, S., Friot, S., Ghosh, S.: Multiple series representations of N-fold Mellin-Barnes integrals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**(15), 151601 (2021). arXiv: [2012.15108](#)
123. Ananthanarayan, B., Banik, S., Friot, S., Pathak, T.: On the method of brackets [arXiv:[2112.09679](#)]
124. Gonzalez, I., Kondrashuk, I., Moll, V.H., Recabarren, L.M.: Mellin-Barnes integrals and the method of brackets. *Eur. Phys. J. C.* **82**(1), 28 (2022). [arXiv: [2108.09421](#)]
125. Ablinger, J., Blümlein, J., Klein, S., Schneider, C.: Numerical evaluation of tensor Feynman integrals in Euclidean kinematics. *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **205–206**, 110–115 (2010). [arXiv: [1006.4797](#)]
126. Blümlein, J., Hasselhuhn, A., Schneider, C.: Evaluation of multi-sums for large scale problems, PoS (RADCOR2011) 032 [arXiv: [1202.4303](#)]
127. Schneider, C.: Modern summation methods for loop integrals in quantum field theory: the packages Sigma, EvaluateMultiSums and SumProduction. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **523**, 012037 (2014). [arXiv: [1310.0160](#)]
128. Krattenthaler, C., Schneider, C.: Evaluation of binomial double sums involving absolute values. In: Pillwein, V., Schneider, C. (eds.) *Algorithmic Combinatorics: Enumerative Combinatorics, Special Functions and Computer Algebra*, (Springer, Wien) pp. 249–296 (2020)
129. Karr, M.: Summation in finite terms. *J. ACM.* **28**, 305–350 (1981)
130. Schneider, C.: Symbolic summation in difference fields, Ph.D. Thesis, RISC, Johannes Kepler University, Linz technical report pp. 01–17 (2001)
131. Schneider, C.: Simplifying sums in $\Pi\Sigma$ -extensions. *J. Algebra. Appl.* **6**, 415–441 (2007)
132. Schneider, C.: A symbolic summation approach to find optimal nested sum representations. In: Carey, A., Ellwood, D., Paycha, S., Rosenberg, S. (eds.) *Motives, quantum field theory, and pseudodifferential operators*. Clay mathematics proceedings Vol. 12 (Amer Math Soc) pp. 285–308 [arXiv: [0904.2323](#)] (2010)
133. Schneider, C.: Parameterized telescoping proves algebraic independence of sums, [arXiv: [0808.2596](#)]. *Ann. Comb.* **14**, 533–552 (2010)
134. Schneider, C.: Fast algorithms for refined parameterized telescoping in difference fields. In: Gutierrez, J., Schicho, J., Weimann, M. (eds.) *Computer Algebra and Polynomials, Applications of Algebra and Number Theory*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS) 8942 pp. 157–191 [arXiv: [13077887](#)] (2015)
135. Schneider, C.: A Refined Difference Field Theory for Symbolic Summation. *J. Symbolic. Comput.* **43**, 611–644 (2008). [arXiv: [0808.2543v1](#)]
136. Schneider, C.: A Difference Ring Theory for Symbolic Summation. *J. Symb. Comput.* **72**, 82–127 (2016). [arXiv: [1408.2776](#)]
137. Schneider, C.: Summation Theory II: Characterizations of $R\Pi\Sigma^*$ -extensions and algorithmic aspects. *J. Symb. Comput.* **80**, 616–664 (2017). [arXiv: [1603.04285](#)]
138. Kauers, M., Schneider, C.: Partial denominator bounds for partial linear difference equations. In: *Proc. ISSAC'10* pp. 211–218 (2010)
139. Kauers, M., Schneider, C.: A refined denominator bounding algorithm for multivariate linear difference equations. In: *Proc. ISSAC'11* pp. 201–208 (2011)
140. Gauß, C.F.: *Disquisitiones generales circa seriem infinitam $1 + \alpha\beta/1\gamma$, pars prior*. *Commentationes societatis regiae scientiarum Gottingensis recentiores* 2 (1813) reprinted in *Werke* **3**, 123–162 (1876)
141. Paule, P.: Contiguous relations and creative telescoping. In: Blümlein, J., Schneider, C. (eds.) *Anti-differentiation and the Calculation of Feynman Amplitudes*, (Springer, Heidelberg) (2021)
142. Abramov, S.A., Petkovšek, M.: On the structure of multivariate hypergeometric terms. *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **29**, 386–411 (2002)
143. Ore, O.: Sur les fonctions hypergéométriques de plusieurs variables. *Comptes. Rendus. Acad. Sci. Paris.* **189**, 1238–1240 (1929)
144. Ore, O.: Sur la forme des fonctions hypergéométriques de plusieurs variables. *J. Math. Pures. Appl.* **9**(9), 311–326 (1930)
145. Sato, M., Shintani, T., Muro, M.: Theory of prehomogeneous vector spaces (algebraic part). *Nagoya. Math. J.* **120**, 1–34 (1990)
146. Chen, S., Feng, R., Fu, G., Li, Z.: On the structure of compatible rational functions. In: *Proc. ISSAC'11* pp. 91–98 (2011)
147. Matiyasevich, Y.V.: *Hilbert's Tenth Problem*. MIT Press, Cambridge (1993)
148. Viète, F.: *Opera mathematica* (Reprinted: Bonaventurae & Abrahami Elzeviriorum, Leiden, 1646) (1579)
149. Jean-Baptiste le Rond d'Alembert: *Opusculs Mathématiques*, tome V (Chez Briasson, Paris) pp 171–182 (1768)
150. Hurwitz, A.: *Z. Math. und Physik.* **27**, 86–101 (1882)

151. Ablinger, J., Blümlein, J., Schneider, C.: Analytic and algorithmic aspects of generalized harmonic sums and polylogarithms. *J. Math. Phys.* **54**, 082301 (2013). [arXiv: [1302.0378](#)]
152. Ablinger, J., Blümlein, J., Raab, C.G., Schneider, C.: Iterated binomial sums and their associated iterated integrals. *J. Math. Phys.* **55**, 112301 (2014). [arXiv: [1407.1822](#)]
153. Ablinger, J., Blümlein, J., Schneider, C.: Iterated integrals over letters induced by quadratic forms. *Phys. Rev. D.* **103**, 096025 (2021). [arXiv: [2103.08330](#)]
154. Ablinger, J., Blümlein, J., Schneider, C.: Generalized harmonic, cyclotomic, and binomial sums, their polylogarithms and special numbers. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **523**, 012060 (2014). [arXiv: [1310.5645](#)]
155. Ablinger, J.: The package HarmonicSums: computer algebra and analytic aspects of nested sums, PoS vol 019 [arXiv: [1407.6180](#)] (LL2014)
156. Ablinger, J.: A computer algebra toolbox for harmonic sums related to particle physics, Diploma Thesis, JKU Linz, arXiv: [1011.1176](#) (2009)
157. Ablinger, J.: Computer algebra algorithms for special functions in particle Physics, Ph. D. Thesis, JKU Linz. arXiv: [1305.0687](#) (2012)
158. Ablinger, J.: Inverse Mellin transform of holonomic sequences, PoS (LL2016) 067; Discovering and proving infinite binomial sums identities, *Experimental Mathematics* 26 [arXiv: [1507.01703](#)] (2017)
159. Ablinger, J.: Computing the inverse Mellin transform of holonomic sequences using Kovacic's algorithm, PoS(RADCOR2017)001 [arXiv: [1801.01039](#)] (RADCOR2017)
160. Ablinger, J.: An improved method to compute the inverse Mellin transform of holonomic sequences, PoS (LL2018) 063; J Blümlein, Structural Relations of Harmonic Sums and Mellin Transforms up to Weight $w = 5$, vol. 180. [arXiv: [0901.3106](#)] (2009)
161. Ablinger, J.: Discovering and proving infinite binomial sums identities, *Experimental Mathematics* 26 [arXiv: [1507.01703](#)] (2017)
162. Ablinger, J.: Discovering and proving infinite Pochhammer sum identities, arXiv: [1902.11001](#) (2019)
163. Remiddi, E., Vermaseren, J.A.M.: Harmonic polylogarithms. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A.* **15**, 725–754 (2000). [arXiv: [hep-ph/9905237](#)]
164. Abramov, S.A.: On the summation of rational functions. *Zh. vychisl. mat. Fiz.* **11**(4), 1071–1075 (1971)
165. Abramov, S.A.: Rational solutions of linear differential and difference equations with polynomial coefficients. *USSR. Comput. Math. Math. Phys. bf.* **29**(6), 7–12 (1989)
166. Abramov, S.A., Petkovšek, M.: On polynomial solutions of linear partial differential and (q-)difference equations. In: Proc., CASC, pp. 1–11 (2012)
167. Blümlein, J.: Algebraic relations between harmonic sums and associated quantities. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **159**, 19–54 (2004). [arXiv: [hep-ph/0311046](#)]
168. Ablinger, J., Schneider, C.: Algebraic independence of sequences generated by (cyclotomic) harmonic sums. *Ann. Comb.* **22**, 213–244 (2018). [arXiv: [1510.03692](#)]
169. Schläfli, L.: Ueber die allgemeine Möglichkeit der conformen Abbildung einer von Geraden begrenzten ebenen Figur in eine Halbebene. *J. Reine Angew Math* **78**, 63–80 (1874)
170. Erdé, I.yi., A.: Integraldarstellungen für Produkte Whittakerscher Funktionen. *Nieuw. Arch. Wisk.* **20**, 1–34 (1939)
171. Saran, S.: The solutions of certain hypergeometric equations. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A.* **21**, 404–408 (1955)
172. Pandey, R.C.: On certain hypergeometric transformations. *J. Math. Mech.* **12**, 113–118 (1963)
173. Srivastava, H.M.: Hypergeometric functions of three variables. *Ganita.* **15**, 97–108 (1964)
174. Srivastava, H.M.: Some integrals representing triple hypergeometric functions. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo.* **16**(2), 99–115 (1967)
175. Dhawan, G.K.: Hypergeometric functions of three variables. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A.* **40**, 43–48 (1970)
176. Srivastava, H.M.: A note on certain hypergeometric differential equations. *Mat. Vesnik.* **9**(24), 101–107 (1972)
177. Exton, H.: On a certain hypergeometric differential system (II). *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **16**, 189–194 (1973)
178. Samar, M.S.: Some definite integrals. *Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika* **16**, 7–11 (1973)
179. Exton, H.: Hypergeometric functions of three variables. *J. Indian Acad. Math.* **4**, 113–119 (1982)
180. Decker, W., Greuel, G.-M., Pfister, G., Schönemann, H.: Singular 4-2-0 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <http://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2019)
181. Kauers, M., Levandovskyy, V.: An Interface between Mathematica and Singular, Technical Report 29, SFB F013. Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria (2006)